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## From the Editor's Desk

Well, it has been an exciting summer so far, and hopefully when you get this there will still be a month or two left before the weather starts really cooling off. There has been some great indoor flying going on in the US (we haven't received much word from our friends overseas), with Kent, Kibbie, and USIC all having occurred since publishing the last issue of INAV. We'd like some input from our readers regarding the extent of coverage they would like to see for these summer contests. Between results going up on M.Bennet's Yahoo Group, J.Hood's Indoor New's site, and J.Kagan's gargantuan efforts during USIC, most people know the results before we can get them published. We will of course publish any contest reports sent in (such as the great reports in this issue), but the efficiency of republishing (for example) the USIC results is questionable. Rather, what we would like to do for the Fall is publish another winning designs issue, that would highlight the successful designs from the summer contest season. Please email or write us with your thoughts (and winning designs).

This issue is our "Props" issue - which was conceived and largely executed by Jeff Hood. We think there is a bunch of great new info in here, as well as some "classic" INAV articles to round out the coverage. In addition to his efforts on with the newsletter, those of you who attended USIC know that Jeff has put together hardcopy compilations of INAV going back to 1962. Through the wonders of the internet (and Jeff's hard work), these books are available for purchase at Lulu.com. Just do a search for "INAV" and they will all be listed. The price ranges from $\$ 24.95$ to $\$ 34.95$, based on the number of pages, and the profits will go to the publishing of INAV. Be patient if you order, as they take a few weeks to print and ship, but they are really nicely done and in my opinion well worth it.

Now, for a few housekeeping issues. We are experimenting with different printers to find the best price/quality we can, so if in the next few issues you notice different paper etc., that is the reason. I've had a few inquiries regarding the lack of envelopes when we mail INAV. This is predominantly a cost issue as we are currently running in the red; as well as a labor issue as I have to individually label and stamp each issue. An envelope, even if we could afford them, is one more step that I just wouldn't have time for. Our subscribership is still below 200, so mailing to the US is pushing 2 dollars an issue, and the rest of the world is over 5 . We are doing our best to streamline the publishing and hope to avoid having to raise the price of subscriptions, but we will have a better idea in the next quarter. We will publish a full accounting of funds, expenses and operating costs in an upcoming issue. Any thoughts or suggestions are welcome.

Well, that's about it from the editor's desk. I would personally like to thank all of you who have subscribed or renewed in recent months, and assure you we are on our way to ironing out all the wrinkles in the production and publishing of INAV. We are working hard to get you issues in as timely a manner as possible, and hope that you are finding them enjoyable and useful. As always, we are looking for content so please don't be shy and send us your articles, plans, pictures and thoughts.

Best regards and good flying,

Tony Pavel

INAV subscriptions are for a 1 year period, during which 4 issues are anticipated.
USA subscriptions are mailed bulk rate, all others are air mail.

Adult subscriptions:
USA US $\$ 15.00 /$ year
Canada US \$19.00/year
All Others US \$24.00/year
Make checks payable to "Tony Pavel/INAV"

Send all subscriptions to:
Tony Pavel
1921 S St. NW
Washington, DC 20009
paveltony@gmail.com

Indoor News and Views is an open forum presenting ideas, opinions, model designs and techniques for the indoor community. Unless specifically stated, INAV does not offer any opinion as to the merit of published work, nor does it endorse any products or services advertised herein.

## KevLar F1D Prop Outlines

## Lutz Schram

The following is a method of making F1D prop blade outlines from Kevlar thread, developed by F1D record holder Lutz Schram.

The Kevlar fibers are taken from a piece of Kevlar fabric and saturated with epoxy resin. The epoxy resin used is normally used in construction, and must be very high quality.

The outlines must cure for 24 hours, and then after curing are left on the form for one to two days (at 25-30 degrees C).

Weight of the finished outlines are in the 26-30 mg range.



KeVLar fabric which the threads are taken from


Parts are treated with mold release wax


Parts of the prop form, and KevLar threads


The ASSEMBLED FORM


Wrapping the KevLar on the form


Threads secured to rear of form


Threads on form and ready for securing


CURED OUTLINES REMOVED FROM FORM


DIAGRAM OF PROP FORM

## Kevlar F1D Prop Outlines - Another TWist... Jeff Hood

A few months ago, after having a bit of success with my first F1D, I decided to build a few more and take the construction more seriously. The first F1D prop that I built was based on the great article in a past INAV by Steve Brown. Standard enough design, with a .025 square balsa outline for the prop. I made the outline flat as per the instructions, and then mounted on a pitch block, wet the outline, and held in place with thread, and baked dry. After attaching the ribs, and covering the prop, I was not really surprised to see a bit of spring-back of the helical form after a few days. However, after a while, a few of the props that I made lost a significant amount of the shape, and I wondered what I could do differently. Always looking for things to tinker with (instead of flying more, like I probably should be doing...) I got the idea to try to make an outline out of fibers, and mold it around a form made with a method used for Pennyplane props. So I made a few test forms, using my blade outline and cutting them out of a sheet of $1 / 16$ balsa, forming them on a pitch block, and letting them dry. After taking them off the block, I coated the edges with wax, and started experimenting with carbon fiber tow as the material for the outlines, held together by thinned Ambroid. Oops... big mistake there... didn't work at all, at least for me. Thinking that the idea was sound, but needing some tweaks, I sent a few emails inquiring if anyone had heard of a similar method. Nick Aikman got back to me right away, telling me about Schram's experiments and success with Kevlar, and his methodology. I knew at that point that I was at least on the right track, and having thought that epoxy would be too heavy (but knowing that it must work) I started some more trials.

First, I wanted to get a source of light Kevlar that wouldn't be difficult to get ready, i.e. not needing to unravel anything, or separate fibers from a tow. I looked around, and found Kevlar fly tying thread (got mine from Bass Pro Shop online...) and found it to be perfect. The thread is made up of a perfect number of strands for an outline, and a whole spool is just a few dollars. The first outline I made I used two threads, thinking it would be needed, but it came out way to heavy. Then I did one with just a single thread from the spool, in which the fibers are just loose enough to allow saturation with the epoxy, and it came out perfect, and just the right weight (shooting for 20 mg per outline, just with the formed Kevlar).

Here are a few notes on the methods that I have been using, with pretty good success to this point.

- I make my forms out of $1 / 16$ sheet, mostly so they retain the shape well, and the thickness is about right to get the thread easily around the helical outline, and not having it fall off too easily. I will probably be making more permanent formers using laminated $1 / 32$ sheets, but that is probably overkill.
- I finally settled on using melted wax (paraffin from the local craft store) brushed on to coat the edges of the forms as the release agent. I have used paste wax, chapstick, and some other things, but the light wax coating seems to last longer between applications. Just make sure you have something on there, or you aren't going to get them off (ask me how I know that...)
- You don't seem to need too many fibers in the thread to make a sturdy outline. It seems like most of the strength is in the epoxy, and I will probably be trying to separate some of the fibers in my thread out to try for even lighter outlines.
- I use a standard 12 hour modeling epoxy, since that is what I had around. I'm sure that the type makes a difference, but the hobby shop variety seems to work well. I do the same as Schram, and let them stay on the form for at least a day, and most of the time a few days. Key is to have the forms in an area in which the epoxy can cure correctly.
- When coating the threads, I mix up a batch of epoxy, then put a lump of bluetac on both ends of the length of thread (enough to go around the form with a few inches on each end to spare) and coat the thread fairly liberally. Then just using my fingers, I rub in gently the epoxy, and try to get it almost all out of the surface. I'm just basically trying to get the inside of the thread all coated, and as little on the outside as possible, so as to reduce the weight. Then I hang the coated thread by the bluetac on the end off to the side, clean off my hands, and then start wrapping on the form. You really don't want to have gobs of epoxy all over the forms, and with a long curing epoxy, you have plenty of time to coat and wrap two outlines from one batch.
- I cut a very small slot at the bottom point of the blade form, and start by setting the thread in the slot (make sure that you didn't forget to have your release agent in the slot... if not, makes for a mess getting things off...). Then just carefully wrap around the form, trying to keep things centered as much as possible at this point. After the whole way around, put the other end in the slot, and cut off the ends to an inch or so. I usually then spend a minute or two fiddling around getting the outline centered on the form. The better the job you do here, the better the end result. My forms all have a hanging hole at the top, and I just hang them up to dry after adjustments.
- After drying, I separate the thread from the form using a length of .015 music wire, running it between the form and the outline. Works well, even if you get to a spot that seems to be stuck. If there is excess epoxy on the outline, I try to clean it off with a new razor blade. If you are pretty careful coating the thread, and put it on with clean hands, there shouldn't be too much, but you can correct small excesses if you need to.
- I also made duplicate forms with notches to attach the ribs while on a form. I am basically trying to keep as much stress as possible off of the outline, so that the helical shape is retained. After the outline is dry, and ready for ribs, I put it on this form, held in place with very thin (1/64' or so) strips of blue painters masking tape around the outline. Ribs are cut and glued in place, and when cured, the tape is removed and the outline is carefully removed, and the spar glued on. I also use a small triangle of .020 or so at the base to hold the intersection together.

My outlines have been around 25 mg or so, complete with ribs and the gusset. This seems pretty close to "normal" construction weights, and I think that they are very strong, and much less fragile than a balsa outline. And to this point, none of the props have lost any of the blade twist, which is what I was going after originally.

Now I should probably quit fiddling around, and start flying...


FORM, WITH OUTLINE WRAPPED, READY TO DRY


Slot at the root OF the blade


OUTLINE POSITIONED ON EDGE OF FORM


Outline removed from form

## KevLar F 1 D Prop Outlines - Another TWist...



OUtLINE, WITH RIBS AND GUSSET


Covered blade with spar


Final weight of blade


FINAL COMPLETED PROP

## The Variable Diameter Indoor Prop <br> Jim Richmond

The plane is launched and it climbs away with the prop ticking over at only 35 rpm Team captain Larry Calliau comments admiringly about the slowness of the prop. The Film Flam climbs slowly for 10 minutes to a safe altitude of 80 feet where it levels off still 25 feet below the girders. After 20 minutes flight time the plane has descended to 50 ft . and has drifted to one side of the building where it is steered away from potential trouble. Rodemsky stops by and seeing the plane now at 35 feet with only 25 minutes on the watch comments that it's not looking so good. But wait! The prop is suddenly going faster and it looks shorter. And yes, the plane is actually climbing again! It goes up a few feet, does another cruise, and then descends to finally land with a time of almost 40 minutes!
This was the first and longest flight of the Film Flam in Nagoya, Japan in 1984 and was the first world champs flight ever made with a variable diameter prop. The basic mechanism was developed in 1965 for use on a paper stick model but the benefit for this type plane didn't seem to offset the extra weight involved. Then in 1983 the idea was resurrected when it was realized that there was room in the F1D minimum weight requirement of one gram to accommodate the mechanism weight of about .06 grams. The 2 props made were first used to set a national and a world FID record and then they were introduced to the indoor world champs crowd at Nagoya where they became an instant sensation (see photo) by helping to win the event. Since then they have been used to win 2 additional world championships and set numerous records.
 They have been found to be an excellent match for the new 55 cm F1D planes which, because of the limited motor allowed (. 6 gin .), need to make efficient use of all of the turns available. Recent successes with these planes have stirred up a renewed interest in the VD prop.

## ADVANTAGES

Originally I thought the concept might be outlawed, but instead, the variable pitch prop mechanism was developed by Cezar Banks and others. This has a similar benefit and has become very popular but the ability to change both the diameter and the pitch gives the VD prop a slight edge in my opinion. You need only to watch one in a steep climb and note the slowness oft he prop to realize how efficiently those high torque turns are being used. It's like having a two speed automatic transmission without the associated weight and friction (yes, I've tried that too). One of the nicest things about this particular variable diameter mechanism is that it is made with familiar materials and building techniques to produce an excellent low weight device. A big advantage is that it can do it's thing safely under the girders, but another big plus is that the anticipation of the fold and the following second cruise makes these flights far more interesting and enjoyable. Of course rafter banging can be interesting too, but the associated danger and stress makes this type of flying less than enjoyable in my opinion.

## OTHER DESIGNS

Numerous other variable diameter prop mechanisms have been designed for use on both indoor and outdoor models and even full scale aircraft. Many of these actually worked as intended, but were not suitable for indoor use due to weight, drag, or mode of operation. For instance, some outdoor types rely on centrifugal force to enlarge the diameter, but this couldn't work on a low speed indoor prop. Having never seen any of these other designs in the winner's circle, I can only conclude that their deficiencies outweighed their advantages.

## OPERATION

The operating principle involves the use of a $2^{\prime \prime}$ center section in the prop spar with a hinge at each end, allowing it to rotate 180 degrees. A small rubber band urges the prop to fold shut to the $18^{\prime \prime}$ length while the motor torque urges the prop to open to the $22^{\prime \prime}$ diameter. It uses parallelogram filaments ( .001 polyester) to make both blades operate in unison and it has cam shapes for a rubber band called the flip loop to pull against to promote a quick fold. Without these, the folding process is gradual, and Z-shaped props have been found to be less than desirable. The prop itself
starts out as an ordinary $22^{\prime \prime}$ dia. 46 pitch indoor type with at least $6^{\prime \prime}$ of bare spar in the middle. The center $2^{\prime \prime}$ is the part that gets the special treatment, of course, and should be replaced with a slightly stronger material due to the stresses involved. The key members are the hinges with their associated cams and stop arms. The hinge design was derived from my wire prop bearing, using loops of wire at each end with a straight wire pivoting in them. The hinge pins in my props are made parallel with each other and this produces a pitch change of about 10 " (46" to $36^{\prime \prime}$ ). If desired, various pitch change effects can be achieved by skewing the hinges somewhat.

## THE CAMS

The so-called cams are simply balsa members that the flip loop wraps around to space it the right distance from the hinge pin. Their purpose is to regulate the torque being applied to the folding action by the pull of the flip loop. At the start of the fold sequence, the cams keep the torque fairly constant to avoid a premature actuation due to small disturbances. At this time, when the prop is almost ready to fold, it can be noted that the descending blade shows the beginning of a slight fold angle while the ascending one does not. This characteristic is due to the weight of the blades and is an indication of the sensitivity of the design and the need for minimal friction. In a short time, it will be noted that both blades are flexing in unison. When the increasing angle of this flexing causes the cams to space the flip loop further from the hinge pin, the added torque then drives the fold to instant completion.

## THE FLIP LOOP

The rubber flip loop is about 1 " long and has a cross section of about $.020^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{X} .020^{\prime \prime}$. A number of similar ones are prepared to cover a variety of possible needs. An excellent stretch ratio of 10 to I or so (like Tan II ) is desired in order to minimize the change in pull force during operation. The flip loop is easily loaded onto the folded prop by expanding it and sliding it over one of the prop blades and attaching it over the hooks provided in the stop arms. The right flip loop strength relative to the motor torque can be found by the use of a run down stand or by flying with $1 / 4$ motors. Flip time accuracy is not critical and any actuation near the mid-point of the flight will usually produce good results. The .020 x .020 flip loop rubber strips can be made by attaching double sticky tape to a piece of cardboard, then attaching .040 x .020 rubber strip to it, and then dividing it a little at a time using a new super sharp razor blade. It's not easy, but not much is needed. Really sharp blades can be found in cheap plastic safety razors.

## INDOOR FLYING

Many people avoid indoor duration flying, as I once did, because they perceive the activity to be slow paced, dull, and uninteresting. Nothing could be further from the truth, but the only way to find out is to try it. You can't tell by watching as I know from experience. I tried indoor rubber back in the 1960s only because the Aeronuts cut off the glider flying at noon and there was nothing else to do. And now I can tell you that the most fantastically exciting moments in my life occur when my model is at high altitude in a major competition, getting into trouble. Several well known indoor flyers have been known to actually leave the building on such occasions rather than endure the stress. So put some excitement in your life. Try indoor duration flying, and for an added kick, use a variable diameter prop.




# Indoor Model Specialties High quality, Low prices 

Tools from Scales to Rubber Strippers
Material from Ultrafilm to Thrust Bearings
Bambino competitive Science Olympiad model kit
See my brochure under Links at
http://www.indoorduration.com
Ray Harlan
15 Happy Hollow Road
Wayland, MA 01778
508.358.4013

Mastercard and Visa accepted


# Variable Diameter Props 

## (From the INAV Archives)

## February, 1985 - Article by Richard Doig

VARIABLE DIAMETER \& PITCH PROPELLERS

When I saw Jim Richmond's Variable Diameter Propeller last September in Rantoul, IL I asked him why he was using it and his reply was that it was a more reliable way to change the pitch than changing. the blade angle. Which brings us to the heart of the matter, when this type of propeller folds you get a dramatic reduction in pitch.

## BACKGROUND

Variable Diameter Propellers are nothing new, as Hewitt Phillips and Jim Clem have been experimenting with them for many years. (See accompanying articles.) Up until very recently, however, no one had succeeded with a variable diameter prop without incurring a severe weight penalty. That all changed last September 29, when Jim Richmond broke the CAT II World. Record using one. (34:07 under 44 feet) This flight had an interesting flight pattern in that the model climbed to 20 feet or so and cruised for 10 minutes. Then over the next $2-3$ minutes the prop folded and the model climbed to a peak altitude of 40 feet. This was advantageous as the ceiling height was 44 feet with lights which hung down 2 feet and there was noticeable drift close to the ceiling. Richmond succeeded in staying below the drift. At the World Champs in October, Richmond was able to fly top times without touching the ceiling and with-out risk of hanging up, while everyone else bashed the girders. His prop was clearly the hit of the World Champs.


#### Abstract

DOIG VARIABLE DIAMETER PROPELLER Richmond's prop made extensive use of bent wire hinges and other parts - which he is very good at making. I took a different approach, using Micro-X teflon washers as hinge bearing surfaces around straight pieces of wire. As originally built this prop would not completely open but stopped $15^{-}$ short. However it still flew well enough to win the Balsa Bug's MI Stat8 Championships in October - 23:43 at 60 feet in 50 F air.

The propeller has since been modified to reduce the distance that the rubber has to stretch for full opening and this corrected the problem. (see figure 1) This mechanism added about . 0040 ounce to the weight of the prop (mostly in Hot Stuff required to repair the many breaks caused by my clumsiness during building). Properly done, this mechanism should add about . 0015 - . 0020 ounce. Opinion seems to be very strong concerning Variable Diameter \& Pitch Propellers. Those who oppose them are adamant that the extra work involved will reduce participation even further. Those who favor them can see their value at making models last longer because you stay out of the girders. It does take less time to build one of these props than a replacement model. Most flyers don't see any benefit from Variable Diameter \& Pitch Propellers in high ceilings (CAT IV) at this point. However in lower ceilings, especially those with cluttered ceilings -girders, lights, sprinkler systems - the benefits are staying several feet below these obstacles are great.


013" diameter pin - attach with Hot Stuff


Locate hinge pin here.


Micro-X teflon washers (standard size) attach with Hot Stuff Gap Filler.


Please note that this type of propeller is specifically outlawed in the A.M.A. Rulebook for Novice Pennyplane and Manhattan Cabin.

HOW THE CHANGE IN PITCH WORKS
In Jim Jones' accompanying article he discusses pitch change as the blades are folded inward. How-ever he presumes that the pitch was helical when the prop was fully extended. This is not necessarily the case. When I asked Richmond where he placed the blades, he was non specific except to say that helical pitch occurred part way through the fold. In the case of my own prop I set true helical pitch to occur when the prop was halfway through the fold. That is, I glued the blades onto the spars with the hinge pin at the point on the pitch block where I normally put the hook, see figure 2. (Actually this is the only way it would fit.) This creates a situation where when the prop is fully extended the pitch is very high at the hub and decreases toward the tip and the average pitch is higher than the prop block. The higher pitch at the hubs slows the R.P.M.
way down while keeping the tip at a shallower pitch so the tips don't stall. When the prop is fully folded the opposite is true. The pitch is low at the hub and increases toward the tip and the average pitch is much lower. The R.P.M. increases dramatically due to reduced drag and if you are high enough on the rubber's torque curve the model will begin to climb again. This prop construction gradually folds to approximately $90^{\circ}$ over a long period of time. 2-3 minutes or more. When the mechanism gets to 90 and goes over center, the next $90^{\circ}$ of travel happens very quickly and abruptly taking 2-3 seconds. If properly done, the fold will not begin until 10-12 minutes into the flight (or even longer).

WHAT DOES THIS ALL MEAN ?
Only experimentation by several modelers under a variety of flying conditions will provide the answers along with discussion amongst modelers, especially if we are to come up with mechanisms which are easy to build and lightweight.

FIGURE 2 - PROP BLADE LOCATION ON PROP BLOCK


## Indoor Model Supply

For all of your needs. Books, Plans, Kits, Supplies, from the SCIENCE OLYMPIAD EVENT TO ALL A.M.A. CLASSES

BALSAWOOD IN STICKS AND SHEETS, SHEETS AVAILABLE FOR WORLD CLASS COMPETITION WITH DENSITY STAMP AND SIZE IN THOUSANDS OF AN INCH.

Print out a three page order form and price list www.indoormodelsupply.com
Lew Gitlow, Box 2020 Florence OR 97439 Phone: 541.902.8508

Reprinted from Indoor News \& Views, April 1966. Look at what it has taken us nineteen years to learn!

The Variable Diameter Prop
by Hewitt Phillips
I have built two or three of the variable diameter props, and they really work. However, the additional weight and drag of the mechanism would be more of a penalty on today's models than it was in the old days.

The principle of operation is shown on the attached sketches. The two blades are kept in the same relative position by a parallelogram linkage (absolutely essential, as discovered on the first trial when one blade would stay full out and the other full in). The blades wind out against the tension of a fine rubber band wrapped around a small pulley. The rate of climb or descent of the model depends solely on the tension in this rubber band and is independent of the winds or torque of the rubber motor. Thus, the model may be adjusted to fly level throughout the flight by carefully adjusting the tension in this fine rubber band.

Variations in the characteristics may be obtained by changing the pulley from circular to elliptical or cam-shaped. Usually, it is desirable to obtain some climb at the start followed by a long level cruise. Otherwise, the drafts near the floor will eventually bring the model down.

Also, blade angle change may be obtained simultaneously with diameter by canting the hinges. This may be used to compensate for twist of the blades under high torque at the start of the flight.

It is perfectly easy to obtain peculiar effects, such as a descent at the start under full power, with the prop stretched out to maximum diameter, followed by a climb near the end of the flight with the blades pulled in and the propeller
 buzzing around like a beginner's ROG. This
condition obviously should be avoided for endurance.
In Boston, we flew in the old Irving- ton Street Armory which had a 55'ceiling. The variable diameter prop was really advantageous under these conditions. I don't think it would compete with fixed diameter props in ceilings above about 80 feet.

## F.Y.I. (FOR YOUR INFORMATION) by Jim Jones

Since Jim Richmond won the Indoor World Championships in Japan, I have seen two published drawings of his winning model - One in N.I.M.A.S.'s INAV \& the other in my latest edition of "Bat Sheet". Both articles mention the variable pitch prop but they refer to it only as a variable diameter. When the diameter changes, the pitch also changes, \& it happens like this.

For the sake of explaining this condition. I will assign a pitch of 36 inches to the fully extended 22 inch position. The $45^{\circ}$ section of a true helical pitch prop exists at 11.5 inches. When the blade are retracted to the $18^{\prime \prime}$ diameter minimum, the $45^{\circ}$ sections also retract. These $45^{\circ}$ sections now exist at a diameter of 7.5 inches. The pitch now is only 23.4 inches, but it is no longer a true helical pitch. To illustrate, the tip angle of a $36^{\prime \prime}$ prop at a diameter of 22 inches is 27.5 . When this prop retracts to its 18 " diameter minimum, this tip angle remains the same. When you figure the pitch of a blade with a diameter of 18 inches, \& tip angle of 27.5 it calculates out to a pitch of 29.5 inches. This prop now has a pitch of 23.4 inches at the $45^{\circ}$ section and a pitch of 29.5 inches at the tip.

These calculations are based on the premise that the blade extends \& retracts in a straight line without rotating on its axis. It also is figured without taking into account any of the flexing that an indoor prop has to endure. To summarize, from the center of the hub to the $45^{\circ}$ section the angles will be less than they would be if it were a true helical pitch, \& from the $45^{\circ}$ section outboard the angles are just a bit higher than they should be. But the change is great enough to allow the prop to pick up a few RPM's \& extend the cruise, when the conventional fixed pitch \& diameter prop would be slowing down too much to maintain lift.

(Reprinted from NFFS Free Flight Digest, May, 1976) INDOOR PROPS - VARIABLE PITCH AND VARIABLE DIAMETER by Hewitt Phillips

Ever since the days of hand-carved balsa indoor props, attempts have been made to build in a distribution of area and structural stiffness which would allow the blade to "flare" at the start of the flight to slow down the climb and prop R.P.M. during the initial kick of the rubber motor. The slower climb was especially beneficial in low ceilings, but as performance of indoor models improved, it was found. that under good conditions, models without a flaring prop would climb too high for even the tallest dirigible hangers. Thus, most all modern microfilm props are designed to increase pitch at the start of the flight.

The conventional prop with flexible spars is definitely limited in the amount of flare that can be provided. If the spars are made too flexible or the prop area is centered too far forward, a disastrous type of instability sets in under full power. One blade will diverge to a full high pitch condition but this will slow the R.P.M. to a point that the other blade will twist to low pitch. The resulting unbalance will usually shake the model out of the air.

Several prop designs have been suggested and tried in past years which allow much greater pitch change without the instability. These systems usually added some weight, which, for models without a minimum weight rule, almost always outweighed any advantage that might be obtained from the device. In the case of FAI models, Pennyplane, etc., in which the minimum weight is specified in the rules, a device weighing a few thousandths of an ounce can frequently be accommodated without exceeding the specified weight. In fact, a weight at the nose may often be beneficial from the stability standpoint. The interest in Variable-pitch propellers is therefore growing.

A variable-pitch propeller was described in an article by Jeff Annis in the 1975 Symposium volume of the NFFS. The feature of this propeller which allows a greater pitch change than that of a conventional flaring prop is that the change in pitch of the two blades is kept equal through a linkage. Another prop design incorporating this principle has been proposed by Bob Meuser (figure 1). Both these

prop designs should prove very beneficial whenever the ceiling height is less than that of the very biggest hangers.

Theoretically, more efficiency could be obtained by increasing the prop diameter rather than the pitch at the start of the flight. A larger diameter prop acts on a larger volume of air, thereby losing less energy in slipstream velocity. Also, a blade stall may occur if the pitch increases excessively. A method of increasing prop diameter was proposed many years ago by John P. Glass, and was tried by the author in several different versions.

The method of varying prop diameter is shown in figure 2. The propeller blades are synchronized through a parallelogram linkage, and the blade position is determined by balancing the torque against the tension of a thin, rubber band wrapped around a pulley. By changing the shape of the pulley from a circle to an arbitrary cam shape, most any climb profile for the model may be obtained. For example, the model may climb rapidly to 20 feet altitude, then cruise at this altitude for the rest of the flight until the propeller reaches its minimum diameter. So long as the propeller is in this "regulating" condition the climb of the model is not affected by changes in motor torque. The effect of reduced torque due to breaking in the rubber is therefore eliminated. To offset these advantages, however, the propeller spars have high drag and the overall efficiency is generally less than that of a conventional prop. A final possibility that may be mentioned is to change both pitch and diameter simultaneously by skewing the hinges of the blades.



[^0]
## April, 1987

Richard Doig

Some additional notes on the design:

1. The CG is too far forward. That particular model required almost $1 / 2$ " of "up" elevator to float properly, and would not climb higher than about 90 feet without severe stalling during the climb. I've since moved the wing forward moving the CG to $74 \%$, but $I$ have not had the chance to fly this combination yet.
2. I have also built and flown a second version with an 18 " motor stick. This model is balanced at $77 \%$ and $I$ found the extra motorstick length was needed to get the wing far enough forward while still having adequate clearance between the prop and wing. The previous climb problems look like a thing of the past.
We also supplied some photos to Model Aviation with the article (these were not used, so we are presenting them here).

PHOTO CAPTIONS

1. Complete model - propeller fully extended.
2. Close-up of prop mechanism in fully extended position.
3. Close-up of prop mechanism half way through fold. The small rubber band has been removed from its hooks and is visible on the lower prop spar.
4. Close-up of prop hub assembly fully folded.



## Making a Propeller Blade Sectional Forming Block

## Matt Payne - propellerman@sbcglobal.net



This method of fabrication is designed for, but does not require, a band saw and a belt sander, and does not utilize a saw jig or fixture as with the method devised by Fujikawa and Zceleczky. The forming block consists of individually cut pieces which are set into a box frame. Think of a forming block sliced into sections and reassembled. A hand-held power saber saw (jig saw), a regular jig saw, or a miter saw and box can be substituted. The jig saw and/or a rasp file and a sanding stick can be substituted for the belt sander. The sander can be hand-held or bench-type. Off-the-shelf stamped steel straps are used as guides for final sanding of the bevels. Corrections are easy by sanding, piece substitution or wood filler putty. Completion time is about two hours, depending on tools used.

Balsa, or any soft wood such as cedar, white pine, or basswood can be used for the sections making up the forming block. Season-dry, fence-grade cedar is recommended because it is easier to sand than pine, and more durable than balsa, and you can easily stick a push pin into it for pressing the blade to the block for forming. Cedar is usually rough-sawn on one or both sides, so may need sanding to ensure a close fit between the pieces. A single standard 1 " x 6 " fence board will provide material sufficient for two blocks. Use 1 " $\times 6$ " smooth pine for the box frame base plate. Make sure it is not cupped or warped. Use 1 " $\times 2$ " pine for the box frame sides.

A forming block with a seven-inch long blade capacity will require a stack of nine to ten pieces, depending on the thickness of the wood used. You can rip a $1 \times 6$ in half down its length, (if pine, less one foot for the base), then cut those halves into five-inch long pieces. Number each piece on the top edge at one end, which will be at the rear. Use a carpenter's square or 90 -degree edge rule to mark lines parallel to the bottom and one end on both sides of each piece, as illustrated below. The distance from the edge to each line should be the same, from $3 / 4$ " to 1 ", for ease of set-up for cutting.


Using a pitch/tip angle chart, select a tip angle for the end block. Use a protractor to mark that angle on the outside face of the end block. The point where the lines cross is the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ point. Draw the angle line from that point to the top edge of the piece.


There should be a little space between the intersection of the angle line at the top of the piece and the rear edge of the piece. If necessary, saw the top off all the pieces at this height. See drawing below.


Make the box frame with the 1 -foot section of $1 \times 6$. Cut it to five inches wide for the base plate. Place all the pieces on the plate, and frame them in on all sides with $1 \times 2$ or similar.

Mark the diagonal line across the top of all the pieces from the tip to the root. Start where the angle line on the outside of the tip-end piece meets the top edge of that piece. End the line at the root end where the vertical line you drew on it meets the top edge of the piece.


Remove the pieces from the box frame and draw the angle line on both sides of each piece, from the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ point to the point where the diagonal line across the top of each piece meets the sides of the piece.


Now cut off the section of the front of each piece, leaving the "toe," as illustrated below.


Next, cut off the triangular-shaped excess above the angular line on the left, or root side of each piece. The remainder, the bevel, will be sanded off.


Use the steel straps to control the sanding of the bevels. Clamp the straps to both sides of the piece, each strap aligned with the angular line on that side. Sand level with the straps.


When all the pieces are done, align them in the box frame, check for fit and finish sanding as necessary. Do not be concerned if all the joints are not perfectly smooth. Glue the pieces together as a unit using clamps, and fasten it to the box frame. Finish the assembled forming block with a water-resistant coating.

Push pins can be used to hold the blade to the block. If the wood is hard, the push pins can be tapped in with a tack hammer, or pilot holes for the pins can be drilled with a suitably-sized pin drill. In the alternative, scrap wood bars crossing the blade vertically can be used. The bars can be pinned or fastened with small wood screws. Depending on the orientation of the grain of the wood you are forming, you may find that the blade requires compression in the middle near the root. Bars vertically crossing the blade should correct this. In the alternative, modeling pins with a spacer cut from an eraser or a cork can be stuck through the middle of the blade into the forming block to compress the blade. If dry-forming laminations with a foaming polyurethane glue, there may be bleed-through of the glue, so in order to prevent the laminations from sticking to the block, use wax paper or cereal box liner bag material between the laminations and the block. If the blade/laminations to be formed are soaked and are very soft, a dry piece of balsa or plywood over the wood will protect it from being dented at the edges by the push pins and bars.

## Prop Blade Installation Made Easy

## Larry Coslick

Over the years I have tried a number of different ways to make the job of gluing the prop blades to the prop spar easier. One day while building a new prop, I picked up the pitch gauge and held it vertically to inspect the protractor. I already had the spar and prop stop in place and POW, I saw the solution that had eluded me for years. By holding or mounting the gauge vertically in a vice, this allows me to look at the back side of the blade and easily lay the blade against the spar. The pitch gauge shown is from Indoor Model Supply and has a sliding protractor.

I use a small free standing table vice to hold the pitch gauge in an almost vertical position. Block up the front of the vice about 15 degrees, and while clamping the tip end of the pitch gauge in the vice, tilt the back edge of the gauge toward you. This allows you to lay the blade on the spar line without it moving off of the spar. Practice this procedure without glue until it becomes comfortable.

A line is drawn on the back side of the blades to show the spar location. The protractor on the pitch gauge is set at 45 degrees and the number of inches from the prop shaft. (Pitch divided by 6.28 will give you this number). Install the spar on the pitch gauge and tack glue the spar to the top center of the protractor. Place the prop stop to the desired radius of the prop. The stop is made from scrap balsa and slides in the same track as the protractor. Mount the gauge back on the vice and place seven or eight small dabs of slightly thinned Aliphatic, (carpenters glue) from the hub to the tip of the spar and immediately install the blade. Start by laying the tip against the prop stop, and then
 attach the blade to the tip of the spar and finally the hub. Let the glue set for about fifteen seconds, then lightly pinch the spar against the blade, along its length. Let the Aliphatic glue dry for one half hour and then melt the glue on the tacked spar. Figure one shows the prop spar lying alongside the spar line and is not glued in place. The spar is painted for contrast.

If you have multiple pitch blocks and camber forms its important that you note the particular ones that you build your prop on, especially if you build a lot of props.

L Coslick 4/07


## Out of the Archives...

## November, 1967

## INDOOR PROPS - PRACTICE

The basic definition of prop pitch (pitch of any part of the blade) is: 3.14 x diameter of prop at station in question x geometric tangent of the blade angle. The most common propeller construction method is the one popularized by Joe Bilgri - the prop is built on a carved block as shown in Fig.1.
Fig. 2 shows dimensions of such a block as related to the design formula for the block:


PITCH (of block) $=3.14 \times \mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{W}$
Note that the tangent of angle ? in Fig. 2 is $T / W$, which relates the block design back to the basic formula mentioned above. That is, arc tan $T / W$ is the blade angle of a prop blade element at radius D/2, if the prop was built on the block in Fig. 2.

To design a prop block, it is more convenient to rearrange formula 1 to one of these:
2. $T=P \times W / 3.14 \times D$
3. $W=3.14 \times D \times T / P$

Formula 2 is used if the block you have is wide enough to use the full thickness of the block; if the thickness is a limiting factor, use formula 3. Once the block has been designed, mark lines on the block (lines $x, y$ and $z$ on Fig. 2) to guide your carving. Carving an accurate block takes care and skill, but the sketches below show shortcuts used by some NIMAS members (reprinted from earlier INAV's).


Bill Graham suggests that metal straightedges be laid along lines $x$ and $y$ (see Fig. 3), then saw cuts can be made down to the metal. A few quick cuts with a knife will take off most of the excess wood, then a round sanding block will finish the job.

Ed Hicks accomplishes the same thing while using a bandsaw and a plywood stop as shown in Fig. 4, For each cut, tilt the block forward into the saw until you reach the diagonal line (x). Adjust the plywood stop so that the saw just reaches line y; the result is the same as with the method in Fig. 3. Dick Ganslen suggests that plywood be put beneath the block also; if the stop is mis-adjusted or rides forward, you can hear it hit the plywood.

One final suggestion: be sure that you are through carving before you begin to sand the block. If you ever try to carve down a high spot after sanding on it, small bits of grit from the sandpaper will ruin your blade.


## December, 1967

## INDOOR PROPS - PRACTICE

Part II - Prop Framework
Since the prop is the most important part of the model, careful and consistent construction techniques pay off in better flights. Some builders spend almost as much time building the prop as for the rest of the model, and the time never seems to be wasted.


The majority of your prop building time should go into the spar. The spar controls the prop behavior almost entirely, since it carries all bending and flaring loads. Therefore, the spar must bend equally well on either side. Fig. 1 below shows a basic jig which can be used to check spar deflection. It is sometimes convenient to substitute 6" scale on the right so you can record both the test load and the deflection for future reference. The slot at "A" should be a smooth snug fit for the spar (or adjustable to fit different spars) so the spar does not "rock" or move in the slot. Round spars should be checked for deflection four ways - rotate the spar 90 deg. between checks. Also the deflection must be exactly the same on either end of the spar. The process of balancing the deflection is lengthy, and calls for selective sanding and checking the diameter until everything matches.

Construction of the basic spar is two-piece as shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 below. First choose a piece of wood just more than half the length of one prop blade; then cut and sand the wood to a smooth taper as shown in Fig. 2. Now cut two spars with a uniform taper as shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 4 shows how the two segments are spliced to form the spar. This procedure insures maximum uniformity of wood on either side of the hub, which greatly eases the matching of deflection as outlined above. Most people make a spar with round cross section, carefully rounding the basic spar shown above. The other spar choice is to use a square or rectangular spar such as those used by Charlie Sotich or Jim Richmond (see July '67 INAV for details of Richmond's spar). This type of spar is cut exactly the same way as other spars, except greater accuracy is needed to minimize the problem of equalization. A rectangular or square spar is checked for deflection only on the side and from the front; a square spar should have equal deflection in both directions.


Fig. 5

The blade outline should be made from wood which is uniform along the entire length of the strip. It is best to choose "B" grain wood about $6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{cu}$. ft. density so the outline, will be springy. Make the outlines in pairs, using strips which were adjacent to each other in the wood they came from. If the strip is square in cross section, be sure the strips are turned the same way while forming the outline. If the corner of the sheet is beveled as shown in Fig. 5, each strip you cut will have a bevel to show how the strip came off the sheet.

The outlines customarily are formed in pairs around a form as shown in Fig. 6. Soak the strips in warm water, anchor one end of both strips. with a pin and a pad of balsa to avoid crushing the strips, and pull the pair of strips around the form. Be sure to maintain tension on the strips - if you relax the tension as the strip bends around a curve, it will kink. Secure the strip to the form in several places and allowed to dry.

Prop ribs should be matched closely in size, and then out to length in pairs. A small plastic box is handy to store the second rib of each pair while you build the first blade.

When the prop is assembled on the block, the outline should be wet again and. allowed to dry to set the helix before the outline is glued to the ribs.

Although matched outlines and ribs help maintain uniformity between the prop blades, the block should have small balsa scraps just outside the outline to help hold the outline in the proper place (see Fig. 7).

## INDOOR PROPS - THEORY

Recap - Part 1

Comments from readers about a portion of Part 1 show that a clarification of the definition of pitch would be beneficial. That is, the statements made were correct, but didn't tell the whole truth. The following definitions may state the case better:

1. Effective pitch = The distance the model moves forward during one revolution of the propeller. (Fig. 1, Part 1)
2. Design pitch $=$ The distance the propeller would move in one revolution if it were operating in a solid substance with no slippage. This equates with the basic definition of pitch shown in Part 1 : Pitch $=3.14 \mathrm{x}$ Dia. x tan Blade Angle, but the derivation was omitted. In Fig. 1 below a blade element at radius $r$ moving with no slippage would generate a helix as shown. Fig. 2 shows the relationship of elements of the prop and helix; the hypotenuse of the triangle represents the helix, the base of the triangle represents the circular path at radius $r$ and the third side represents the distance moved by the prop (pitch, by the definition). Angle ? is the blade angle, and by using trigonometric relationships the pitch can be determined from the blade angle. This same relationship is diagrammed in INDOOR PROPS - PRACTICE (page 2, Nov. '67 INAV) as part of the prop block discussion.

It should be noted that effective pitch can also be defined in terms of velocity and propeller speeds
Effective Pitch = Velocity (inches/sec.) / Prop rev./sec.
Note that the time parameter ( /sec.) divides out, leaving pitch defined in inches/revolution, which is correct.

Another clarification of Part 1: The table of RPM and pitch vs. velocity was figured at a specified radius for a good reason. When you use the method shown in Figs. 1 and 2, adding 7 deg. angle of attack to blade angles at different radii will give different pitches. For example:

A prop with 30 " pitch has a blade angle of 67.3 deg. at 2 " radius and 25.6 deg. at 10 " radius. Adding 7 deg. to each gives $45^{\prime \prime}$ pitch at $2^{\prime \prime}$ radius and $39 "$ pitch at $10 "$ radius.

Next month a study will be made of non-standard pitch arrangements of the type used by Stan Chilton's model (p.3).


## January, 1968

INDOOR PROPS - PRACTICE
Part III - Covering
One of the more difficult tasks in building good props is covering the finished framework. It is quite easy to distort a well-built prop while covering it, so the blades should be covered one at a time and then placed back on the building jig to dry thoroughly. Some builders then use heat to tighten the film on each blade before removing it, further insuring an undistorted blade. As usual when heat-tightening film, you should use considerable care to avoid over-tightening.

Probably the most common way to cover props is shown in Fig. 1 below. The entire blade except the spar is moistened with water or saliva (saliva sticks better and dries slower) and the blade trailing edge is stuck to slack film on a hoop. The film next to the trailing edge is then trimmed loose to permit the rest of the outline and ribs to touch the film. This takes a light touch to avoid stressing the blade and a steady hand to avoid pulling the blade loose while trimming the film. To repeat: immediately place the wet blade back on the block to dry thoroughly before covering the other half of the prop.

Fig. 2 shows a prop covering frame. When building it, spread the ends at "A" while attaching the silkspan strip. This permits the silkspan to slacken when the frame relaxes. To cover the frame, squeeze the "handles" ("B") to tighten the silkspan; use rubber cement adhesive and aged microfilm. When the frame is cut loose, the frame will relax and slacken the film to make covering easier.

Fig. 3 details a prop covering fixture designed by Harry Lerman. The drawing and text is self-explanatory, and the device is quite good as long as the fixture is covered with very loose film.

Fig. 4 shows a modification to Harry's fixture which greatly eases the problem of slackening the film. Instead of covering the fixture on the bottom, install two strips of heavy silkspan across the top of the fixture, and cover it on top using rubber cement as adhesive. Thus, when the helix is twisted in (step 3, Fig. 3), twist the right hand dowel counterclockwise to slacken the film (Fig. 5)

A special vote of thanks to Harry Keshishian for making the photographic positive used in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.


Fig. 5

## Fig. 4

ASSEMBLE COMPONGMTS OF FIXTURE AS SHUWN IN SHETCH TIEKGEZ THE RLAIN NUTS UNTIL THE RUBBER WASHERS LOAD A GAINST THE FIXIVRE PARTS SO THAT, WHEN IT IS TWISTED IN OR OUT OF A HELIX, THE FIXTWRE WILL HOLD THAT FIXED DOSITIUN. THE SUFT PLASTIG WASHERS SHOULD HELP THE FIXTURE TO TWIST SMOUTHLY. A PLAIN NLT GAMIED AGAINST THE FIRST PGAIN NUT WILL PRETENT LOOSENIVG. COVER THE STRAIGNTENED FIXTURE WITH MIC, THE゙N SLD INTO HIXTUAG BASE BLOCAS AND SECUAF WITH WUOD SCAË́NS, (1) +(2) CARETULLT TWIST THE FIXTURE IVTO HE゙LICAL PUSITIUN AND SECURE TO BASE BLOCK WITH WOOD SCREW. (3) THE TWISTED FILM SHOWLD NUW TC BASE BLOGK WITH WOOD SGREW. (3). THE TWISTED FILM SHOWLD NUW CONFOAM TO MOST PROP BLADE CUIVFIENAATIL
AND DROGEED TO GOVER IT AT YOUR LEISURE.


## INDOOR PROPS - PRACTICE <br> Part IV - Prop Jigs

Part I (Nov. '67 INAV) dealt with
conventional prop blocks, design and construction. Carved prop blocks have several disadvantages. They are difficult to carve with ordinary tools, the block for a big prop is expensive, and you need so many if you build many different sizes of models. If you wish to experiment with non-helical pitch distribution (the THEORY series has implied that a prop which has a constant angle of attack will have a non-helical pitch distribution), it is difficult or impossible to carve a block to suit.

The prop jig below was submitted by Hardy Brodersen; he did not claim origin and I don't know who built this type of jig first. Nonetheless, this type of jig can be used to build props of any type of pitch
distribution, and it can be quickly modified to produce a prop with different pitch at only one or two stations.

The design of this jig is done graphically see the graph in THEORY (above). Each triangle in the jig below corresponds to a triangle in the sketch above, with like stations numbered alike.


Cut the station triangles carefully to insure accuracy. Measure their locations carefully on the baseplate. Glue []1 and []8 in place and pin a piece of $1 / 16$ " music wire or tubing to those two stations in the position shown by the centerline on the sketch. If you then glue the other stations in place just touching the wire, the whole jig will have proper alignment. Block "A" is located at the center of prop spar, to give a vertical reference for the prop hook. Two sheet metal pieces can be inserted in "A" to give a better reference (see sketch A). Each rib station needs to have three pegs installed on it (see sketch B). The center peg supports the prop spar during construction, and the other two define the blade outline.

## March, 1968

## INDOOR PROPS - PRACTICE <br> Part IV - Prop Jigs

The prop jig below was designed and built by Ed Cenkner for Wakefield props. The blade angle at each station is adjustable according to whatever design scheme you prefer. To build indoor props on the same jig, an insert is put into the center block. Note that a music wire peg is used to align the spar during construction, then the prop shaft is installed after construction.

Since the drawing was made, Ed has added a pitch scale to the end stations. The pitch is then set at each end, and a string stretched between them. For helical pitch, each intermediate station is set tangent to the string.


## INDOOR PROPS - PRACTICE

## A Direct Reading Pitchmeter

by Charlie Sotich
With the device shown it is possible to measure prop pitch directly at any point along the blade (starting about 1.5" from the hub). This makes it possible to compare props directly instead of assuming each is exactly like the block it was built on, and thus determine why different flight results are achieved with props that are supposed to be identical. The pitchmeter is easy to use:

1. Remove the carriage from the base and mount the prop, using a small rubber band to lightly pull the prop shaft into the bottom of the Vees.
2. Mount the carriage on the base with one prop tip in the slot of the disk. Rotate the disk to give clearance for the blade as you move the carriage to the desired radius for measurement, and stop the disk so the bottom of the slot is parallel to the bottom of the prop blade.
3. Read the blade radius from the scale under the carriage. Read down the chart along the line corresponding to this radius until you reach the top edge of the pointer. Now move horizontally to the side of the chart and read the pitch.

A few notes on the construction of the pitchmeter:

1. The axes of the chart must intersect at the center of the disk for accurate readings. Draw sharp lines on the plywood to mark the edges of the chart before cutting the opening for the disk.
2. Lay out the chart with radius increments of $1 / 2$ " spaced $1 / 4 "$ apart. Lay out the pitch scale in increments of 1" pitch. Determine the spacing of the pitch scale by dividing 3.5 " into 44 parts so each part 1 " of pitch.
3. The centerline of the Vees which hold the shaft must be parallel to the vertical lines on the chart. Mount a straight piece of wire in the Vees, and file on the Vees until the wire is parallel to the chart lines.
4. Lay out the prop radius scale on the base after assembly, using a ruler to measure from the face of the disk to the centerline of the Vees, and place marks on the base at the carriage pointer.

HINTS AND KINKS
One of the major drawbacks to the prop jig type shown below in Fig, 1 is the difficulty of cutting accurate triangles. No matter how you run the wood grain, you always have one cut across grain that must be accurate. The easy way is to assemble the station from two pieces of $3 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ x $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ balsa, as shown in Fig. 2. Except for glue drying time, this method is much quicker; it is far more accurate than cutting wood to the right angle.


Fig. 2

## Can Formed Props

## Taken from Norwind News - October 1994 \# 24 and December 1994 \#25

## PROPS FROM CANS WITHOUT CALCS by Joe Maxwell

Forming on a can, or other cylinder, is generally regarded as a simple, if somewhat inexact, method of producing the twist in the blades of Indoor propellers. However, the geometry involved if one cares to delve into it, is by no means simple and,. perhaps because of its complexity, has led to the publication of a number of erudite dissertations on the subject.

The authors I have in mind are, in chronological order:

| Max Chernoff | Model Aeronautics Yearbook 1964/65 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bob Meuser. | ....NFFS Symposium Report 1973 |
| Ron Williams | Building \& Flying Indoor Model Airplanes 1981 |
| Hermann Andresen. | ... Phoenix MAC Newsletter, August 1986 |
| Bud Tenny. | .Model Aviation, August and October 1988 |
| Bill Henderson | .Model Aviation, September and December 1989 |
| Kai Halsas | ..................Indoor News, April 1994 |

All of them explain, in different ways, how to calculate the diameter of the can and the angle of the sloping line. To do this, they employ a combination of formulae, graphs, tables and even computers. In contrast, the method described here requires little or no calculation.

As a preliminary, it is necessary to consider pitch distribution. All the writers, with the exception of Andresen, agree that, in can-formed props, the correct pitch occurs at only one or two locations along the length of the blade. (Andresen gets round this by using scimitar shaped blades). They disagree, however, on where those locations should be. Chernoff and Williams opt for hub and tip. Henderson, root and tip. Meuser, some distance in from the root and tip. Tenny and Halsas, at the $45^{\circ}$ set-up angle.

I suggest a rather different approach. It is usually accepted that the most effective part of a prop blade is around $70 \%$ of the overall radius. Therefore, is seems logical for the correct• pitch to apply at that position.

Before starting on the practical process, the following must-be decided.

- The propeller overall radius and hence the 70T-radius.
- The Pitch and hence the pitch angle at $70 \%$ radius.
- The maximum blade width.
- The camber, as a percentage of the blade width.

Pitch angle can be obtained from the equation Tan Pitch/6.28 Radius, or from the tables at the back of Ron Williams' book (Note - in those tables "radians" should read "degrees").

Start by marking the maximum blade width on a line. Then, on another line, bisecting the first, mark the camber height. Now, with a compass, find, by trial and error, the radius which passes through the three points. This gives the diameter of the can. (Fig 1).

Select a can of about the right size and fix it to a flat base of chipboard or similar. A few spots of cyano glue will hold the can, yet break away easily when required.

Make a mark on the can at a height above the base board equal to half the can diameter. (Fig 2). With this as a centre, and compass or dividers set to the $70 \%$ radius dimension, draw an arc on the can. (Fig 3).

Now make a template in stiff card, of the pitch angle at $70 \%$ radius. (Fig 4). Cut the sides using a sharp knife and a straight-edge to ensure that they are perfectly straight. (Later, when the prop is being assembled in a jig the same template gives the blade set-up angle).

Rest the template on the base, tangential to the arc and slide it towards the can until it touches. Hark the point of contact. (Fig 5).

With a strip of thick paper as a ruler, draw a line through the two points on the can and extend it to include the tip. (Fig 6). Small patches of doublesided tape will prevent the paper slipping. This is the Fine on which the blade centre lies when being formed.

In a way, this method is more accurate than the others because it allows for the fact that sections through the can, in line with the blade chords, are ellipses. The calculated methods assume them to be circles. (J.H.M.)


Can Formed Propellers
Early in the summer we had a request from Joe Maxwell for a couple of articles on can formed propellers, the combined Yates/O'Donnell archives provided him with this information. Soon after, Kai Halsas sent a learned dissertation and nomogram for determining can size and angle, since published by Indoor News (issue 28). Knowing his interest this was passed to Joe Maxwell, who then submitted his own down to earth thoughts on this subject. Like wood testing there were several minds engrossed on the same problem, a touch of deja vu. (DY)

## CAN FORMED PROPELLERS John Barker

It is necessary to say one thing straight away. Can formed props are very inaccurate, particularly at low P/D ratios, if you are trying to produce a 'true helical pitch' propeller. Therefore a lot of clever calculation or lengthy tables do not produce a better propeller - they produce a more accurate inaccurate one if you see what I mean! However I have looked at their layout in the past so I would like to comment on the references quoted in the October 94 Newsletter and Joe Maxwell's article and then try to contribute something myself.

Max Chernoff (Zaic 1964) did not seem to appreciate that the method is not accurate and indeed states that it assures true pitch values. As he does not consider any root cut off the results of his tables would not be good.

Bob Meuser (.NFFS Sympo 1973). As is usual with Bob Meuser, a masterly treatise that says it all. A full discussion of the errors and the best way of handling them. I suppose the only trouble is that because it was comprehensive it looked a bit daunting and so probably wasn't read as much as it merited.

Ron Williams (his 1981 book). This excellent book unfortunately managed to make the can forming calculations look difficult. It made no mention of how the inevitable inaccuracies affect the calculations but at least, in a footnote, it did admit that there were inaccuracies.

I do not have the September 1989 'Model Aviation' but the bits by Bud Tenny and Bill Henderson in the other issues don't say anything new. Indeed Bud Tenny's spread sheet computer approach really confuses things. I don't doubt that it was done with the best of intentions and that the underlying formulae are correct but the strange choice of input values makes most of the output a nonsense. The most amusing thing to me in Bud's column was that someone has produced for sale a block in the shape of a tin can for producing can form props! How crazy can things get?

Regarding Joe Maxwell's own approach. It is a novel idea to slide the angle template up to the can. Extending this idea, I think that using templates with the correct angles for several stations along the blade would enable one to draw a curved blade similar to what I assume to be the Andreason approach. There are a couple of other points with which I would take issue. First, by ignoring the fact that most indoor propellers blades are cut off some distance from the root, the method gives a blade angle distribution which is less good than it could be. (This will be made clearer later on.)

Second, I do not think that basing the can diameter on the aerofoil camber is a practical idea. In any case the approach in the sketch is not correct because the section of the can forming the camber is an ellipse. Joe mentions, in his last paragraph, that the cross sections are ellipses but ignores it as it affects the-camber.

## INACCURACIES

Refer to Figure 1. This shows the angle variation of a helical pitch propeller for $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{D}$ ratios of $1,1.5$ and 2 . There is also a dotted, straight line on the graph representing the angle variations of a can formed blade. The problem is to match the straight, can formed, angle variations to the curved, helical pitch, angle variations. The straight line can be moved up and down the graph by choosing a suitable setting angle when we mount the blades on to the hub. The slope of the straight line can be varied by varying the slant angle at which the blade blank is mounted on the tin can. What we cannot do is change the straight line into a curve (unless we use something like Andreason's scimitar blades).

You will notice that the angle variation for helical twist is greatest near the hub. However, if the blades do not start from the hub, as with most indoor propellers, then this area of high twist can be ignored. I recommend that the blades are started at 0.2 radius to give the can forming a reasonable chance and the following procedures are based on this figure. It is now only necessary to match the straight line to the curved region between 0.2 radius and the tip. This is somewhat better but the errors are still significant. Without wasting space on the
 proof (which is well covered in Bob Meuser's paper) it can be stated that at a $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{D}$ of 2 the total error in blade angle will be $6^{\circ}$, at $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{D}$ of $1.5,8^{\circ}$ and at $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{D}$ of 1,10 .

## THE REQUIRED TWIST

If the blade angles for helical twist are checked at the 0.2 radius and at the tip we get the following figures.
The last column gives the total twist along the blade and it will be noticed that it varies by only 1.75 degrees over this wide range of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{D}$. In what follows I use $40^{\circ}$ as a constant. It is easy to use the precise figure if you want to (as shown in the next paragraph) but is it worth it when the other inherent errors are so much greater?

| $P / D$ | $0.2 R$ R. Angle | Tip Angle | 0.2 R.-Tip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 57.86 | 17.66 | 40.20 |
| 1.5 | 67.27 | 25.52 | 41.75 |
| 2.0 | 72.56 | 32.48 | 40.08 |

## WHAT WE NEED TO DO

All we have to do is to lay the blade on the can so that it is twisted by $40^{\circ}$. If we were calculating this, (which is the easiest way!), we would need to calculate $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{R} 8$ where R is the tin radius 8 is $40^{\circ}$ in radians ( 0.698 ) and L is the distance we wrap the blade around the can. However, as most people seem to prefer a graphical approach, I have drawn Figure 2 which gives the wrap around for different can diameters. If you want to draw your own diagram, .8 is the amount of twist and the scale (although marked can diameter for convenience) is the can radius multiplied by $8 / \tan 8$ where 8 again is in radians.
DOING IT

1. See Figure 3. Lay a strip of paper on Figure 2 at the chosen can diameter and make marks on the edge of the paper where it crosses the sloping lines.
2. See Figure 4. Wrap the strip of paper around the can and make marks on the can in line with -the marks on the strip_ Draw two vertical lines - on the can in line with marks.
3. See Figure 5. Do whatever you do to the blade blanks as regards to soaking etcetera and fasten them to the can. with the centre. points at each end of the blade aligned with the vertical lines. You do NOT need to draw slanting lines. Note, as mentioned previously, this assumes that the hub end of the blade is at 0.2 R
4. Attach the blades to the hub at an angle that distributes the errors in the way. that you. prefer. To amplify that. A can formed .blade has more angle at the centre than a helically formed one. For example at a P/D of 2 the error is $6^{\circ}$ as mentioned earlier. If the centre of the .can formed blade is set at the correct angle for a P/D of $2\left(46.7^{\circ}\right)$ then the root and tip will be washed out by $6^{\circ}$. It may seem sensible to set the centre at $49.7^{\circ}$ to average out the error but do not forget that the root and tip are much less effective than the centre and you may finish up with too high an effective pitch.

## CLOSING COMMENTS

I leave the choice of can size to you. It soon becomes obvious what size of can is going to produce a decent prop and clever calculations are not warranted. I also do not think that it is worth trying to pick the can to give blade camber.

The steps given here to set a blade on the can only take about fifty seconds to carry out - and that includes measuring the can diameter! However, do not get the impression that this method is some quick and dirty approximation. It is accurate as far as can formed propellers can be accurate and must be more accurate in use than any of the methods which try to draw a sloping line at a precise angle on the outside of a cylinder.

So much has been written about can formed props that they now have a mystique which I do not think is warranted. It is a useful way of turning out a propeller in a hurry. If, sometimes, the result is as good as a propeller produced by more conventional means, then it is a matter of pure chance and not because the method has any particular aerodynamic merit.


## Pennyplane Props

## By Jack O'Leary - Originally published in Minneapolis Modeler July/August 2001

Novice Pennyplane props are governed by rule which limits their diameter to 12 inches. The chord of the prop is usually a generous 1.5 to 2 Inches. Pitch ranges from 20 to 26 inches. Generally the prop axis is positioned from .55 to .7 chord to increase flare In the power burst resulting in a less vigorous climb to cruise altitude.

Let's make a Pennyplane prop. Carefully select $1 / 32$ ", C-grain stock, about 5 to 7 lb . per cu. ft. Cut the prop blades to shape using a cardboard template which has $1 / 16$ dia. reference holes to mark the prop spar axis and the 45 degree pitch angle reference line. If you determine that you want a 22 inch pitch prop these 45 degree reference holes will be 3.5 inches from the prop shaft axis. See Fig. 1.

Stack the blades and sand perimeter to get identical blades shape, then lay flat and sand to get a bade root thickness about $.030^{\prime \prime}$ tapering to approx. $.010^{\prime \prime}$ at the tip. Now reposition the cardboard template over the blades and using a fine felt tip pen or very soft pencil, mark through the template to establish your prop axis and 45 degree angle reference points on the backside. Now connect the points with a light line.

Okay, still with me? Now we select an appropriate prop pitch forming fixture, i.e., a pre-carved block of your selected pitch. I'll stick with 22 " for the purposes of this article, here are the
 dimensions, Fig. 3. Or if you choose, you can get excellent results with a can or jug formed prop about which much has been published (Linstrum, Meuser et all) or a J. Jones fiberglass prop form (my preference) which are available in many pitches.


Jim Jones has an excellent article in the August 80, M.A.N. which provides all the necessary Info. on carving helical pitch prop forming blocks.

Prepare your pitch forming block by giving it about four coats of dope, and tracing the prop axis, the 45 degree reference lines and the prop outline in the correct position. I go a step further and contact cement ( 3 M Sprayment, now under a new name, 3M Craft Mount) Glad Wrap material on top of the doped surface.

Now soak your blades in hot water for 10 to 15 minutes and lay up on the form by strapping with dressmaker's elastic tape or some old $1 / 4$ " gum band. Protect the top of the blades with blotter material which you have cut slightly oversize to the prop blade template. I generally make two props at a time, so I lay up four blades on the pitch forming block. If you're making EZB props put an intervening layer of jap tissue between the blades which will facilitate separating them after the blades are oven cured.

With water, re-spray the blades which are strapped to the pitch forming block and place in a 180 degree- 200 degree F. oven for an hour. For the energy conscious, I've found that an electric fry pan works equally as well if you raise the "stuff" on a trivet. Let the blades cool down completely before un-strapping, and separating the blades from one another.

Select some tough, springy $8-10 \mathrm{lb} ., 3 / 32$ sq. stock for the prop spar. Sand to a round cross section which tapers from .08 " root to approx. . 02 " diameter at the tips. Bob Mouser had a neat method of achieving a tapered round spar which he reported in his "No Non-Cents" Pennyplane article about three years ago in "Model Aviation". Match the two prop spars for flax, weight and size. The prop spar should extend to $1 / 2$ to $2 / 3$ 's along the prop spat axis. Attach the prop blades to each of the prop spars with the glue of your choice. With Pennyplane, because of the liberal weight rule, glue choice is not particularly important. In EZB, however, because minimum weight is crucial, I'd stick to Micro X products or acetone thinned Ambroid.

Construct a prop assembly fixture as per Fig. 4.

Make a number of jap tissue hubs by selecting the correct size music wire (generally $3 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ di. for Pennyplane). After polishing so no burrs remain on the cut end, rub the music wire with wax paper or silicone treated release paper (which works better). Cut strips of Jap tissue approximately $3 / 4$ " wide by $1-1 / 4$ " long. Moisten the short end of the tissue and wrap around (once) the music wire. Carefully apply thinned cement to the tissue and roll the music wire between your fingers, applying more cement as needed. Take care not to get cement on the music wire which will make it difficult, if not impossible, to remove from the tube. Let the tube set up on the music wire for 30 seconds or so and push the tube off the end of the music wire. Let dry completely before cutting to length (about .75") 2 hours to overnight. You'll find that you'll goof the first five tubes but by the end of the evening you'll be making them like a pro.


Pre-shape the music wire prop shaft so the wrap around portion matches the O.D. of the paper hub. Do not form the rubber hook at this time.

We are now ready to assemble and complete the prop. Take the two prop blades with spars attached. Trim the prop spar at the root so that they will join at the center. Position the prop shaft over the tissue tube but do not glue at this time. Insert prop shaft into tube in fixture. Push (should be a moderately tight fit) both prop spars into the tissue tube hub and twist the blades so that the 45 degree L reference lines coincide exactly with the 45 degree L plywood reference plates on the fixture. Now, tack glue the blades at the 45 degree L plates and carefully hot stuff the prop shaft and prop spars to the paper hub. Cut away the tack glue areas and free prop from the fixture. Now form the reverse "S" rubber hook and your prop is complete. See figure 5.

I hope this article encourages fellow club members to get into Pennyplane. Our participation has stagnated to where only three of us are flying P plane at the indoor meets. Making indoor props is relatively simple after you've tooled up to do the job. Took me a week to write this damn article but I can knock out a half dozen P. plane props In an evening with ease.


## The Twister

## Dave Aronstein

## OVERVIEW

This article describes a device and method I developed for forming laminated blades for props for rubber-powered outdoor free-flight model airplanes. The idea behind the device was a "moldable mold" that would enable the forming of blades of varying convexity (undercamber), degree of twist and conformation. While twisting away on the problem, and some sheets of wood veneer, I hit upon the idea of the "Twister." I am confident that this jig and laminating method make a valuable contribution to the art of forming propeller blades.

The "Twister" is essentially a wooden frame with pivoting clamps that hold convex polycarbonate sheet formers. It includes adjustable stops to control the amount of twist, and a "pull-down strap" to control straightness of the prop blade. Prop blade laminations are first cut to shape and glued together. Then they are placed between the polycarbonate sheet formers (called the "twisting sheets") which form and compress the laminations as they are twisted end-to-end by the pivoting clamps. The clamps hold the sheet ends together and limit bowing of the sheets while allowing them to shorten when twisted. The pull-down strap is used optionally to form larger square-tipped and paddle-type blades and blades with washout by pulling down the twisting sheets. This straightens the blade leading edge and reduces the twist toward the tip.

The "Twister" is built from materials available at hardware stores, and can be made at home with ordinary shop tools. The plan for this article shows the jig with a capacity for blades up to seven inches long and two and one-half inches
 wide. The pivoting clamps will accommodate twisting sheets with a formed radius of three inches or larger. Clamps and twisting sheets of a different radius can in any case be substituted. The "how-to" part of this article gives a method for forming twisting sheets of any radius as well as instructions for using the "Twister."

The laminating method is a three-layer bias-grain lamination consisting of one layer of $1 / 32$-inch thick sheet balsa (or $1 / 16$ " for larger blades) as the top layer over two layers of $1 / 64$-inch thick aircraft plywood. The grain of the laminations is oriented on a 40- to 45 -degree angle in relation to the lengthwise axis of the blade, such that the grain slants inward and downward from tip to root when looking at the balsa-layer side of the blade (which side will face away from the fuselage for a tractor prop), with the leading edge at the bottom. Orienting the grain of the laminations this way allows for easy twisting, resists untwisting and coning under load, and allows for backward flexure to help avoid breakage. It also avoids buckling of the blade at the twist transition point if the blade is pulled down for washout. I use a pattern and a ballpoint pen to draw the blade shape on the balsa and the plywood, and cut the wood with scissors. The laminations are
 glued with polyurethane glue (Gorilla brand or Elmer's). This glue is very strong, lightweight, and sands down easily and smoothly. The plywood allows for a very thin trailing section without causing reflex of the trailing edge.

A thin blade (thickness-to-chord ratio under .10) performs as if its pitch is lower than nominal, and the convexity (undercamber) compensates for the relatively thin section and adds rigidity. The radius selected for the twisting sheets depends on the blade planform, length, twist (pitch) and planned application of the prop. Where thickness-to-chord ratio is .10

or more, I use twisting sheets with a radius of about nine inches. This produces a nearly flat bottom, for reduced drag. For thinner-section blades, depending again on the planform, etc., I use formers with a radius of $31 / 2$ inches or $51 / 2$ inches. The whole idea behind the jig is to facilitate experimentation.

The "Twister" and this laminating method can produce a thin, strong blade of any degree of twist and convexity that is axially straight (flat) from root to tip. It can produce blades with wash-out or wash-in (hypotwist). Using the instructions and the plan which follow, you can make your own "Twister," and then make blades and props quite possibly unlike any you have made before. Like me, soon you won't just be flying planes, you'll be "flying props." If you aren't up to making one, but want one, contact me on the web.


## MAKING AND USING THE "TWISTER"

## MATERIALS

## Wood

```
Frame: 1" x 6" pine or similar, kiln-dry, smooth, knot-free, straight.
Backplates: 1" x 4" pine, or similar, k-d, smooth, etc.
Pivoting clamps: 1 1/2" thick tight-grain, kiln-dried, smooth, knot-free hardwood
(mahogany, oak, other) or good-quality dense softwood such as southern yellow pine
or Douglas fir. ( 3/4"-thick wood can be laminated and substituted.)
```


## Polycarbonate (trade-name: Lexan)

Two (2) pieces $21 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{x} .093^{\prime \prime}$ thick.

## Hardware

```
Two 1/4" x 2" carriage bolts, washers and nuts.
Two draw hasps (draw clamps) (National N 208512V35 - 2 3/4"; or Ace 5300231 - 2 1/2").
Two 1 1/2"' x 2"' to 2 1/2"' tight-pin desk hinges (National N 211-870).
One 4" x 5/8" steel "mending plate" (National N-114-405), or similar.
Six 1/2"steel washers.
Flat-head (bugle-head) wood deck screws (deck screws), #6 x 1 5/8".
Pan-head wood screws, 5/8" to 3/4".
Two 10 d. (10 penny) nails.
One Velcro 18" heavy-duty cinch strap. (Sold two to a package.)
Sheet-metal vent pipe, 6" to 10" diameter x 14" long, (cut down from two-foot
standard length), or flat metal sheet, 20-guage or thinner, 7" x 14". (Used for
forming polycarbonate twisting sheets.)
Wood glue (Gorilla or Elmer's polyurethane) (for frame and laminations).
Six to eight spring-loaded v-clamps (Craftsman # 65942) (For clamping twisting
sheets)
```


## FABRICATION AND ASSEMBLY

(See Construction Plan)

## Book-end Frame

Frame Base Plate: (One) 1"x 6 " x $121 / 2$ ".

Frame Upright Ends ("Frame Uprights"): (Two) 1"x $6 \times 10$ ".

Mark the centerline top to bottom on one side of each frame upright. Mark for drilling on the centerline $3 \frac{3}{4}$ " from one end, which will be the top. On one upright, which will be the right side upright, use a carpenter's square to draw a line at 90 degrees to the centerline at the $3 \frac{3}{4}$ " mark across the face of the upright. Next, from this centerpoint, using a protractor, draw lines at five (5) ten-degree intervals below the 90 -degree line (at $80,70,60,50$, and 40 degrees) in the lower right quadrant of the upright, as shown in the photo below and on the plan. Drill through the $33 / 4$ " centerpoint on each upright with $1 / 4$ " drill bit. Butt-joint the frame uprights to the ends of the base plate. The upright with the degree lines goes on the right, with the lines facing in. Make sure that the uprights are at 90 degrees to the base plate, and that the holes in the uprights line up. Apply wood glue and fasten with $15 / 8$ " wood screws. Using a $9 / 64$ drill bit, drill a hole about $21 / 2 "$ deep
 into the top of each upright toward the front corner for keeper-holes for the 10 d nail stop-pins.

## Pivoting Clamps

Each complete pivoting clamp consists of the hinged clamp attached to the backplate. Each clamp has mating halves. The backplates are made first, then the clamps are made and attached to the backplates.

Note in the photo above that the clamps can be wider than the backplates. "r $5 \frac{1}{2}$ " denotes the radius of the slot arc.

## Backplates for Pivoting Clamps (Two)

$31 / 2$ " wide x $51 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ long by $3 / 4$ " thick. (Use standard " 1 ' x 4 "", usually $31 / 3$ " actual width. Exact width unimportant.)


Mark the centerline top to bottom on the face of each backplate. Extend the line across each end to the back side. Mark one backplate, which will be the left backplate, for drilling on the centerline at $1 / 2$ " and at $31 / 2$ " from one end, which will be the top end. Drill through the $1 / 2 "$ point with $9 / 64$ " bit (for a 10 d nail). At the $31 / 2 "$ point, use a $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ spade blade to drill a $3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ deep hole (for the head of the carriage bolt), then drill through with a $1 / 4$ " drill bit. Install the carriage bolt, and pull it into the hole with a washer and nut. Check to see that the bolt head is below flush. Set aside. On the second backplate, which will be the right backplate, mark for drilling on the centerline $31 / 4 /$ from one end, which will be the top, and drill with the spade blade and the $1 / 4 "$ bit as before. Install the carriage bolt and set aside.

## Clamps (Two)

3 "x 4 " x $11 / 2$ " overall. Each has mating halves. Note "A" and "B" halves (sides) on the plan. Cut wood with grain horizontal for the "A" sides if wood strength doubtful. (Clamps can be made from $3 / 4$ "- thick pieces joined together. A method of cutting and assembly is illustrated on the plan.) The plan shows the clamps with an arc radius of $31 / 2$ inches, and an arc length of $21 / 2$ inches. (These clamps will accommodate twisting sheets with a larger radius. Large-radius sheets can be ground off to fit the clamps.) Hold the clamp halves together in a vise and fasten the hinge to one end with wood screws. Mount the hinge flush with or set in from the edge of the clamp. Turn the clamp over in the vice and fasten the draw hasp to the top of the clamp with wood screws. Mount the hasp body on the "A" side, and the hook on the "B" side of the clamp, as shown on the plan. If using the National brand arm-type hasp, the flat spring-steel "arm" of the hasp will straighten under tension, so leave a gap between the hasp and the hook when mounting. If using the loop-type draw clamp, watch that the hook base, when attached to the top of the clamp, does not overhang the edge of the clamp on the side of the clamp abutting the back plate. If it does, it will bind with the backplate. The hook base can be filed off in any case.

## Fastening the Clamps to the Backplates

Position the first clamp on the left backplate (the one with the $9 / 64$ " hole near the top) with the "A" side on the right and such that the carriage bolt
head is centered in the arcing slot of the pivoting clamp. The bottom edge of the clamp should be flush with the bottom edge of the backplate so that the hinge does not impinge on the backplate. Holding it in this position, turn it over, and drill two pilot holes with a $\# 6$ countersink wood bit through the back side of the back plate and into the clamp "A" side, about $3 / 4$ " from the top and bottom of the clamp, and about $3 / 4$ " in from the outside edge, and fasten the "A" side to the back plate with the $15 / 8$ " flathead wood screws. Countersink the screw heads. For the right pivoting clamp, position the second clamp on the remaining back plate with the " A " side on the left, with the carriage bolt head centered in the arcing slot. Fasten to the back plate as above.

Install the pivoting clamps on the frame uprights. Do not put a washer between the backplate and the frame upright. Install a washer and nut on each bolt, tighten moderately, and check for binding or resistance. If binding occurs, it could mean the bolt hole isn't straight, or the clamp mounting screws are not below flush with the back surface of the back plate, or that the frame upright is cupped or warped.

## Pull-Down Strap Anchor

( 4 " $\times 5 / 8$ " mending plate) This will be used to anchor the Velcro pull-down strap. Mount it flat, with three washers between it and the edge of the base plate to create a gap for the strap. Fasten to the center of the edge of the base plate on the side adjacent to the pivoting clamp "A" sides with pan-head wood screws.

## Pull-Down Strap

(Velcro 18" heavy-duty cinch strap) Pass the strap down through the gap between the strap anchor and the base plate so that the lead end points away from you with the "fuzzy" side facing down. The buckle of the strap will be brought beneath and up over the twisting sheets, so that the tagend is pulled up through the back of the buckle and down on the outside facing the rear of the jig to cinch.

## Drill the Left Pivoting Clamp Stop-Pin hole

Remove the right pivoting clamp. Put the left clamp in the vertical position. Use a $9 / 64$ " bit to drill through the hole near the top of the backplate into and through the frame upright. This hole is for a 10 d nail stop pin.

## Mark the Locations for and Drill the Right Pivoting Clamp StopPin Holes

See first photo under "Fabrication and Assembly." Remove the left pivoting clamp. Reinstall the right pivoting clamp, and rotate it away from you, counter-clockwise, so that the hinge is facing you and the " B " side of the clamp is facing up and is parallel to the base plate. Align the centerline you drew on the bottom edge of the backplate with the 90 -degree line you drew across the face of the frame upright. Use a pencil to draw a line on the frame upright along the upward-facing edge of the clamp " B " side. The line parallels the 90 -degree line you drew across the face of the upright, and intersects the vertical centerline of the frame upright at 90 degrees. Next, rotate the pivoting clamp to align the centerline on the bottom edge of the backplate with the 80 -degree line on the lower right quadrant of the upright. Again draw a line on the frame upright along the edge of the clamp "B" side, facing up, as you did with the 90-degree line. Repeat this for the $70-, 60-, 50$ - and 40 -degree positions. Remove the pivoting clamp. Next, drill a hole with a $9 / 64$ " bit at each degree-line in the upper left quadrant. Make the bottom of each hole right at each line, so that the stop pin will stop the pivoting clamp at each line. The 90 -degree line hole will be toward the outer end of the line, near the edge of the frame upright. Each succeeding hole should be moved in, so that the pattern roughly forms an arc, as shown in the photo and on the plan.

Reinstall the pivoting clamps. Do not put washers between the backplates and the frame uprights.

## "Twisting Sheets": (Two)

"Lexan" polycarbonate .093 gauge ( $3 / 32$ "). Commonly available in precut sheets 11 " x 14 ". Have your supplier cut it into strips $21 / 2$ "x 11 ". It can also be cut with a radial-blade (fine tooth) table saw or with a jig saw, or by scoring deeply with a utility knife and breaking it over a table-edge. Remove the protective plastic after cutting and remove any roughness from the edges. Forming is done on a sheet metal vent pipe ("stove pipe") or a form in an oven at 325 degrees F., one sheet at a time. Sheet metal vent pipes are commonly available in diameters of five to ten inches and twoto three-foot lengths. A seven-inch diameter pipe is a good size to start with, unless you know that you want a larger-radius form. Cut the pipe to 14 inches long with tin snips. Place the pipe in the oven on an open rack, front to back, chock to prevent movement if necessary, and preheat the oven
to 325 degrees. Place the polycarbonate on the top centerline of the pipe. It should conform in a few minutes. If it doesn't conform in a few minutes, raise the heat just a little. If it starts to bubble, open the oven door to cool it. As soon as it conforms, using heat-resistant mitts or leather gloves, remove the pipe from the heat, and allow it to air cool. If the polycarbonate sheet begins to curl, hold it down with your gloves. The sheet will slide off the pipe after it cools. If overheated, polycarbonate will bubble, curl, and shrink. The bubbles and pocks will make undesirable indentations in balsa laminations. (As a precaution, open a window and remove any pet birds from the oven area when heating plastic.)

If a stove pipe of a desired diameter is not available, or, if you want to make twisting sheets of various radii without buying a lot of pipes, you can make a forming "buck" of wood and sheet metal with replaceable ends for different radii.

## Making a Forming "Buck" for Forming the "Twisting Sheets"

The "buck" is a wood book-end frame with a rounded sheet metal "roof." The frame consists of two wood end pieces with a round top of the desired radius mounted on two wood rails. The ends can be cut from stock plywood "rounds" or from stock 1 " x 8 " or 1 " x 10 " pine or similar. The rails are made from 1" x 2 " pine. The ends measure seven inches wide at the base, and four inches high at the sides to the beginning of the rounded top. The top is cut to the desired radius. You can use a stove pipe for the sheet metal, or flat sheet galvanized or stainless steel, 20 - to 24 -gauge. The sheet metal is cut to 7 " x 14 ," and is screwed to the frame ends in the middle and at the corners. Holes are drilled in the sheet metal at the edge in
 the middle of the frame span, and uncoated wire is inserted through the holes and wrapped under the rails to pull down the sides of the sheet metal. The wood frame can withstand the preheating and heating time without igniting.

## Mark the "Twisting Sheet" Forming and Reference Lines

After forming the twisting sheets, use a sharp-pointed black permanent marker to draw lines on the underside surface of one twisting sheet bisecting the sheet horizontally and vertically. Next, using a protractor, draw a diagonal line bottom-left to upper-right as viewed from the top at 6 and 12 degrees to the horizontal centerline, using the intersection of the bisecting lines as the centerpoint. These are forming reference lines.


Install the twisting sheets in the pivoting clamps. (Grind off any spots that bind with the clamps.) Close the draw hasps. Using the marking pen, draw a line on the surface of the top twisting sheet at each end along the face of the pivoting clamps. (See picture above.) These are visual reference lines.

## Testing the "Twister"

Put the left pivoting clamp in the vertical position and insert a 10 d nail through the hole in the backplate through the frame upright. Now rotate the right pivoting clamp counter- clockwise 90 degrees to expose the hole at the 90 -degree line. (If twisting with your bare hands is difficult, use a $1 / 4$ " drill bit to drill a hole down into the top of the right clamp backplate for a helper tool.) Insert a 10 d nail from the back side of the upright through the hole in the backplate as before. Observe that the reference lines on the top twisting sheet at the face of the clamps are no longer parallel to the clamps.

Hold a six-inch straightedge rule to the top side of the sheets along the horizontal midline (the zero-degree forming line). Observe the bowing along that line. Now hold the straightedge to the surface along the 12-degree diagonal forming line. Pivot the straightedge in an " $x$ " motion to find the angle at which you have a flat line. As the twist of the sheets is reduced, the flat line will be at a smaller angle to the horizontal line, and as the twist is increased, the flat line will be at a higher angle. When forming a blade, to achieve a flat centerline from tip to root without using the pull-down strap, the centerline of the blade will placed on the diagonal angle appropriate for the degree of twist (plus two degree or so depending on the recovery characteristics of the laminations used). For example, the 12 -degree line is the approximate line for 70 degrees of twist.

Turn the "Twister" on its side. Hold the straightedge to the bottom side of the sheets, along the horizontal centerline [Figure 1]. Observe that there
is a large gap at the middle between the straightedge and the surface of the sheet. A blade formed with its centerline along the horizontal midline at 90 degrees of twist will be strongly bowed down, as if formed on a cylinder.

Pivot the straightedge to the 12 -degree line. Observe that the line is flat.

Now pull down on the sheets until the top side of the sheets looks flat [Figure 2]. Hold the straightedge to the bottom side along the midline. Observe that there is still a small gap in the middle.

Now pull down on the strap until the top side of the sheets is slightly concave [Figure 3]. Hold the straightedge again to the bottom side. With the straightedge touching the sheets at the center and held level, there are gaps at the ends of the straightedge between it and the sheet surface. Pivot the straightedge to the 12degree line. Observe the large gap in the center. This means that a blade formed with its centerline on the horizontal midline with this amount of tension of the pull-down strap will be washed out, because the result of pulling down to this degree is a straightening of the blade leading edge and a decrease in the twist from the blade mid-point to the tip.

A blade with mild washout can also be formed without the strap by placing the centerline at a higher angle than nominal for the given degree of twist.

The size of the blade you can make depends on the forming angle. The maximum size for a blade formed at 15 degrees is six inches by one inch. As the forming angle is reduced, the blade size can be increased. See figure 1 below.

In Figure 4, the inside, smaller "blade" measures 1 " x 6 " and the outside, larger "blade" measures $15 / 8$ " by 7 inches. Maximum blade side is $21 / 2 " \times 7$ inches.

Next, cut the laminations to the desired shape, but do not glue them. Place them between the sheets on the 12-degree forming line, lock them into the clamps, and twist to 90 degrees, then 80 , then 70,60 , and 50 degrees. Observe that the deflection of the vertical reference lines on the top of the sheets at the face of the clamps is greater than with no laminations between the sheets, and that it decreases from 90 to 50 degrees. The degree of deflection will vary with the thickness of the laminations and the degree of twist.

Find the "flatline" at $90,80,70,60$, and 50 degrees of twist. Note that the flatline is at a lower is at a lower angle as the twist is reduced. The forming line for any given degree of twist will be the angle at which the blade centerline is flat end-to-end, plus two degrees or so to account for the rebound of the lamination materials.


Figure 1
Release the twisting sheets and the laminations, and place the laminations with the centerline on the 6 -degree forming line, and twist as before. Note at what degree of twist the centerline is flat. Repeat for the horizontal midline (the zero-degree line), and try the pull-down strap.

The degree of twist can be increased incrementally by shimming under the right side nail-pin.

## Making a Blade

Tape a wax paper fold to the top side of the bottom twisting sheet so that it can be folded over the laminations. Make the fold open to the top. Apply the glue to the laminations and place them on the wax paper with the top layer facing up, the root end on the right, and the leading edge facing you, and with the centerline on or two degrees above the diagonal forming line appropriate for the planned degree of resultant twist. (See chart at end). Tape the ends of the laminations to the wax paper to hold them in place.

The top sheet is put on, the sheets are locked into the clamps, and the pin is inserted for the left pivoting clamp. The right clamp is then rotated to the desired degree and pinned.

If the laminations slide off each other, remove and use a little masking tape on the edges at the corners. The location of the "flat line" is checked with the straightedge and the laminations are repositioned in the sheets if desired. The spring-loaded, rubbertipped v-clamps are then used to clamp the top and bottom edges of the twisting sheets to make sure that the edges of the laminations are tightly closed.

Polyurethane glue foams a few minutes after application. The foaming pressure may push the edges of the laminations apart if too much glue is used and they are not well-clamped. It hardens in ten hours. To release the blade, remove the right-end stop-pin, release the draw hasps, the sheets and the blade. Allow the blade to rest for an hour after removal before sanding.

Look at your blade blank from tip to root and at the leading edge profile. The lengthwise axis of the blade should be straight, as in an axle between two wheels. For a washed-out blade, there will be a slight concavity of the top lengthwise axis of the blade, and a consequent lowering of the rate of twist from the blade mid-point to the tip.

If practicable, measure the end-to-end twist graphically by holding the root end flat to a flat surface and measuring the lift at the tip from the trailing edge to the leading edge with a ruler. Draw it on graph paper, and measure it with a protractor. Or, use a pitch gauge. Keep track of the laminations used, the grain orientation, the twist applied, etc., for reference.


Figure 2


Figure 3


Figure 4


The twist (pitch) and twist profile resultant from any given degree of twist (and degree of pulling down of the blade) will depend upon the location of the blade centerline in relation to the forming line, the lamination materials, the planform and length, convexity, and whether and the extent to which the blade is pulled down for washout. The consistency of these factors will determine the consistency of the results. If you really want to find out if you are creating a good blade profile, after sanding, cut the finished blade in half along the chord line.


Below are data on the results of twisting all-balsa and plywood-backed balsa laminations measuring 1 " by 6 ".

All-balsa ( $1 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ over $1 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ )

| Radius | Twist Applied | Forming Line Angle | Resultant | Resultant as \% of Twist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $87 / 8$ | 90 | 0 | 39 | $43(1)$ |
| $31 / 2$ | 90 | 0 | 39 | $43(2)$ |
| $31 / 2$ | 90 | 12 | 27 | $30(3)$ |
| $31 / 2$ | 90 | 12 | 27 | $30(4)$ |

1. and 3. Grain parallel.
2. and 4. Grain on 40 -degree angle.

## Balsa-plywood ( $1 / 32$ over 2 layers $1 / 64$ )

| Radius | Twist | Forming Line Angle | Resultant | Resultant as $\%$ of Twist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $51 / 2$ | 90 | 0 | 39 |
| 2. $51 / 2$ | 90 | 15 | 38 | $.43(1)$. |
| 3. $51 / 2$ | 80 | 12 | 34 | .42 |
| 4. $51 / 2$ | 70 | 12 | 32.5 | .425 |
| 5. $51 / 2$ | 60 | 9 | 26.5 | .457 |
| 6. $51 / 2$ | 50 | 9 | 22.5 | .43 |
|  |  |  |  | .44 |

1. Pulled down with strap.

Radius in inches.
Twist, angle, and resultant in degrees.
Results measured graphically.
Note: to get twist resultant in degrees per inch, divided resultant by six.


## Double Twister Propeller Jigs For Indoor and Outdoor Models

## Carl Bakay

When Matt Payne's article for a Twister came out on the Small Flying Arts website, I built one right away. Most of the pine boards were already in my garage workshop, but it was also a lot of fun rummaging around the hardware aisles of the home supply stores, looking for all of the little hardware items. The most difficult to make items in his design were the $3 / 32$ " thick Lexan formers, which had to be oven-heated and curved to get a camber on the blade, but Matt was happy to make a few and send them to me. Everything else was simple wooden construction. If you can make a birdhouse, you can make a Twister in a single evening.

Working with the Original Twister

There were changes made by yours truly, however. I didn't see any reason to use hinges and clasps on the end blocks, so I drilled mine out to use four-inch long bolts to hold the halves of the clamps together. Now I know there was a method to Matt's madness, and it is that after a twisted prop is made, and you try and release it from the Twister, there are a lot of stresses involved with that very tough plastic.


Matt wanted a quick-release so the finished blade would not be damaged as the Twister tried to snap back to the zero position, and take the finished blade with it. The bolts shown here in the Figure 1 are simpler and cheaper, but more of a hassle when release time comes around. One half is glued to the back plate, while the other half is run against it with the bolts.

Next, I used his original design to make some Gollywock blades for a 13 -inch outdoor propeller, and much thinner limited Pennyplane blades for my Chuck Slusarczyk model. For outdoor, I remember that the late George Perryman used a sheet of $1 / 16$ and a sheet of $1 / 32$ for everything when he made molded propeller blades (both balsa, I think, but one could have been plywood in some cases). So I used $1 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ ply and $1 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ balsa for the Gollywock. For indoor, I watched Chester Wrzos (pronounced Ross) make LPP props at USIC from two crossed-grain sheets of balsa shavings with a sheet of condenser paper in the middle. I used two sheets of 0.020 " indoor balsa. Also, I used thinned Elmer's Carpenters Glue exclusively, with a curing time of 24 hours. And this is summertime in Louisiana. If it's wintertime in Michigan, you might want to set your jig on a warm, sunny windowsill while it sets up. I wouldn't try using contact cement, Duco, or CA, because you need an adhesive that's squishy at first to allow the blade sheets to slide a little when you apply the twist. Otherwise they will buckle if the adhesive sets up too soon.

A Whole Propeller at Once
Part 1 - We Clone a Peck

The Twister was designed for outdoor folding propellers, and it makes great blades, good looking and very tough. But there are as many uses for freewheelers, so my goal became making a whole propeller at once.

Like many things in our hobby, someone has already been there. David Dodge of the Glastonbury Aeromodellers makes a lot of quickie blades for simple rubberpowered helicopters. The jig on the upper right of Figure 2 takes a wet bow-tie of $1 / 32$ " or $1 / 16$ " balsa and forms it into a nice prop. David found there was quite a bit of wood splitting near the hub, so he designed the offset jig shown in the lower right picture, which is kinder to the wood. The nice thing - it is very easy to make it in reverse for counter- rotating propellers. He does tell us that with single unlaminated sheets, the blades will lose their shape in a year or two. A coat of dope or Ambroid will help with this, according to the Alamo Squadron.


Figure 2

It would be hard to find an item which has been in wider use over the years than the Peck Polymers gray plastic propeller. It is supplied over a huge range of sizes, and is still widely available. I have a few dozen stashed away myself. There are better choices for specific applications. The 6 " black Tern and $57 / 8$ " red IGRA are very efficient in that size. And the Czech yellow 9 " is perfect for P-30 out of doors. But for FAC and AMA indoor and outdoor scale and sport flying in general, the gray Peck propeller is a good and popular choice. However, plastic is heavy for indoor, and shaving blades is a chore. We wondered - Could you clone a Peck plastic job in balsawood?

Using the David Dodge idea would be a logical choice, but since pitch distribution is important for efficient flight, we improved upon it. We cut an 8 " Peck plastic propeller at the 2 " and 3.5 " distances from the hub, and traced the camber at those stations onto $1 / 4$ " sheet wood. This is shown in Figure 3. They were then cut out and glued in place under an actual plastic propeller, and wooden uprights installed at the hub location, one wood thickness back from the prop shaft. This shown in Figure 4. Now the jig fixes our pitch in three places instead of just two.


Figure 3 Trace Camber at 2" and 3.5" from Hub, Using the Prop You Want to Clone


Figure 4 Cut out and Glue in Place. Add a Center Backplate 1/20" Back from the Shaft

Playing with different woods, something like $1 / 20$ " (or 0.050 ") B-grain 8 to 9 ppcf balsa from Lonestar Balsa or Solarbo gave in to our torture the best. Start by making a cardboard template by drawing around an actual propeller, and use it to cut out two prop blanks. Soak them in hot water for an hour, blot to an even dampness, and coat with thinned Titebond or Elmer's Carpenter's Glue. With the $3 / 64$ " prop shaft inserted into the block, poke it between the two sheets in the hub area. Work the two sides down gradually over the form, putting in the extra twist near the hub area with your fingers. Wrap in several places with rubber bands, Scotch tape, or masking tape. Secure the hub area tightly above and below the wood with rubber bands. Put in a warm place to dry overnight.


Figure 5 Taped Down to Dry Overnight


Figure 6 The Finished Prop Weighed 41\% Less

The result we achieved was a nicely formed balsa replica of a Peck 8-inch plastic prop. There was no splitting of the wood, and good bonding of the two sheets. Sanding and 2 coats of clear nitrate dope gave a 2.2 gram propeller (as compared to 5.3 grams for the plastic variant) with a dead true shaft hole as a bonus. If you can do without the nose weight of a Peck propeller, one of these on your next indoor scale job will provide performance plus significant weight savings.

## Part 2 - We Double the Twister

We made a double Twister by simply making a bigger base. We replaced the $12.5 "$ baseboard with an 18 " board, giving a jig with a 19.5 " overall length. Just like in the Peck cloning jig above, we added an upright at the hub location, and a backing plate attached to it with wood screws. You can use the end plates from the original Twister on this one, and they work fine.

It would be difficult to use curved Lexan plates here, because they would have to curve in opposite directions. It also helps to have something more flexible and easy to cut with a bandsaw. So we switched to flat pieces of 0.080 " Plexiglas. First they were cut in 2 " strips, but the result had a very non-helical pitch distribution. This is because the twist is uniform from root to blade tip. But to have a helical pitch, the twist needs to be high at the hub and lessen towards the tips. So we made a bow tie set of formers, as shown in Figure 8, being 1" in the middle and 2" at the tips. This was much better, and probably good enough for most flying.


During this time, I hooked up with Gary Hinze of San Jose, California, and he worked out the problem using torque and the pitch/diameter ratio. He came up with the following table.
I can provide the derivation Gary sent me, if you are interested, or you can contact him directly at DGBJ@aol.com.

According to Gary,
"(We find the) blade width quadratically related to station along the radius. The shape is controlled by the term ((pi(r/R))^2/(P/D)+(P/D)). If we use $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{D}=1.8$ and calculate $\left((\mathrm{pi}(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{R}))^{\wedge} 2 /(\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{D})+(\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{D})\right)$ as a function of $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{R}$, we get

| $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{R}$ | $\left((\mathrm{pi}(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{R}))^{\wedge} 2 /(\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{D})+(\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{D})\right)$ | Normalized to $2 "$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 1.80 | 0.23 |
| 0.2 | 2.02 | 0.55 |
| 0.4 | 2.68 | 0.74 |
| 0.6 | 3.77 | 1.04 |
| 0.8 | 5.31 | 1.46 |
| 1.0 | 7.28 | 2.00 |

"What is important here is the relative width. Changing the width will change the torque required to produce the desired twist along the length and at the ends, but not the distribution of blade angles."

The third column is mine, and it tells me that if I have something 2" wide at the ends, I need it to be $1 / 4 "$ in the middle. But really, if you are making a 10 " to 13 " propeller, one has a 1 to 1.5 " hub area in the middle, so we can use the first station at 0.2 and say our bow tie can be $1 / 2$ inch in the middle, and 2 " at the ends. This is very do-able, and when we made formers like it, the resultant pitch distribution was very nearly perfect.

I would like to close by saying that this was much more than a fun exercise for me. I consider Matt's Twister an important new tool for the hobbyist. It is limited only by your imagination. He will provide you with all the help you might need, and for a small fee will make finished folders for you, to your specifications. The Alamo Squadron, Glastonbury Modellers, and Gary Hinze have been fun to work with. Because of them and David Dodge, I have cloned the Peck Polymers propeller in balsa, something I have always wanted to do. It came out really well.

If you have any questions, suggestions, comments, or new ideas, do not hesitate to call or write.

Carl Bakay
118 Gentry Circle
Lafayette, LA 70508
800-676-7302
carl.bakay@scientificdrilling.com

Here's where to find more information:

Matt Payne
5657 E. Washington Boulevard
Los Angeles, California, 90040
propellerman@sbcglobal.net

Gary Hinze
15218 Chelsea Dr.
San Jose, CA 95124-2705
DGBJ@aol.com

LONE STAR BALSA
115 Industrial
Lancaster, TX 75134 www.lonestarmodels.com
Info: (972)218-9663 Order: (800)687-5555 Fax: (972)218-9211

Solarbo Balsa
4440 NW 36th Avenue
Miami, FL 33142
Tele: 305.634.537 Tele: 305.634 .537
www.solarbo.com

## Adjustable Pitch Propeller

## Ulises Alvarez





## VP Hub Update

## Nick Aikman

Here are 2 pics of a new VP hub completed this Spring.

The general constructional details are very similar to those described in the article in INAV 115 but the following have been altered:

- Hub bearing is now a piece of 0.013 " i.d. hypo tubing. Easier to make than F1Ddling around with bits of tin can and seems equally smooth running.
- Yoke length shortened to 18.00 mm .
- Carbon drive arm extended to 22.00 mm . This is made from high modulus carbon.
- 0.008 " actuator arms are at the outer ends of the tissue tubes. Each has a loop at one end, which is glued to the end of the tube, allowing blades to be removed/
 replaced.
- A much stiffer spring.
- 3 screws to give bottom and top pitch adjustment as well as spring pre-load.

The changes have been engineered to give much slower rate of pitch change, more in tune with the torque reduction as the motor unwinds.

In GBR, Bob Bailey in particular has been playing with similar configurations for a while and tests seem to indicate an improvement in performance.

This is the first hub in a series and with so much wire/carbon etc, it's heavy at 0.116 mg . With care, it should be possible to reduce this considerably.

Nick Aikman. 21.05.07.


## Bucket Props

## Fred Rash - June 2007

A well-known, simple, yet surprisingly effective method for making propellers for rubber models is the can or cylindrical prop. Cylindrical or can props are made by soaking thin sheets of balsa in hot water and molding or forming the blades on a cylinder or can with the prop blade tip pointed up and 15 to 20 degrees left of vertical. The wet blades can be dried at room temperature, dried in a conventional oven, or if the form permits, carefully dried in a microwave oven. The dried blades are then attached to spars or to the hub using a pitch gauge. The blade angles are usually chosen to match a helical pitch distribution as closely as possible for the entire length of the prop blade.

A bucket prop is molded on a bucket or tapered cylinder (or cut from a tapered cup) just as a cylindrical or can prop is molded on a cylinder or can. While there are many published plans showing prop blades cut from foam cups or yogurt or cottage cheese cups, I have seen few articles that describe
 how to design these propellers. Some references are listed below for anyone who is interested.

An Excel spreadsheet called Bucket72.xls is provided to help design bucket props. In the light blue cells, fill in your desired prop diameter, prop pitch, and the inner tip or distance from the prop shaft to where the prop blade begins. The inner tip is typically $10-20 \%$ of the prop radius. Use any consistent units for measuring length. (I think in inches, but wish that I thought in millimeters, a better choice.)

Next fill in the size of your cup or bucket form in the next column of light blue cells. The average diameter and height of the cup or bucket should be about the same as the prop radius or prop blade length. For indoor duration, frequently the best form will be fat, but more sharply tapered than a typical bucket. Enter the top diameter, bottom diameter, and the slant height (the almost vertical distance measured on the surface of the cup or bucket).

The spreadsheet will calculate the blade angle of the prop at 10 points along the prop radius. The blade angle measurement assumes that the prop blade is being attached to hub and/or spars on a horizontal work board with a temporary vertical prop shaft and the blade angle is measured between the work board and the underside of the prop blade. The prop if rotated in the intended direction would advance up and away from the work board.

The spreadsheet will also adjust the left tilt angle on the bucket (theta) and slide the prop blade up and down between the top and bottom of the bucket form to the achieve the best fit of prop blade angles.

Now click with your mouse on the button labeled "Optimize". If the Excel Tool called "Solver" is active on your machine, all is well and the numbers in cells F9, F10, and F11 will all be adjusted to give the best fit of your desired prop blade to your available cup or bucket. This minimizes the error between the helical blade angle and the angle given by the form. The errors are squared and summed into cell F15. When nothing changes on pressing "Optimize", the prop blade is fitted as well as possible to the bucket form that you used.

If Excel Solver has never been installed and used on your computer, go to Tools>Add-Ins>Solver Add-In, check the box, and find the Excel or Office CD if necessary.

If you can't get Solver working, change the numbers in cells F9, F10, and F11 to minimize the error in cell F15. Watch the plot just to the right of these cells. You can optimize manually, but it requires more effort. You can find or make other conical forms, cups or buckets, if your first selection did not give a good fit. A good fit will be obvious when looking at the plot.

Solver will be very useful for other applications and you may want to work to hard have it active.

Fit can or cylinder props by entering identical diameters for top and bottom of the bucket. A quick calculation shows that the prop tip always should be pointed toward the top (larger end) of the bucket; poorer prop designs result from the reverse. While this program assumes a helical prop as target, it will also optimize the fit to a non-helical pitch distribution if the target angles are known and are stuffed into the spreadsheet. Since this program assumes that the prop blade has the same width fore and aft of the spar, a flaring prop with more area ahead of the spar also can alter the fit of blade angles, but that calculation requires a more complex analysis than this one.

So far as I can tell, all bucket or cone props differ slightly from helical props, but the error can be made small enough to ignore.

## HISTORY and REFERENCES

The first publication on cylindrical props known to me was Jim Baguley's LAST RESORT design in 1960 (Ref. 1). There are many other instructions for can props such as Chernoff (Ref. 2, 3), Meuser (Ref. 4), Williams (Ref. 5), Tenny (Ref. 6), Garber (Ref. 7), and Linstrum (Ref. 8). A cork form with a cylindrical surface for molding indoor prop blades was sold by Jim Jones (Ref. 9).

The most definitive article that I have found on bucket or cone props appears in the National Free Flight Society Symposium Report for 1996 by Antii Jolma and Kai K. Halsas of Finland (Ref. 10).

Before I saw this, I provided a program to the NFFS Symposium Report for 1995 (Ref. 11). My BASIC program had some math errors. While my math was erroneous, the practical effect of the errors may or may not be significant, depending on the prop needed. Later I used the equation from Jolma and Halsas. Bucket or cone props are always compromises and approximations but fit the blade angles to a helical pitch distribution better than a can prop does. The goal is usually a quick, but not very dirty, prop design.

After all the above, I began to try to find out the origin of the cup prop concept since clearly I was a latecomer to the method. Email information from Don DeLoach, Dean McGinnes, and others helped in learning more about the history of the concept.

Don DeLoach's "Celtic" Bostonian article (Ref. 12) first made me aware of cup props. He emailed that the concept was originated by the late Jim Clem and first appeared in Al Backstrom's Maboussin Hemiptere Peanut, Model Aviation, April, 1987 (Ref. 13). The article by Al Backstrom first made this prop concept widely known and credits Jim Clem with originating the yogurt cup prop for Bostonian and Indoor Scale models.

This drawing of the cup prop appears to place the tip of the prop blade at the wrong end of the cup or bucket, but I should be reluctant to say that Jim Clem, who helped and encouraged me a lot, did it wrong. There may be subtleties in prop camber or blade shape or something else that I have missed. In any case, Dean McGinnes emailed that he found several years ago that the props with the blade tip at the larger end of the cup or bucket performed better.

Jolma and Antti found that a cone with a 30 degree angle was a useful "best" form for many props. I found that an average diameter of the bucket equal to the prop blade length was useful and helped eliminate props which gave an angular "fit" but had either excessive blade camber or hook. The two "answers" are similar.
(1) Baguley, Jim: "LAST RESORT" design in Model Aircraft, 1960, pp. 154. This design began in 1956.
(2) Chernoff, Max: Form for Bent Propellers, 1964-65 Model Aeronautic Year Book, Edited by Frank Zaic, Model Aeronautic Publications, 1965, pp. 198-200.
(3) Chernoff, Max: A proposed method for designing low advance ratio propellers, NFFS Twenty-Fifth Annual Report 1992, pp. 15-22.
(4) Meuser, Bob: Can-Formed Prop Blades, NFFS Symposium, 1973, pp. 28-33 and Indoor News and Views, January 1993, pp. 18-20.
(5) Williams, Ron: Building \& Flying Indoor Model Airplanes, Peregrine Smith Books, Salt Lake City, 1984, p. 258.
(6) Tenny, Bud: Indoor Column in Model Aviation, August 1988, p. 157 and October 1988, pp. 62, 168. Bill Henderson's version appeared in Bud Tenny's column for December 1989, pp. 76, 187.
(7) Garber, Lester W.: Designing \& Building Indoor Propellers, April 1991, 2324 East 5th Street, Duluth, MN 55812, pp. 11-16.
(8) Linstrum, Dave: Free Flight News Column in Model Airplane News, July, 1980, pp. 61-62.
(9) A cork prop form with cylindrical surface and 3.1-inch radius was sold by Jim Jones, 36631 Ledgestone Dr., Clinton TWP, MI 48035. (Mine worked well until charred in the microwave.)
(10) Jolma, Antti and Halsas, Kai K.: "A Universal Forming Block For Propeller Blades," in the National Free Flight Society Symposium Report 1996, pp. B1-B6.
(11) Rash, Fred H.: "Bucket Prop Design Program", 1995 NFFS Symposium Report, pp. M1-M8.

## Designing and Building a Cone For Fred Rash's Program

## Bill Gowen

Fred's program can be used to custom design a cone to form blades for most any kind of prop. The Solver program doesn't seem to want to run for me, but I don't find this a big drawback. You can input information into the program and make trial and error changes to come up with a cone that suits your purposes. The screenshot shown is a cone design for a $12 \times 20$ prop for Limited Penny Plane. The numbers were manipulated to give a blade with washout in the tips. You can see that the actual pitch of the blade will be 20.1 at the root and 18.4 at the tip.


Instead of making a complete cone I've found it more convenient to make a half or quarter cone. Making a half cone from this info involves first doing a few simple drawings. The first part to draw is the vertical back wall of the half cone. The widths of the top and bottom of this piece must be reduced by two times the thickness of the planking to be used for the skin. If the skin is to be .030 " thick then the top width will be $9.2-(2)(.030)=$ 9.14 and the bottom width will be $3-(2)(.030)=2.94$.

Here are the steps to make the drawing:

Draw a horizontal line 9.14" long

Using the left end of that line as a center point draw a circle of radius 6.5 " (the slant height of the cone).

Measure from the end of the first line the following distance: $(9.14 / 2)-(2.94 /$ 2) $=3.1$ "

Draw a vertical line from that point down to intersect the circle.

A line drawn from the previous intersection to the left end of the first line will be one side of the back form.


BACK FORM

The middle form is made by cutting the back form in half. You also must reduce the width of this part by one half of the thickness of the back form. So if the back form is $1 / 8$ " sheet then the top of the middle form will be $(9.14 / 2)-.0625$ 4.5075 and the bottom of the middle form will be $(2.94 / 2)-.0625=1.4075$.


MIDDLE FORM

Next make 2 circles or semi-circles. The top form will have a diameter equal to the top width of the back form. The bottom form will have a diameter equal to the bottom width of the back form.

Glue the wide end of the back form to the top form exactly centered on a diameter of the circle. Glue the middle form to the middle of the back form.


Glue the bottom form to the small ends of the back form and middle form. The bottom form needs its edge sanded to match the cone slope. Plank the cone with your choice of material. To keep the skin as uniformly bent as possible it's best to glue the skin pieces together first before gluing the skin to the cone. In the large cone in the picture I only covered one quarter of a full cone to get enough surface for the prop blade.


Draw the blade centerline on the face of the cone at the angle theta shown on the spreadsheet ( 22 degrees in this case). Measure up to the proper point for the bottom of the blade and you're ready to form your blade.


# Thoughts on Making and Aligning Pigtail Bearings 

## Rodney O'Neill

In 2005 I was in the USA at the indoor event in the Kibbi Dome in Moscow in Idaho. There with my partner Dorothy, we had the good fortune to meet most of the prominent American indoor flyers and a very friendly lot they were. While there I picked up a jig for making wire nose bearings (see INAV issue No. 113 page 25 ) and what follows are a few notes on my attempts to use it.

Shortly after returning home, I followed Andrew Tagliafico's article and made a couple of bearings at a size suitable for a ministick model. After forming the bearings I found it difficult to align them correctly. I set them aside for my next ministick. I did not think of wire bearings again (as I have a plenty of Ray Harlan's bearings) until I decided to replace all my current F1L models.

One thing that helped me to decide to try wire bearings again was a mention, of the use of a coping saw as a means of keeping the anvil wire on which the bearing is formed, taught and therefore stiffer. On reflecting back on my original attempts with wire bearings I realised that lack of stiffness in the anvil wire contributed to my problem and that the saw idea could be the answer. I unearthed a fret saw which I purchased about 50 years ago and probably only used twice before and pressed it into service, it did in deed help, During my initial attempts at forming bearings, I had found it difficult to control the wire to my satisfaction, so to have more control of the wire while winding the bearing I attached a small pin vice to the wire. This helped enormously as I could be more consistent in winding the wire.


For my second attempt I made a few bearings, but still had some difficulty in aligning them, until I realised that if I put about four turns in the pig tail the first two turns kept better alignment which made things a little easier. The last of the alignment difficulties disappeared when I delved into my small drill box and came up with a drill which was 0.0005 ins . up on the propeller shaft size. The drill is quite stiff and does not flex, when used to twist the parts of the bearing into alignment.

The process for alignment I now use is:

1. Insert the shaft of the drill into the front of the bearing and align this with the entry point of the pigtail. If the drill passes into the pigtail for more than one and a half turns, then happy days, you are there, trim the excess wire and reach for the next one.
2. If it does not pass into the pigtail, then remove the drill from the front bearing and insert the shaft into the open end of the pigtail and align the pigtail with the front bearing. This is usually just a small tweak.


On this occasion I was making bearings for a 0.014 ins. shaft and used a 0.35 mm drill. In Imperial sizes, a No. 79 drill would also do. Remember to check the alignment after you have ground the front bearing flat. You should also push the drill into a small piece of balsa and put it in your field tool box as it will help with re-alignment after changing the thrust offset, at the flying site

## 2007 CONTEST SCHEDULE

## Sept 1,2

The East Coast Indoor Modelers (ECIM) host a Sanctioned AA Labor Day Indoor Meet in Lakehurst Hangar \#1. You must be a member to gain entry to the base. To join ECIM, contact Rob Romash. (cgrain1@yahoo.com)

## CORrections AND Errata

## Issue \#120

## Page 14 - Second Column , Last Paragraph:

"The wing will have masses of positive incidence on the starboard wing" should read:
"The wing will have masses of positive incidence on the Port wing"

## Contest Results

## WILLAMETTE MODELERS TWO DAY INDOOR MEET - ALBANY, OREGON APRIL 21,22, 2007

## Reported by John Lenderman, contest director

Usually, when we arrive to start the two day contest, there is a group of modelers waiting around, model boxes ready, to get into the building when it opens. This time it was strangely quiet, with nobody waiting at the door. I wondered if my clock was wrong, or did I pick the wrong day? However, shortly after entering, a few modelers began showing up, and we made the preparations necessary to run the meet. Somehow, there wasn't the usual flurry of activity that marks the start of our two day program. Tony Hula began flying his scale and semi-scale models right away, and soon the Science Olympiad competitors had their models up in the air. We usually allow the hours between 10 and 12 for set up and general flying. We have this system for regulating the flying times for the heavy models, and the light, duration models. After the set up time and general flying, we let the heavy models fly between 12 and 2:30. Then from 2:30 to 5:00 P.M., are the light weight models. We break at 5 and return around 6 P.M. for the symposium. After the symposium we give the heavy models another hour, then from $8 \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{M}$. to the time when everybody gets tired, usually around 10 or 11 , we fly the light weights. Then on Sunday, it's back to the regular schedule--gliders from 8:30 to 9:30, heavy models until noon, mass launch, and then light models until closing time of $3: 30$. This has worked well for us, with no problems at all.

Saturday morning was a great deal of activity with the Science Olympiad flyers. When the rules were first announced for 2007, dictating a smaller model, we thought the performance would drop off quite a bit. We were pleasantly surprised to find that these models not only flew well, but had performance well above our expectations. Our young flyers quickly mastered the qualities necessary to make these models fly well, and demonstrated that they could compete very well with the schools around the state. Rebekah Altig had her models doing very well and is going on to the Washington State Science Olympiad finals.

I believe that it had been mentioned previously about the new speakers the school had installed on the roof beams. With the necessary wires and chains to hold these speakers, we have found them to be a formidable hazard to our models, especially the light weights. Even though some modelers have fiberglass poles to reach them, some models were destroyed while attempting to get them down. As a result, we found ourselves not winding to the maximum, or flying older, inferior models in order to avoid the possibility of losing a really good flying model. Last year we introduced the 35 cm event with the hope of encouraging others to build and fly them. This year we have 5 models that were entered. Kurt Schuler having a truly magnificent model that did a really great 15:21. Kurt is a real artist when it comes to building talent. Another in this category is Ed Berray. He had a brand new 35 cm that was immaculate, and showed a great potential when he gets it adjusted properly. The rules are easy--wingspan of 35 cm , just under 14 inches, and that's it: No weight limits on rubber or model weight. Try it--you might like it.

There was steady flying until 5 P.M. when we broke for supper. After returning, we began the symposium.. Bob Stalick showed some various products that you find every day which he uses when he is building. He gets hair clips and converts them into devices that hold parts together while glue is drying, He uses 2 different containers to store wing ribs and templates, and smaller containers to keep small parts from being mixed up in the tool box. Andrew Tagliafico showed us how he makes indoor props. If you have seen his props, you know he really knows what to do. After soaking the blades, he makes a sandwich of the blades and light weight silkspan. Andrew cautions about soaking the blades too long. He says too long a soak will allow the balsa cells to absorb too much water, and they burst, thereby weakening the wood. On top of the blade sandwich, place a thin balsa shaped like the blade, and then a rubber pad also the same shape as the blade. Bind this to the prop form with a 1" strip of cotton from the bottom of a tee shirt, and bake in the oven, at 220 degrees, for 20 minutes. Then take this apart carefully. Some builders say they leave the blades on the form for several days to help the blades keep their shape. Ed Berray told us how to make curved parts, such as wing spars, rudders and stabilizers. He soaks the wood first, then has a prepared form to place the parts on, binding them with soft material, and baking in the oven. Ed stressed that the form is very important in producing an accurate structure. His forms are generally made from balsa. Ken Hark showed his devices for testing motor sticks for twist. He said it is important to keep records, so you can duplicate a model again. He also showed his testing device for spars, both wing and prop spars. His successes show he has mastered this art. Kurt Schuler demonstrated how he makes his rolled tube fuselages, and how to glue them without warps. He is really precise in his work and his models show this talent. The CD, John, talked about the various kinds of glues, and their uses. He said he had different bottles with glue of various viscosities. For thinners he uses acetone for quick drying, lacquer thinner for slower drying, and brushing lacquer for even slower drying. Some of the white glues and wood glues can also be used in modeling if you thin them out with water. The hot glues should only be used
sparingly in indoor modeling, and it can be applied with insect pins after a drop is put on aluminum foil. Never used either the thick or thin hot stuff right from the bottle. We had some good discussions before resuming the flying for the evening.

The Sunday flying went along steadily, and at the noon mass launch for So models, there were six flyers. After the launch, one model hung up and two got tangled up. Andrew was the winner with a flight of $4: 02$.

* New site record

RESULTS

| A-6 (8) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Ed Berray | 5:18 |
| 2. Chris Borland | 4:56 |
| 3. Michael Altig | 4:17 |

LIMITED PENNYPLANE (6)

1. John Lenderman 3:39
2. Chris Borland 3:37
3. Michael Altig 2:45


BALLOON LAUNCH (1)
Wes Altig 1:08.4

A-ROG (1)

1. John Lenderman 4:25

| OPEN SCIENCE OLYMPIAD (7) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Andrew Tagliafico | $4: 31$ |
| Chris Borland | $4: 29$ |
| Michael Altig | $4: 07$ |

NOON MASS LAUNCH SO (6)
Andrew Tagliafico 4:02
Rebekah Altig 3:02
Dick Trethway 1:30

CATAPULT GLIDER (3)
Ed Berray 74.89

Bob Stalick 66.29
Chris Borland 54.12
1.2 EZB $1 / 4$ MOTOR (3)
John Lenderman 5:35
Chris Borland 4:15

35 CM (5)
15:21 * 8:50

JR SCIENCE OLYMPIAD (2)
Rebekah Altig 3:30

Caity Gilbert 2:24

MINI-STICK (5)
Andrew Tagliafico 8:43
Ed Berray 6:59
David Bufford 6:53

PEANUT SCALE (2)
George Gilbert :46.8

HAND LAUNCHED GLIDER (2)
Ed Berray 73.41

Bob Stalick 54.2

BOSTONIAN (2)
Kurt Schuler 15:21 * John Lenderman 1:37

John Lenderman 1:37

INDOOR TOWLINE (1)

1. Chris Borland :50.77

# Magnificent Mountain Men - "Pikes Peak Ceiling Climb" 

## Cat II National Cup Challenge \&F1D Regional Qualifier 24-25 March, 2007

| $\underline{H L G}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | Rob Romash | 37.5+34.7 | 72.2 | A-6 |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Bill Gowen | 35.9+33.1 | 69.0 | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | Bill Gowen |  |  | 5:16 |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | Don DeLoach | 34.3+32.8 | 67.1 | $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ | Bill Leppard |  |  | 5:15 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | Todd Reynolds | $33.8+32.3$ | 66.1 | 3 rd | Don DeLoach |  |  | 5:05 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | Bob Miller | 33.7+31.2 | 64.9 | $4^{\text {th }}$ | Tom Sova |  |  | 5:01 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | Mark Covington | $32.8+30.0$ | 62.8 | $5^{\text {th }}$ | Neil Myers |  |  | 3:57 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | Randy Reynolds | 27.5+27.4 | 54.9 | $6^{\text {th }}$ | Jerry Murphy |  |  | 1:57 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | Roland Solomon | 24.7+24.2 | 48.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ | Neil Myers | 22.9+20.5 | 43.4 | Ministic |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | Tom Sova |  |  | 8:21* |
| Standard Catapult |  |  |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Rob Romash |  |  | 8:15 |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | Bill Gowen | 38.0+38.6 | 76.6* | 3 rd | Bill Leppard |  |  | 6:21 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Bob Miller | 35.9+34.2 | 70.1 | $4^{\text {th }}$ | Don DeLoach |  |  | 6:19 |
| 3 3rd | Rob Romash | 34.3+34.1 | 68.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | Todd Reynolds | 27.2+26.8 | 54.0 | FAC No | al Scale |  |  |  |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | Mark Covington | 25.7+25.1 | 50.8 | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | Don DeLoach | Farman |  | 2:28 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | Don DeLoach | $25.0+24.5$ | 49.5 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Bill Leppard | Spitfire |  | 2:03 |
|  |  |  |  | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | Jerry Murphy | Corsair |  | 0:21 |
| Unlimited Catapult |  |  |  |  | Don DeLoach | Judy |  | 1:37 |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | Bill Gowen | 42.3+42.4 | 84.7* |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Mark Covington | $36.0+35.8$ | 71.8 | FAC W | No-Cal Mass Launch |  |  |  |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | Bob Miller | $35.5+35.2$ | 70.7 |  |  |  | Heat 1 | Heat 2 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | Rob Romash | $35.1+35.2$ | 70.3 | Don De |  | Judy |  | x |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | Roland Solomon | 30.5+28.9 | 59.4 | Rolf Ch | topherson P-51 | x |  |  |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | Todd Reynolds | 29.5+24.5 | 54.0 | Jerry M |  | Corsair | $x\left(3^{\text {rd }}\right)$ |  |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | Don DeLoach | 26.1+25.7 | 51.8 | Bill Lepp |  | Spitfire |  | WINNER |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | Randy Reynolds | 15.7+0 | 15.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Interme | ate Stick |  |  |  |
| Unlimited Catapult -Junior |  |  |  | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | Bill Leppard |  |  | 16:52* |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | Demetri Collins | 6.6+8.0 | 14.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Jamie Collins | $5.3+5.1$ | 10.4 | Easy B |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | Rob Romash |  |  | 12:21 |
| Limited Pennyplane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st | Rob Romash |  | 8:15 | 35CM |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Jerry Murphy |  | 7:07 | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | John Kagan |  |  | 12:49 |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | Bill Gowen |  | 6:59 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | Tom Sova |  | 6:57 | P-24 |  |  |  |  |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | Pete Steinmeyer |  | 6:02 | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | Chuck Etheringtion |  |  | 2:14 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | Frank Deis |  | 5:48 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Roland Solomon |  |  | 1:33 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | Bill Leppard |  | 2:09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | Chuck Etherington |  | 0:07 | *denote | site record |  |  |  |

## Pennyplane/F1M Combo

| 1st | Bill Leppard | PP | $9: 40$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Don DeLoach | PP | $8: 29$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | Pete Steinmeyer | PP | $6: 13$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | Frank DeisPP |  | $4: 25$ |


| $\frac{\text { F1L }}{}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | R. Romash | $11: 22+11: 06$ | $22: 28^{*}$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Tom Sova | $11: 11+10.53$ | $22: 04$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | Bill Gowen | $11: 18+9: 40$ | $20: 58$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { F1D }}{1 \text { st }^{\text {t }}}$ |  | John Kagan | $21: 43+21: 18$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Tom Sova | $19: 34+18: 31$ | $43: 01^{*}$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | Bill Leppard | $17: 53+16: 37$ | $34: 35$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | Steve Smith | $13: 32+11: 41$ | $25: 13$ |
| DNF | Eric Monda |  |  |

## East CoAst Indoor Modelers Contest

## Lakehurst, June 30 \& July 1

When we arrived Saturday morning the door was open and not scheduled to close until 2:30PM. There are a lot of large containers scattered through out the hanger which are being removed or added on a daily basis.

Bill Gowen set up a world record sanction for his F1M and didn't waste any time on his assault on the existing record of 20:25. After a number of short motor flights, and processing his model, Bill put up his first flight and it landed at 20:27, with a lot of turns left, but had three seconds of prop stop. He made a few adjustments to his variable pitch prop to use up the turns and the model climbed almost to the ceiling and there was no doubt that the record was his, with a great flight of 21:49.

Jeff Hood, Max Zaluska, Buzz Buzzoli and Larry Coslick had their EZB's out and most of the flights were made on half motors. Jeff had a few half motor flights of around 15:00 on Saturday but wound up with 25:19 on Sunday with a full motor. Ray Harlan built his first sub four hundred mg EZB which flew right out of the box. During the Sunday session Ray had a flight which was scrubbing the ceiling and he elected to balloon the model down at 14:00 instead of possibly hanging it up in the girders. Max took his eyes off of his winning 2007 USIC EZB for a few minutes and lost it somewhere in the hanger. Larry Coslick had a nice flight of $35: 01$ with a .33 gm EZB.

Day two was a repeat of day one and we had to wait until 1:30PM. for the door to be closed. Bill Gowen was trying to establish a new AMA F1L record but fell short by 24 seconds. Larry added some time to his existing ROG Stick record and posted a flight of 25:22 but hung it up in the ceiling on his next flight. Larry brought his Manhattan Cabin model along in hopes of beating the old record of 15:17. On the first attempt he uses an old motor that was used at the 2000 USIC and did 14:45 but blew that motor on the second wind. A blast tube saved the model and with a new motor and more torque, the model climbed near the ceiling a landed at 16:23.

Max was preparing for the F1D team selection and had two good flights of 33 and 34 minuets with his immaculate F1D. Even with the shortened days, it's a great place to fly.

| F1M |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bill Gowen | $21: 49$ |
| (World record) |  |


| Hand Launch Stick |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tony D'Alesandro |  |
| $4: 05$ |  |

## EZB

Larry Coslick 35:01
Jeff Hood 25:19
Ray Harlan 14:00
Buzz Buzzoli 7:00+

## F1L

Bill Gowen

## Autogiro

Tony D'Alesandro 17:36

## ROG Stick

Larry Coslick

F1D
Max Zaluska
25:26, 24:57


25:22
Manhattan
Larry Coslick

33:01 34:00

| LPP |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Carl Von Bueren | $12: 04$ |
| Tony D'Alesandro | $11: 38$ |
| Buzz Buzzoli | $8: 00+$ |

16:23
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## From the Editor's Desk

After numerous delays, Issue \#122 is finally ready. First off, I want to apologize for the lateness in getting this issue out. The editorial staff is working hard to improve the timeliness of INAV publication; however, sometimes the "day job" can get in the way. Much of the delay in getting this issue out is squarely attributable to me, despite the best efforts of my co-editor Jeff Hood to keep me on track. Work has kept me on the road and in the office much more than I (or my wife) would like for the last several quarters, and my hobby time has been pretty much nonexistent. I appreciate your patience and understanding, and to the extent there are complaints or concerns, please feel free to contact me directly at pavel.tony@gmail.com or by phone at 202-744-4428.

Okay, now that the apologies are out of the way, we can get to the meat of it. Some things are worth waiting for, and I would submit that this issue might qualify. With the help and efforts of some of the best indoor flyers in the world, we have put together a yearbook of some of the best winning designs from the 2007 flying season. I always love seeing new plans, and this issue has a bunch. As always, we would like to thank all the folks who took the time to draw up their plans and write articles for INAV. One of the great things about this hobby is the participants' seemingly unlimited willingness to share information and help out other flyers. The camaraderie and generosity of the indoor community is really a huge draw for me, and without it, we could certainly not publish INAV.

With that in mind, we have a new section in this issue, "Beginners Corner," the brain child of Carl Bakay. We hope to use this section to provide information to help new and young flyers learn some of the ins and outs of the hobby. Moving forward, we will be soliciting new articles for the "Beginners Corner" and encourage all the experience flyers out there to send in your tips and tricks for publication. There is no minimum word count and even a one paragraph tip which may seem common sense or old hat to folks who have been doing this for years can be very helpful for the new guys out there. So take a few minutes sometime and send us some of your favorite tips that you think might be helpful for folks starting out in the hobby.

We hope you enjoy the issue and please keep sending in the great material.

Best regards and good flying,
Tony Pavel

INAV subscriptions are for a 1 year period, during which 4 issues are anticipated.
USA subscriptions are mailed bulk rate, all others are air mail.

Adult subscriptions:

| USA | US $\$ 15.00 /$ year |
| :--- | :--- |
| Canada | US $\$ 19.00 /$ year |
| All Others | US $\$ 24.00$ year |

Make checks payable to "Tony Pavel/INAV"
Junior Subscriptions: Subtract US $\$ 6.00$ from the appropriate adult price.
Junior subscriptions are subsidized by the sale of the INAV archive CD and the donations of members. They are only available to those 18 or younger. To get a Junior rate, proof of age must be supplied with the subscription payment. Valid proof would include copies of high school or lower ID card, government issued permit, license, or ID with birth date, Flying organization ID card showing non-adult status, or anything you feel proves your eligibility.

Send all subscriptions to:
Tony Pavel
1921 S St. NW
Washington, DC 20009
paveltony@gmail.com

Co-Editors - Carl Bakay, Jeff Hood
Contributing Editor - Nick Aikman, U.K.
Contributing Editor - Bill Gowen

Indoor News and Views is an open forum presenting ideas, opinions, model designs and techniques for the indoor community. Unless specifically stated, INAV does not offer any opinion as to the merit of published work, nor does it endorse any products or services advertised herein.

## In Memorium

## Dr. Vernon Hacker

The Indoor community lost a well respected member, tireless supporter, and good friend when Dr. Vernon Hacker passed away this winter. Known affectionately as "Doc" or "Hack" (and yes, he was both a surgeon and a golfer), he loved model airplanes and flew them his whole life. He enjoyed competing, but was known even more for the support, guidance, and encouragement he gave to many in the hobby.

Doc first took me under his wing when I moved to Cleveland '98. We poured microfilm in his basement- realizing the strength of the fumes only after we returned back from a trip upstairs (I wonder how many brain cells I lost on that project!). When the models covered with the film we made together won the next F1D WC, he was not so much thrilled as he was quietly satisfied - like a proud parent.

Doc touched the lives of many modelers, with a particular emphasis on beginners and Juniors. He mentored countless flyers (many of whom are top level competitors today), traveled to several World Championships as a supporter, raised funds for the Junior Team, and - when his mobility was restricted by medical equipment - was always the first to try to hook up new modelers with a mentor wherever they lived. At his memorial service I learned that his beneficence extended well beyond the model airplane world. Chief of Surgery, community pillar, even Barbershop Quartets! Doc was opinionated, sharp-witted, and quick to speak his mind, but he had a great heart and those us who had the honor of knowing him will miss him dearly.

## John Kagan



## World Record FiM

## Bill Gowen

## Cat IV FAI World Record - 21 min 49 sec - 6/30/2007

The model used in my recent World Record flight is a derivative of the F1M's I've been flying for the last several years. The droop boom originated with the English and German models developed by Bernie Hunt, Ron Green, Dieter Siebenmann and others several years ago. The wing with tall tip plates came after Steven Richman set the Cat 4 LPP record with a similar wing. The first version of the model took second place at USIC 2005, getting whipped by 2 seconds by Larry Cailliau. The current wing and a new stab and new VP were built for USIC 2006. Performance that year was disappointing with best times in the 17 minute range and a third place finish.

For 2007 some minor but important changes were made. The weight had crept up until the model was weighing around 3.2 grams. A new motorstick and new stab were made that brought the weight slightly under 3 grams. Bernie Hunt's design program told me that a smaller, higher aspect ratio stab would increase performance slightly. The new stab is 5 " x 18 " compared to 6 " $\times 16$ " for the old one. The rudder size was also reduced to save weight and drag.

A new prop was made with wider blades than on the 2005-6 model. First quarter motor flights in a 35 ' gym with the new prop resulted in near vertical climbs and a lot of ceiling banging. Before going to USIC I cranked in a bunch more high pitch in hopes of taming the climb. It didn't work. At USIC I started out flying half motors and was climbing to around $80^{\prime}$. After turning the high pitch and preload screws all the way out I was still climbing around $65^{\prime}$. This called for some surgery on the VP. I removed the preload screw so the spring end could go down into the hole where the screw had been, and shaved the high pitch adjuster block to get more high pitch. This finally got my maximum altitude down to the 55' I was looking for. With this setup the model did a site record 20:00 at USIC. When I was packing the model I measured the high pitch and found it to be over 55!

The next stop was Lakehurst on the June 30-July 1 weekend. Something moved out of adjustment on the model on the first flights, and it was mushing along with way too much incidence. I made adjustments in both the wing and stab and started flying half motors. Times were in the 5 to 6 minute range with the model now flying nose down and landing with many turns left. I gradually returned the incidence settings to where they were originally and things started looking better. I still don't know what caused this series of events but suspect the tailboom to motorstick joint was loose.

At this point half motors flights were over 8 minutes and the air was getting better. I decided to put up an official attempt. I wound my best motor and launched in the middle of the hangar. The flight looked very good. Most of the cruise took place around 160 ' up. As the model descended it drifted over some of the many storage racks in the hangar. 2 or 3 steers were necessary to get into a clear area for the landing. The clocks read 20:27 at the end of the flight. Since the record was 20:25, everyone thought that this was a new record. Unfortunately someone then remembered the 3 seconds of prop stop time and the final time was 20:24.

I decided to put up another flight as soon as possible to take advantage of the good air. I decreased the high pitch and the preload a little to try to get closer to the roof. This worked out perfectly. The model cruised just under the roof beams for most of the flight. The model descended over the carrier deck but gradually drifted to one side of the deck and came down in a fairly clear area. One wing bump with the steering pole was necessary at about 5 ' of altitude to clear a storage rack. The time was $21: 49$ which was $1: 24$ over the previous record.

Construction of this model is pretty normal except for the leading edge wing spar. The spar can be either a .030 " carbon rod or one of the more recently available .030 " carbon tubes. The tube will save a little bit of weight but will also be a little more flexible. The motorstick construction follows Steve Brown's construction closely except for the wood sizes being larger. The tailboom is straight because it's easier to make that way and a little stronger at the rear than a tapered boom.

The prop blades are similar to Steve Brown's except fatter. The blades are 7" x $21 / 4$ ". The VP hub was made after I finished the "VP for Dummies" article on Jeff Hood's website at www.indoornews.com. The construction is very similar to the hub in that article. The main differences are that the overall size is smaller and not as much reinforcing was done. The hub is very strong and reliable even after several field modifications. The efficiency of the prop and hub were apparent again when I measured the final pitches for the record flight. The high pitch was 45 and the low pitch was 20. I think it's amazing that the model required a 45 high pitch to stay in a 180 foot flying site!


## GnAt's Whisker F 1 M

## Geoffrey Lefever

## British Indoor Nationals - 1st Place-17:10 / 18:06

## Motor

Tan 2 Feb. 994 strands
$1.10 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{m} 1.50 \mathrm{gm}$. Length $\mathrm{14"}^{\prime \prime}$
1770 turns at $55 \mathrm{gm} . \mathrm{cm} .350$ turns left.
Average rpm 78.45
Propeller fixed pitch flaring 17 " x 29 "

## Trim

Wing $0-0$. No warps. Offset 10 mm
T.P. Slight wash in to inboard panel.

Offset 3 degrees 1" tilt
Thrust line 0 down and 3 degrees left
Ballast up to 3 gm . Ballast to correct C.G. position and the rest on the C.G.

Note that a V.P. prop. requires more ballast to the rear of the boom for the same C.G. position

## Construction

Motor stick internal dia $0.28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{C}$ grain indoor wood $0.020^{\prime \prime}$
Stub and boom tapered with put over joint - C grain indoor wood 0.014"
Spars and posts model shop 1/16, 1/20 and $1 / 325$ to 6 lb . ft cube
Propeller blades $0.017^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{C}$ grain indoor wood

## Weights

| Stick | 0.900 gm. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Boom | 0.246 gm |
| Wing | 0.802 gm |
| T,P. | 0.330 gm |
| Prop | 0.490 gm |
| Ballast | $\underline{0.250 \mathrm{gm}}$ |
|  | 3.018 gm |

Prop $17 \times 29$ Helical pitch

Shaft 0.017"


## GALLERY

(Pictures taken at Lakehurst, July 4th weekend and Labor Day weekend 2007)


Bill Gowen's World Record F1M


Coslick's Manhattan


Coslick's AROG


Larry Coslick with EZB


Brett Sanborn's F1D


Coslick's F1L

## Hawailan Feeling F1D

## Brett Sanborn

## Unofficial Record - $\mathbf{3 9} \mathbf{~ m i n ~} \mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{s e c} \mathbf{- 8 / 1 2 / 2 0 0 7}$



## M.I.N.E. FiD

## Tim Chang

## Kibbie Dome Annual - 34 min $42 \mathbf{s e c}$

I first started building F1Ds in 2004 right before my first major competition, The Kibbie Dome Annual. I started off with square tips and typical dimensions in order to get a sense of what it takes to build a monster ship like an F1D. It was the most frustrating model airplane I ever built. However, after hitting the 28 minute mark with Bruce Kimball's propeller and Steve Brown's $5 / 99$, I was determined to compete among the pros.

My first team trials was in 2005. It was amazing to see Kagan, Richmond, Brown, and Calliau; names I had only seen in magazines or articles online. Competition was intense and perhaps I wasn't ready. I ended up dead last, riding home disappointed in Lou Young's motor home.

In 2006, I was determined to reach the 30 minute mark. I built a box of new models of my own design and my first VP prop. In that year, I was quite pleased with my results with a high time of around 32 minutes. I was confident that I had a stable and successful design and I partially understood the use of VP props.

The next team trials was in the summer of 2007 at Kibbie Dome. Right before leaving for Kibbie Dome, I finished a new wing with the addition of compression ribs. I believe that compression ribs help to keep the wing shape rigid, especially at high launch torque. Again, all the legends were in the line-up. My setup area was right between Jim and Steve. On one of my partial motor tests, Jim kept looking up at my model and I was wondering if something was wrong. He told Steve, "looks like he knows what he's doing. It must be Kagan's model." Steve informed him it was in fact my model, and that made me determined to seriously perform. On the third day of team trials, I was satisfied with the information my partial motor flights were
giving me. I wound up the motor and let my F1D go to work. I was very concerned to see that the plane had stopped climbing at around 70 feet. In fact, my model sank a little before low pitched kicked in. A few minutes later, I was concerned the model was climbing too fast. At the end, the model touched the curtain rod once before transitioning into a terrifying cruise. The plane drifted toward the huge lights and then toward the end where heavier models were being flown. The flight took no steering but had considerable risk. About 10 feet from the ground, Brett, Anjaney and I walked over to the timers. It was quite satisfying to see that the waech had stopped at 34:42.

My original design is $32^{\prime \prime}$ long. However my longest flight was with a $31.5^{\prime \prime}$ model. I've tried models from over 34 " to around 31 inches. It doesn't seem to make a huge difference. However, most of my shorter models provide cruises with a nice nose-up attitude. The nose up angle also provides a much longer cruise.

The VP prop spars are super stiff. A spar has only about . 4 " deflection with a .5 gram weight at $8.5^{\prime \prime}$. The model flies with a whole bunch of incidence, but the incidence does keep the cruise in perfect form.

Something new I tried was using simplex style ribs on the prop blades. The flying pattern was also new to me with a initial torque of . $42 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{oz}$. @ 38 rpm and a cruise rpm of 52 . Due to the major difference in the initial rpm and the cruise rpm, the model will climb halfway on high pitch, and then to the desired altitude on lower pitch. Overall, the model flies consistently and beautifully.

A common problem which many F1D flyers shared was that at launch, models would lose climb or even start turning to the right. The adjustment I found to fix this problem was to add more washin. This adjustment helps stabilize the model yielding a very level attitude throughout the entire flight.

## Tim Chang



M72 F1L
Dezso Orsovai
Cat II World Record - 20 min 45 sec - 7/15/2007

## M 72 data

| parts | sizes. mm | density kg/m3 | weight $\mathrm{mg}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wing |  |  |  |
| spars | $2.18 \times 1.0>1.4 \times 1.0$ | 77 | 85 |
| tips | $1.3 \times 1.0>0.8 \times 0.6$ | 83 | 26 |
| ribs | $1.0 \times 0.62$ 4\% ellipse | 86 | 74 |
| tubes | $\emptyset 1.7 \times 8.0$ jap. tissue |  | 8 |
| covering | Y2K2 |  |  |
| stick |  |  |  |
| stick | $4.0 \times 2.0<7.0 \times 3.0>4.0 \times 2.0$ | 77 | 440 |
| posts | $1.47 \times 1.32 \times 30$ | 83 | 10 |
| bearing | alu $0.55 \times 1.2 \times 14$ orsityp |  | 18 |
| rear hook | $\emptyset 0.31$ music wire |  | 6 |
| tail boom |  |  |  |
| stick | $4.0 \times 2.0>2.0 \times 1.2$ | 86 | 120 |
| stab |  |  |  |
| spars | $1.2 \times 0.8>0.8 \times 0.8$ | 77 | 44 |
| tips | $0.8 \times 0.8>0.6 \times 0.6$ | 77 | 8 |
| ribs | 0.75x0.43 2.0\% ellipse | 80 | 17 |
| covering | Y2K2 |  |  |
| prop |  |  |  |
| shets | $0.28>0.25 \quad 2.5 \%$ ellipse | 64 | 178 |
| spar | $\emptyset 1.7>\varnothing 0.5 \times 170$ | 90 | 40 |
| midsection | $\emptyset 1.7 \times 20.0$ jap. tissue |  | 16 |
| shaft | $\emptyset 0.31$ music wire |  | 16 |




## Joker - Manhattan Cabin

## Larry Coslick

## Cat IV World Record - $\mathbf{1 6} \mathbf{~ m i n ~} \mathbf{2 3} \mathbf{~ s e c - 7 / 1 / 2 0 0 7}$

## Manhattan Cabin "Joker" \#2

 box measuring 2.5 inches $\times 4$ inches $\times 2$ inches. ( 6.35 x 10.16 x 5.08 cm ) or larger





## Abra Ca Dabra - EZB

## Larry Coslick

## Cat IV World Record - 35 min 1 sec - 7/1/2007

Motorstick
M/S - Front $.080 \times .13$ - Middle $.083 \times .150-3.9$ from T/B - End $.090 \times .112 \times 8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ..... 090 gram
M/S with $.007 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{B}$ and gusset ..... 096
M/S and .007 rear hook ..... 100
M/S and boom ..... 128
M/S with wing posts (7\# . $033 \times .050 \times .8$ ") ..... 134
Wing
L/E spar 4.9\# A grain .027 x .063 x 10.1" ..... 020
Tapered last 2.5 " to .040 " ..... 016
Deflection - $9 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ at $5^{\prime \prime}$ with .340 gram weight
T/E spar 4.9\# A grain .027 x $.050 \times 14.5^{\prime \prime}$ ..... 026
Tapered last 3 "of each side to .035 " ..... 022
Deflection - $1-5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ at $8^{\prime \prime}$ with .195 gram weight
Wing tips IMS wood - 3.43\# (2) No deflection $.023 \times .04$ >>> $.023 \times .030 \times 9 "$ ..... 014
Ribs 3.8\# C grain (3) .018 x 050 ..... 006
Wing dry ..... 060
Wing covered and paper tubes ..... 088
Boom
IMS tapered stock $.060 \mathrm{x} .080 " \ggg .030 \mathrm{x} .030 \times 9.5 "$ ..... 026
Fin
Outline 3.8\# .015 x .020 ..... 003
Covered ..... 005
Stab
Stab ribs glued in with carpenters glue to prevent distortion
Stab Outline 3.8\# A grain ..... $.020 \times .020$
Stab Ribs 4\# C grain ..... 008 x .020
Stab Dry ..... 014
Stab Covered ..... 024
Prop
11.6 x 19.8 Pitch
Spar (2) $035 \times .050 \ggg .025 \times .025 \times 5.5 "$ ..... 018
Prop shaft .008 MW ..... 008
Blades 3.7\# C grain (2) .006" ..... 050
Carpenters glue ..... 006
Total ..... 082
Wing. ..... 088
Stab ..... 024
Fuselage Assembly. ..... 134
Prop ..... 082
Fin ..... 005
Total ..... 333


## MAGIC \#13-A.R.O.G. <br> Larry Coslick

## Cat IV World Record - 25 min 22 sec - 7/1/2007

## (Note: Prop outline needs to be enlarged to $\mathbf{1 0 4 \%}$ for actual full size)

## Wing

L/E - IMS 3.54\# (2). $.016 \times .060 \times 9.3$ ..... 008 gram
T/E - 3.54\# (2) $.016 \times .050 \ggg .016 \times .030 \times 8.5$ ..... 010
L/E Wing tips 3.54\# (2) $.016 \times .030 \times 7.0$ ..... 006
Ribs . 016 C 3.67\# (5) $.016 \times .040$ ..... 006
Ribs . 009 C 3.89\# (2) .009 x . 030 ..... 002
Dry Weight ..... 030
Covered with Y2K2 ..... 048
With paper tubes ..... 058
Total ..... 058
Fin
4.27\#. .018 x .020 dry ..... 003
Covered with Y2K2 ..... 004
M/S for \#13
Note: cut 40+/64" to fit . 185 Aluminum tube.
IMS . 0095 4.1\# - sheet weight .204 cut 40/64" x 8" ..... 048
Glued ..... 052
With .010 cap ..... 054
With .007 TB - .015 web ..... 062
With .003 Boron on bottom of M/S. ..... 066
With .007 RH ". ..... 070
With $.8^{\prime \prime}$ wing posts ..... 080
Boom
Cut from tapered stock, use wood for prop spar mentioned below ..... 020
Fixed pitch prop - Symmetrical blade shape - Made on 17" pitch block from Superior
Spar (2) 048 x .043 >>> .025 x .025 ..... 012
With .007 music wire prop shaft ..... 017
Ribs 3.8\# (6) $.013 \times .020$ tapered ends ..... 003
Outline .017 x .017 x 20 ..... 006
Outline with ribs and spar ..... 028
With . 003 Boron on T/E to the first rib ..... 030
Covered with Y2K -2 ..... 036
Stab
IMS 4.58\# - SC $106-.017 \mathrm{gm}$ - Built two stabs
Outline .017 x .018 ..... 010
Ribs Center (1) .010 x .020 ..... 001
Ribs Outer (2) .005 x .020 ..... 002
Dry Weight ..... 012
Covered with Y2K2 ..... 018
M/S + Boom + Fin ..... 104
Wing. ..... 058
Stab ..... 018
Landing gear ..... 012
Prop, Fixed Pitch ..... 036
Total ..... 228
With V/P prop ..... 064
Total ..... 256


## Eidolon II - FiD

## John Kagan

## Cat II World Record - 28 min 49 sec - 3/31/2007



## Fat Chance - LPP

## Jim Richmond

Cat II World Record - 12 min 53 sec - 3/31/2007


## Led Sled - FiL <br> Jim Richmond

## Cat III World Record - 18 min 11 sec

According to Jim, his Led Sled is a heavy EZB type, weighing over 1.2 g - per the rules. It made a "no-touch" Cat III record flight of 18:11 at the Golf Dome in Flint, MI. It even beat the regular "light" EZBs in the process (the 2 categories being grouped together at this meet)! As the plane changed altitude during the flight, it encountered layers of drifting air which carried it first one way, then the other, sort of cancelling each other out! Many other planes were not so lucky!
Good Stuff !!!

# Indoor Model Specialties High quality, Low prices 

## Tools from Scales to Rubber Strippers

Material from Ultrafilm to Thrust Bearings
Bambino competitive Science Olympiad model kit
See my brochure under Links at
http://www.indoorduration.com
Ray Harlan
15 Happy Hollow Road
Wayland, MA 01778
508.358.4013


Mastercard and Visa accepted


## Beginner's Corner

## Carl Bakay

There have been many columns like this one, but not devoted to the indoor part of the hobby. Let's ask the question, "How do you get started in Indoor modeling?" and make it our theme.

I can speak best of my own experience. I had been flying $1 / 2$ A free flight and control line stunt models since my early teens. It was a big factor that my Dad was a tool and die maker, and I spent all my time in a basement with two long workbenches, one for each of us. His had a lathe, drill press, jigsaw, and vise. My bench had two chemistry sets and a model building area about ten feet long. A good place to work was important for me. Then we had a neighborhood bunch of kids who flew together in the local school yard and golf course, but our interest would have faded if it weren't for going to contests. That made all the difference.

Running along the southern shore of Brooklyn today is the Shore Parkway. It extends from the eastern side of the Verrazano Narrows Bridge to J.F.K. Airport. About halfway between these two landmarks, the observant traveler today will come upon Exit 11 and the Gateway National Recreation Area, a sprawling, isolated area of bird sanctuaries, wildlife preserves, and a huge, old Naval Reserve base we always called Floyd Bennett Field. In the late 1950 's and early 1960's, the New York Mirror newspaper hosted a Mirror Meet there. It was HUGE! The administration building and hangers were dwarfed by the expanse of runways running for miles in every direction. Best of all, Floyd Bennett Field was a one hour drive from Trenton, New Jersey.

Model aviation in the fifties was very big and diverse, and the contest directors made good use of all the available space. At one end of a runway was gas and rubber freeflight, hand launch glider, catapult and Jetex events. Thousands of feet away were the radio control boys doing acrobatics and flybys, landing right in front of the crowd and taxiing up to the judges to applause from the spectators. On a separate runway was control line speed, pulse jet speed, combat, rat racing, stunt, scale, and Navy carrier. Some people never went outside, it seemed, because the hangers boasted vendor booths, trophy tables, row upon row of workbenches, scale judging, food, and maybe even indoor models, but I don't remember. We didn't spend much time indoors. I do remember we took in everything, then went back home to build and fly model airplanes with a new enthusiasm.

And so it is with our indoor flying. Elsewhere in this issue you will find a list of contests and club flying, all over the country. No matter where you live, there is probably a group fun fly or serious contest within a few hours driving time of your home. Going to one of these events is the best thing you can do. You will get a great idea of the diversity of the hobby, meet great people who will be happy to explain what they do and give hints and tips, and get you hooked once and for all on building and flying.Living in New Orleans, for myself it was taking the two-day drive in 1998 to the Great Smoky Mountains of Tennessee. There, in the far eastern part of the state, you will find Johnson City and the East Tennessee State University campus, ETSU for short.


The campus features a covered stadium called the MiniDome, and it offers a football field-size floor and a 119 foot ceiling. I walked in the side entrance you see in the photo, past an outer hall of offices and locker rooms, walked through a set of double doors, and my mouth dropped open.

There, floating lazily way above were a dozen or more gossamer creations, circling at walking speed, their propellers barely ticking over. I walked (slowly) all around, shook dozens of hands, and immediately forgot the names of people I met, and often just stood transfixed. I was hooked then, and I am hooked now, and so will you be if you take the time to visit a similar place. If you look at the 2008 Contest List, you will see sites in Champaign, Illinois, Moscow, Idaho, Lakehurst, New Jersey, and Kent State University in Kent, Ohio.

Step two would be making a workplace all of your own. Most houses have basements, and this was ideal for me, because it was warm and dry in the winter and cool in the summer. Where I live now on the Gulf Coast, houses with basements would just pop out of the ground, so you will often see our cars parked in the drive, because the garage is too valuable a space. You will need a comfortable and well-lighted workbench. I made a double version of the drop-down bench you see here.


It made from a 2 by 6 foot sheet of plywood, at least $1 / 2 "$ thick, but $5 / 8 "$ is better. Finish the outside with a $1 \times 2 "$ pine border, and strip a $2 \times 4$ down the middle to get two legs, about 31 " long. Then find where the studs are in the wall, and mount the bench to the wall with large door hinges and 2 " wood screws. That's all there is to it. This bench will drop down out of the way, should you decide to park your car in the garage. If it is a basement shop, you can forgo the hinges and nail or screw the bench directly to the wall. This makes a much sturdier working surface than if it was a freestanding table, and you can mount a vise hand have power tools that won't shake and shimmy.

As I mentioned, I have two of these. One is for tools such as a drill press, jig saw, and band saw, and the other is topped with corrugated cardboard and is my building table. I find that a fresh sheet of cardboard is flat enough for most work, but for delicate indoor models you will want a sheet of Cellotex or soft pine as a building board. Something 12 " by 24 " is good for most purposes. I have several, so I can set aside one model and work or repair another at the same time.

Photos of woodworking shops often show the walls covered with fancy shelving and custom drawers and such below the bench. There are easier ways. People are always ripping out perfectly good kitchen cabinets and replacing them with new ones. You can often pick up used drawer cabinets from friends or neighbors for free if you look around. I shameless picked mine off the curb on trash day. For shelving, you can use small bookcases painted the same color as the walls. Add a battery operated clock, and hang two 48 " fluorescent shop lights, and you are done.

That's it for this issue. I mentioned a drill press, band saw and jig saw. These and other tools will be mentioned next time, and we'll suggest some ideal models to get started. In the meantime, below is a list of great places to get started reading and exploring. You can write for or download a catalog, print out how-to articles, and even order on line.

## Beginner's CORNER (continued)

FAI Model Supply
(Tan II rubber, indoor wood, winders, kits, adhesives, covering materials)
John Clapp
P.O. Box 366

Sayre, PA 18840-0366
(570) 882-9873
www.faimodelsupply.com

Indoor Model Specialties
(Scales, rubber strippers, coverings, kits, tools, how-to articles for download)
Ray Harlan
15 Happy Hollow Rd.
Wayland, MA 01778
(508) 358-4013
www.indoorspecialties.com

Indoor Model Supply
(Excellent novice kits, SO stuff, all supplies)
Lew Gitlow
P.O. Box 2020

Florence, OR 97439
www.indoormodelsupply.com

Peck Polymers/F1D.biz E-Commerce Store
Tim Goldstein's One-Stop site for indoor tools, kits, and supplies.
www.peck-polymers.com/store/

And finally, we would be remiss in not getting in a plug for the National Free Flight Society, which has had its own Beginner's Corner for a while, maintained by Alan Petersen. This site also has its own indoor and outdoor contest listing and a printable membership form.
http://www.freeflight.org/jlf/beginners_corner/beginers corner.htm


Floyd Bennett Field Today

## (2)Peck-Polymers

The World's Best Flying
Peanut Kits Now Laser Cut!


All the traditional items you depend on! Balsa Wood Plastic props 4"-11" Ambroid Glue Tools Thrust Bearings
Rubber Lub Wheels
Books Prop Shafts
RCanese sport and Scale Kits
Over 250 Differe Kits In Stock!


Stocking FF kits by:
: Peck Polymers : West Wings :Sting Aero

- Aerographics : Ackus
: Nowlen Aerorin: Free Plane ©Fly-M
Featured Products


Blade Holder for
Micrometer Style
Balsa Stripper
CNC Machined Aluminum
Hard Anodized Finish
Turcite Teflon Insert


## Supplies for Indoor FF

Carbon Fiber Pultrusion
Micro Tubes starting at 0.7 mm OD by DPP Rod: Round, Half Round, Trailing Edge, Rectangle
0.9 micron covering Jones balsa stripper

Boron filament
Teflon thrust washers Condenser Paper wire Rubber Winders Specialized tools Many more unique \& hard to find supplies Now stocking OS Film
The lightest plastic covering film in the world!


The Worlds Finest Balsa Individually Graded Sheets For Ultralight RC \& Free Flight

For quickest service order online. For our full product line and to place an order visit:
www.Peck-Polymers.com
A2Z Corp Peck Polymers division
1530 W Tufts Ave Unit B
Englewood CO 80110
720 833-9300


## STING AERO

 PRODUCTSFF Discus Gliders
Carbon Booms
Timers \& More
For our full product line and to place an order visit:
www.Peck-Polymers.com
A2Z Corp Sting Aero division

## MICROPTLM TNFO

I deal with microfilm making since thirty years. The receipt was developed through the last ten years and I am using the same, standard components. The well poured microfilm has uniform colors, easy to pour, spreading well, easy to lift, it is not sticky, doesn't tighten and shrink, it is properly tough and durable. I make pouring tests from every mixture, so I sell only solutions of excellent quality.

## My pouring recommendations:

To get the most beautiful glittering film pour it on cold rain water $\left(15-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. If you pour it on warmer water, it won't spread well and uniformly. You may use also distilled water. Using tap water the film will dry spotty.

- pour in a dry, cool, dustless, but good ventilated room
- the pouring tank size must be minimum $60 \times 120 \mathrm{~cm}$ and the water depth at least 15 mm
- the thickness of the film can be regulated very well with the quantity of the pouring
- I am pouring from a ca. $3 \mathrm{ml}\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ medicine glass
- pour slowly and smoothly $20-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ from the water
- do not stretch the poured film
- the poured film can be lifted after 3-5 minutes using a wet medium hard balsa frame
- the film can be used 4-5 days after the pouring
- don't use any glue containing organic solvents in the boxes used for store and transport the films or the models.

In case you need the microfilm solution can be thinned using a mixture of acetone $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and ethyl acetate $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ in 1:2 ratio.

Do not forget: microfilm is an inflammable material

| Prices |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| size | film |  | mailing costs |  |
|  |  | Europe | other |  |
| 100 ml bottle | $8 €$ or $12 \$$ | $6 €$ | $7 €$ or $10 \$$ |  |
| 330 ml bottle | $24 €$ or $35 \$$ | $10 €$ | $11 €$ or $15 \$$ |  |
| 600 ml bottle | $43 €$ or $65 \$$ | $17 €$ | $19 €$ or $25 \$$ |  |

Mode of payment: cash in the registred post envelope only.
I don't sell row materials, solvents and other components.
Please don't hesitate to call me, if you have any questions:


E-mail: orsi48@interware.hu
Fax: (36-1) 249-9827
I wish you much success with the film.

Orsi

## Fitting A Counter

## Rodney O'Neill

## COUNTERS

How do we know when we have packed the maximum number of turns into the rubber motor we are about to use? Winding it up till it breaks and then back one turn is not a very practical way to do it. There is a lot of information around showing how we can determine by calculation, from the weight and length, the maximum number of turns for a particular motor. Winding safely, to that number presents a problem, as most easily affordable winders do not have a counter. One of the most popular winders available at the moment is the little yellow plastic one. I see a lot of these and some flyers have added electronic counters to them. To do this, in the most common form, requires that, a magnet, a reed relay, a counter/ display, a battery, an ON/OFF switch and a reset button, are attached to the winder. This is usually achieved with varying degrees of success due to the difficulty of securely mounting all the parts, particularly the magnet and reed switch. I recently added a counter to a couple of yellow winders, but adopted a different approach to the task.

As I look around, I am always asking myself, "now what else can I use that for", and some things just jump out as being useful even before I know what I will use them for. Tim Goldstein sells small round rare earth magnets and these just had to be acquired. The other item, which was attractive, was the first pedometer (step counter) I set eyes on. These units come complete with reset buttons and batteries built in. They are in other words a complete counter package just requiring a few simple modifications and of course mounting on the winder.

One of the frequent comments about the counters made from parts (and added to winders) is that they sometimes miss count (add extra counts) due to contact bounce in the switch mechanism used. De-bounce circuitry needs to be added to counteract this and this usually means more electronic components. The pedometers come complete with de-bouncing, as the nature of their use requires it. However for use as a turns counter, this can be a mixed blessing. The problem is that some of them are heavily de-bounced and if you wind
 too quickly it will miss count (miss some) turns. All is not lost however as there is a wide variety available and a simple check can determine if they are too heavily damped. Hold the pedometer upright and shake it up and down at a rate similar to the maximum rate you would turn the handle of your yellow winder. Count each upward transition and after ten, check if the counter agrees, repeat a few times, if it agrees every time, it should work well as a turns counter. The photograph shows some of the variety of shapes and sizes available. Note that the following process describing the addition of a counter to a winder, could be applied to other winders.

To modify a yellow winder to count individual turns, using a magnet and a reed switch will be very difficult. Mounting the magnet and switch on the winder will be difficult to achieve easily and the high turning rate of the output shaft is likely to be to fast for the reed switch. However unless you are winding an F1D motor to win the world championship, it is not necessary to count individual turns, knowing the number of turns to the nearest ten will be good enough. Counting the input turns to the winder and multiplying by the winder ratio is the easiest method and this is easiest achieved by using a winder with a ratio of $10: 1$, the count displayed is then multiplied by ten to give the turns applied.

The modifications which I carried out on a winder and a pedometer are as follows:

## The Winder

The winder is modified, by fitting a small magnet to the input gear wheel and a small reed switch, to the body of the winder. To do this, dismantle the winder and remove the winder handle from the input gearwheel. Drill an "interference fit" size hole, in the gearwheel, to hold the magnet. Before fitting the magnet return the gear wheel to the body of the winder and using a felt tip pen, through the hole in the gearwheel, mark an arc on the inside of the body, where you intend to fit the reed switch.

Fit the magnet to the gear wheel and it set to one side. The material used in the body of the winder is difficult to achieve a strong bond with, so relying on an adhesive to hold the switch in position is not a realistic option. To fix the switch, measure the size of the connections to

the switch and drill two clearance holes in the body, on the arc drawn on the inside. The switch will work best when the leaves of the switch are parallel to the surface of the magnet. Examine the switch carefully and using round nose pliers (kept clear of the glass) carefully bent the legs of the switch at right angles and spaced to match the holes drilled in the counter body. Push the switch into position and into contact with the body and apply some superglue, between the switch and the body and also in the holes around the wire of the switch. Allow a short time for the adhesive to set and then on the outside, bend the ends of the wires close to the body and cut to about 5 mm in length. The winder can now be reassembled.

## The Counter (Pedometer)



Pedometers have a moving mass which I will call a pendulum. In the pedometers which I have modified, the pendulum makes an electrical connection with a fixed contact or has a magnet on the pendulum which closes a reed switch, incrementing the counter, when a step is taken. The pedometer is converted to a turns counter by removing the pendulum mechanism and connecting two fine wires to the pendulum contacts on the circuit board.

To do this, remove the battery(s) from the unit and then the screws from the case of the pedometer and carefully open up the case to expose the circuit board and pendulum mechanism. Identify the electrical connections from the pendulum
 mechanism to the circuit board. In the In counters which I have used so far, without a reed switch fitted, the connection to the moving part is via the return spring for the pendulum. Remove the circuit board from the case and detach the pendulum mechanism and carefully lift it clear. Prepare two short lengths of fine insulated wire about 150 mm long. Unsolder the spring wire and replace it with one of the pieces of wire. Solder the other piece of wire to the fixed contact of the pendulum mechanism. In pedometers with reed switches, remove the switch and connect the wires in place of the switch. Drill a small hole in the rear case, feed the wires through the hole, refit the circuit board, and close up the case. You now have an electronic counter. 1.

## Mounting the Counter

All that remains to do is mount the counter. I opted to fix a handle to the winder and the counter to the handle. I pinched the idea for the handle from a colleague in my club and the method of mounting will be dependant on the pedometer used. I will leave that up to you to devise. I have mounted a counter with a fixed clip by heating the clip carefully (when the rear case is detached) and bending it to the required angle to allow comfortable viewing. Once the counter is mounted arrange the wires so that will not get snagged easily and solder them to the reed switch connections on the outside of the winder. You now have a winder with a counter and everything is fixed securely.

I have been using several different counters for about 3 years and I have not had any trouble with them. If you have any difficulty with modifying a pedometer and would like to discuss your problem, I can be contacted on (44) 02891872380 or by e-mail at rodney.oneill@talktalk.net

## The History of Coconut Scale

## Dan Driscoll and Tony Pavel

Some questions came up on the indoor list about the rules and origin of the Coconut Scale indoor event, so your editor decided to do a little homework. As a member of the DC Maxecuters, I have great access to tremendous freeflight knowledge and resources through my fellow club members, many of who have been flying freeflight since WWII and before.

From my discussions with Dan Driscoll, and some digging in past Maxecurter Newsletters ("The MaxFax"), what we found is Bud Carson "invented" Coconut Scale in early 1987. Bud was an aeronautical engineering professor at the US Naval Academy and a long time model flyer. Bud had some serious medical problems in the mid 1990s, and had to move into an assisted living facility. None of the Maxecuters we talked to is quite sure if he is still with us (if anyone has up to date information on Bud, please us know).

As the story goes, the DC Maxecuters had a series of indoor contests at the Rotary Wing Hangar at the Patuxent River Naval Air Station in the 1980s. Bud was a Maxecuter and regular contestant at the freeflight events. At the November 1986 contest, Bud brought his newly constructed 36 " span Spirit of St. Louis, which he described as a "Coconut" size model. At that time, we are not aware of anyone else in the $\mathrm{DC} /$ northeast area that was flying scale models of this size indoors. Everyone at the contest was impressed as the big model slowly (some say majestically) circled overhead. A couple of the guys at the contest who witnessed Bud's model flying said they wanted to try their hand at building a big indoor model, and Bud ended up proposing an event for the March 1987 contest. Bud made up some rules, and Tom Schmitt published them in the January/February 1987 issue of MaxFax. The contest in March 1987 was quite a success with six entrants.


Bud Carson with what is believed to be the first Coconut Scale model - a Spirit of St. Louis.

The original text from the January/February 1987 issue of MaxFax reads as follows:
"What a combination - Coconut Scale and Comet 10 inchers! Two new events proposed for our winter flying season with non-trophy events at PAX River in March. The "Coconut" event has been proposed by Bud Carson and in reality is an Indoor Jumbo semi-scale event with a 1 oz. minimum weight. Monoplane wingspan must be 36 inches, multi-wings 30 inches. Surfaces may be single covered but aircraft must be in appropriate colors and markings. Bud had his Spirit of St. Louis at PAX River in November and it was a real fun flyer. Judging is by endurance with possibly some Mooney scale evaluation."


The first Coconut Scale event participants. From left, Don Srull (Alco Sport), Bud Carson (Spirit of St. Louis), Pat Dailey (Curtiss Robin), Jeff Hood - [our awesome co-editor looking quite suave and ready to fly!] (Dornier Comet), Dave Rees (Travel Air), Tom Schmitt (Lincoln AP).

## WASHINGTONIANS

## Dave Aronstein

## Rules

1. Model, without motor(s), shall weigh at least 7 g .

2. Motor weight shall be no more than $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{g}$ (total, on multi-engine models). Motor may be lubed after weighing.
3. Cabin cross section, formed by the longerons that support the motor, shall be at least $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}} / \mathbf{1 5 0}$, where
4. $L=$ overall length, excluding propeller(s).
5. Construction shall be low-tech. Covering shall be tissue paper (not condenser paper). No carbon, boron, etc. is permitted. Balsa wood is the only permissible material for external bracing of flying surfaces
6. Models must R.O.G. unassisted. The takeoff gear must be able to support the model, unassisted and without collapsing, in the takeoff attitude, although retraction after takeoff is permitted. There are no restrictions on size or number of wheels. Pushers are exempt from the R.O.G. rule and do not need to have any landing gear. A model is a pusher only if all propellers are located at the aft end(s) of their respective motor(s).

IF models evolve to the point that they are impractical, excessively fragile, or you can't be competitive without Gampi tissue, then a limit on total area of all supporting surfaces - total horizontal projected area of all wing and Lid surfaces will probably be instituted (probably 150 sq . in.)

## Vision

The idea is to have models that are graceful and efficient, lightly loaded but reasonably rugged, and able to he flown close to their ultimate performance limits even in a low ceiling site. The rubber limit insures that the models will not be particularly eager to climb to 100'+, like a Bostonian or an Embryo would do, if allowed. If you want to get a long flight on 1 gram of rubber, you'd better not waste your energy bashing the ceiling. I think this will be a welcome change of pace, where you have a lot of classes with 16 " wingspan, 6 to 7 g model weight, and unlimited rubber. Those models fly 3 to $4+$ minutes. but they would much rather cruise at $80^{\prime}$ to $100^{\prime}$ and the challenge in the armory is to keep them from hanging up in the girders. With a Washingtonian, you won't feel too cramped by the 37 ' ceiling, but will still see 3 to 4 minute flights.

The rules are intended to leave a lot of design freedom, and I think the way to succeed is to tap into a previously under-utilized resource: wingspan. I think a good Washingtonian will probably have a wingspan of around $30^{\prime \prime}$ to $40^{\prime \prime}$, which will give the models a very unique look, particularly in flight. The class was inspired in part by some 1950's Coupe d'Hiver designs (see 1951-2 Zaic yearbook). I liked the look of the models, with generous wings and single-strut retracting gear. So the rules closely parallel the original Coupe rules, except that the weights are scaled down by a factor of 10 .
Pushers are exempt from the R.O.G. rule in order to encourage unusual designs. Historically, I think the need for heavier and more complex undercarriage has kept pusher: from being competitive in R.O.G. events. Be careful however - most rubber power ' pushers depend on a long motor for noseweight to offset the prop at the back end, and you will have to set up a Washingtonian a bit differently.

Try flying a no-cal, a light Embryo, or a 7 g Bostonian on 1 g rubber and you'll get an idea what it's like to fly a Washingtonian.

Questions, comments, designs, suggestions, call or write:

David Aronstein
16620 SW 130 St.
Rose Hill, KS 67133
316-733-9658


All wood $4-5 \mathrm{lb}$ density except stub spars. If you have Trouble achioving 7 g weight Then reduce all wood sizes $\left(\frac{3}{32} s q-\rightarrow \frac{1}{16} \times \frac{3}{32}, \frac{1}{16} s q \rightarrow \frac{1}{20} s q .\right.$, eTc.)

$T A B / L I Z E R$

$$
\frac{1}{16} \times \frac{3}{32}
$$



## WASHINGTONIAN CLASS

airframe
um motor (TOTal, if $>1$ )
fuselage cross section
material's
ushers excepted)
$\frac{1}{16}$ round pos is fir into tissue sockets

- fully support model in T.O solitude
extract after T.O. No rules on \#/size of wheels.
$1 / 8 \times 3 / 16$ Taper last $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $1 / 8 \mathrm{sq}$.

$$
1 / 85 q .
$$

$\frac{1}{16}$ sheet ${ }^{T}$
STABILIZER
a


STab tilt: right tip $\sim 3 / 8^{\circ}$ high for right Turn.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { WASHily TONIf I } \\
& \text { (C) } 1997 \text { David Aronstein } \\
& 16^{\prime \prime} \text { Long - } 32^{\prime \prime} \text { wing span } \\
& 7 \mathrm{~g} \text { empty wight } 1 \text { g motor } \\
& (\text { p. } 1 \text { of } 2 .)
\end{aligned}
$$



What is 1 g
of rubber?
$.087^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ ( 800 nms )
$.075^{\prime \prime} \times 8.7^{\prime \prime}$
$.069^{\prime \prime} \times 9.5^{\prime \prime}$ (~1050 turns)
$.063^{\prime \prime} \times 10.3^{\prime \prime}(\sim 1350 \mathrm{Trms})$
are all roughly 1 g .
The thickest is good for outdoor, gives about 2 minute power run.*

Best time so far is $3: 15$ indoors with the .063 motor.
*Try a folding prop and you will be ${ }^{+}$ amaiol at the glide!



See side view for rib shape. Ribs \#1 the 5 are identical except for $3 / 32$ sq. holes in \#1 Thru 3 to fit snugly over stub spars. Mark These holes by impriming with the end of a pice of 3/32 square, using your first rib as $a$ template for the rest.

- TGilboom


Splice L.E. and Overkp T.E.
for strength at dihedial break.

$$
1-(p .2 \text { of } 2)
$$

# How to Make an Indoor Tractor Airplane <br> The Capitol Model Aero Club 

(Orig. March 1, 1928)

## THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA MINATURE AIRCRAFT TOURNAMENT Bulletin \#1

## How to Make an Indoor Tractor Airplane Model

The following instructions apply to the second part of the indoor competitions, namely, the contest for motor propelled airplanes. Two types are specified, the scientific models, namely, tractors, or pushers. The following information applies to the tractor type.

The following materials are necessary: one piece balsa wood 15 inches by $1 / 4$ inch by $3 / 32$ inch; two pieces of balsa rood 16 inches by $1 / 8$ inch square; one balsa propeller blank 10 inches by $13 / 8$ inches by $11 / 16$ inches; five pieces of bamboo 3 inches by $1 / 32$ inch by $1 / 64$ inch; one piece of bamboo 5 inches by $1 / 8$ inch by $1 / 16$ inch; one piece of bamboo 13 inches by $1 / 32$ inch square; one piece of bamboo 6 inches by $1 / 32$ inch by $1 / 64$ inch; one sheet of Japanese tissue paper 20 by 12 inches; one propeller nail bearing; one propeller shaft and two washers; two cans or rubber guides, two ring clips; one rear hook; 32 inches of $1 / 8$ inch flat rubber thread; silk thread; banana oil; and Ambroid.

The motor stick shown in the center of the drawing is made from the 15 inch length of balsa wood. This piece, when finished, is $1 / 4$ inch wide in the center and tapers to $5 / 32$ inch square at the ends. When dressed to size, it should be given a coat of banana oil to stiffen it. The propeller bearing is made by hammering and bending a small nail. A small hole to accommodate the propeller shaft is drilled in the front end and the bearing is bound to the front of the motor stick. The two cans are bound in place each side of the center and the rear hook is bound to the rear end. The rudder is made from the long piece of bamboo and bent into the shape shorn in the drawing. The turns can be easily made by holding the section to be bent over a candle flame and when the wood becomes heated it can be formed into the shape shown. It will be noticed that the base of the rudder is extended beyond the upright portion and bound to the, motor stick under the rear hook. Ambroid should be used in all of the wrappings and joints. When using Ambroid, coat each of the pieces to be joined and let them dry. Then apply a second coat, allowing the Ambroid to set. To cover the rudder, give the frame a coat of banana oil and lay a piece of Japanese tissue paper over the flame. Press the paper against the frame so that it will adhere, and when it is dry trim off the excess pipe with a razor blade. The horizontal stabilizer is made by Ambroiding the six inch length of bamboo to the under aide of the motor stick one inch from the rear. The center of a piece of silk thread a foot and a half long is tied to the base of the rudder at a point one inch from the end of the motor stick. The two ends are then carried around opposite ends of the bamboo tail piece and fastened to the motor stick three inches in front of the bamboo piece. A touch of Ambroid should be given where the thread passes the bamboo stick and where it is tied. When this tail frame has set, it should be covered on the under side with a piece of Japanese tissue paper in a similar manner to that employed for the rudder. In trimming the paper, however, a sixteenth of an inch margin outside of the thread should be left in order to avoid cutting the thread when trimming.

To form the wing, the two 16 inch pieces of balsa are given slanting cut in the center and rejoined as shown in the detail view, thus forming a slight upward angle. The three inch lengths of bamboo which are to be the ribs are slightly heated and curved as shown in the end view. The end of each rib is then split for a short distance and fastened to the front and rear wing edges. Ambroid should be employed in this joint. When this ladder-like frame is completed the unused pieces of bamboo remaining should be bent into a semicircle of three inch diameter and carefully split in half, making two ends of the same shape. These should be Ambroided in each end the wing frame and, in order that the edges of the wing may be a continuous line, a slight recess is cut into the ends of the balsa pieces. Before covering the wing frame, two wing clips are made to the shape shown in the detailed drawing near the assembled view. The center section of these wing clips, which are made from small piano wire, should be of such size that it will grip the motor stick. This gripping should not be so tight that it will injure the motor stick nor so loose that it will not be a strong support. In the right hand, clip the distance between the bottom of the stick portion and the bottom of the two legs should be $7 / 16$ inches. The left hand wing clip is bound and Ambroided to the front spar of the ring and the right hand clip is similarly fastened to the rear spar in the center The wing can now be covered. This is the most important covering job on the model, and if the tissue paper is wrinkled, it should be iron, flat with a warm iron before applying. The section of paper between the two wing clips can be cut to fit, but the rest of the paper can be left over-large and trimmed after the banana oil has set. In covering this wing, proceed from the center, doing one section at a time, making sure that the tissue paper is pulled tightly so that the finished job will be unwrinkled.

The propeller is carved from the balsa blank in the manner explained in the propeller bulletin. The propeller shaft is made from piano wire End two washers are used on the shaft between the bearing and the propeller to cut down the friction. The length of rubber thread is tied in a loop, using a square knot, and strung on the model between two hocks and through the two rubber guides. To assemble the model, the wing should be fastened on the other side of the motor stick by placing the stick in the two clips. Because the rear clip is bigger than the front one, the ring is placed at the angle which is necessary for proper lift.

To fly the model, wind the propeller in the opposite direction to that intended for flight, turning it several hundred times until the rubber thread is tightly twisted. To launch, hold the propeller with one hand and the model in the center with the other hand, and cast it gently forward. If the motor climbs too steeply, the wing should be set back or adjusted to have less angle of inclination. If it noses down, the wing can be set
forward or inclined steeper. When the right place for the wing has been found, it should be marked so that the model can always be properly adjusted.

If properly made with careful attention to having all parts true and accurate, and if the model is correctly adjusted, it should fly for at least a minute. Models of this same design have flown for two and three minutes. When flying indoors, the rudder should be bent by first breathing upon it and then bending it slightly, holding it until the heat generated by the breath has cooled, when the rudder will remain slightly curved.

The Capitol Model Aero Club
Paul Edward Garber
Director
affiliated with
THE COMMUNITY CENTER DEPARTMENT
Public Schools of the District of Columbia
Franklin Administration Building
Thirteenth and N. Streets, N.W.
Main 6036
March 1, 1928


INDOOR TRACTOR MODEL AIRPIANE
Scale of drawing half size.

## 2008 CONTEST SCHEDULE

## CONTESTS FOR 2008

Jan 19

Feb 16 The Thermal Thumbers of Metro Atlanta are flying their core events plus SO and TSA. Fly 9 am -4 pm at North Cobb HS, 3400 Hwy 293 North, Kennesaw, GA 30144. Gary Baughman CD 770-422-8489

April $5 \quad$ Cleveland Free Flight Society annual Indoor Contest, Kent State University Field House, Kent, OH. Contact Michael C. Zand, 5803 East Ash Rd, Independence, OH, 216-524-3480, imzand@hotmail.com.

April 19 The Thermal Thumbers of Metro Atlanta host the Annual Peach State Indoor Championship. Core events plus SO and TSA. Fly 9 am - 4pm at North Cobb High School, 3400 Hwy 293 North, Kennesaw, GA 30144. David Mills CD 770-461-9870. Bill Gowen Alternate CD 404-636-3451.

April 19,20

April 7 The Heart of America FF Association (HAFFA) holds their 2007 annual indoor contest at the Kansas City Bible School, 7401 Metcalf, Overland Park, KS. Details Emil Schutzel, 913-341-7788

May 24-26 The East Coast Indoor Modelers (ECIM) host a Memorial Day Indoor Meet in Lakehurst Hangar \#1.. The hangar is 800 ft . long by 250 ft ., and 190 ft . high. You must be a member to gain entry to the base. All AMA indoor free flight categories can be flown in hanger No. 1, a category IV site. ECIM provides record sanctions twice a month from April to October. For the current sanction schedule or to Join ECIM, contact Rob Romash. 1442 Kirkham St. , Colorado Springs Co 80910 or at cgrain1@yahoo.com.

May 28-June 1 United States Indoor Championships (USIC), East Tennessee State University Minidome, Johnson City TN. Full roster of AMA, FAI amd FAC events. A world-class 119 ft . site. Tony Pavel CD. paveltony@gmail.com.

July 4,5,6 The East Coast Indoor Modelers (ECIM) host a July Fourth Indoor Meet in Lakehurst Hangar \#1. Contact info same as above.

July 5-9 Kibbie Dome Annual, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID, a world class site with 144 ' ceiling. Fly four days, 8 am to 8 pm . CD Andrew Tagliafico 503-452-0546.

## CLUB FLYING SCHEDULES

The Brainbuster FF Club flies in the Full Scale Tunnel at Langley AFB, Virginia. The wind tunnel is 200 x 46 and 70 feet high. Contact Abram Van Dover ahead of time, 757-877-2830.

The San Diego Orbiteers host indoor flying on the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Friday of each month at San Carlos Rec. Center, 6445 Lake Badin Ave. 6:45 to 8:45 pm. Contact Howard Haupt 858-272-5656 haupt@worldnet.att.net for info.
club. - Lee Dammann
The Magnificent Mountain Men Host flying at the Colorado Springs City Auditorium Feb 13, Mar 19, April 13, and May 1, 2008. The Auditorium is $37^{\prime}$ 'at its peak height, smooth ceiling with a few lights hanging down about $2^{\prime}$. Events usually are: Std CLG, Unlimited CLG, HLG, Bostonian, F1L, Ministick, LPP, Pennyplane/F1M combined, FAC Peanut, FAC No-cal, EZB, A-6 Contact: Don DeLoach, 831 E. Willamette Ave., Colorado Springs, CO 80903, 719.964.7117 voice, ddeloach@adelphia.net , or John McGrath, johnmcgrath@adelphia.net

The Tech Model Aircrafters are having an Indoor Session at MIT's DuPont Gym on Mass Ave in Cambridge, MA 6 pm to 10 pm. Call Ray Harlan 508-358-4013 for confirmation.

The Heart of America FF Association (HAFFA) host indoor flying alternately between the third Sunday of the month at the Ozanam Gym at 421 E $137^{\text {th }}$ St., Kansas City, MO, and the first Monday of the month at Westport/Roanoke Community Center, 3601 Roanoke Rd., Kansas City, MO. Details Emil Schutzel, 913-341-7788

The Glastonbury Modelers fly indoors at the Glastonbuty High School, Glastonbury, CT. Fun fly March 2, 2008. Flying is 8 am to 12:30 pm. Full contests from 8 am til 5 pm will be on Feb 10 and April 6, 2008. An AMA membership is required. For information contact John Koptonak 860-434-1029, gliderguider@adelphia.net or Tony Lincoln 860-659-2457, tony.Lincoln@cox.net

They also fly at Bethany Lutheran Church on Walnut Hill Rd. Off Rt. 20, the Hartford/ Springfield border, on Tuesday afternoons from 12 until 3:30 pm. Dates are Jan 29, Feb 26, Mar 25 and April 29, 2008. Contact Jerry Knoblauch at 860-658-1538 or Art Anderson at 413-357-6681 for details.

The Bong Eagles in Racine Wisconsin fly indoors at two locations. On Tuesdays from 7 PM until 9:30 PM, whenever the Social Center Classes are in session, at Hamilton High School in Milwaukee. Everyone is welcome. There is no charge and help is available. The school is located 1 Block North and one block west of 60th and Forest Home.

They also host Fun Flys at Memorial Hall in Racine, Wisconsin on the first Thursday of the month during the winter season from October to May. Flying is from 4:00 PM until 11 PM. Memorial Hall is located at 72 Seventh Street in beautiful downtown Racine. Dates are Feb 7, Mar 6, Apr 3, and May 1, 2008

Sanctioned indoor contests are held at Racine Memorial Hall from 7:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. The March 9th contest, on a Thursday, will begin at 3:00 P.M. and run until 11:00 P.M.

Merrimac Valley Air-Istocrats \& FAC Stealth Squadron host indoor flying at the Winthrop High School Gym, 372 Main St., Winthrop MA 02172. Flying 9 am til 43 pm. Dates for 2008 ate Saturdays. Jan 26, Feb 23, Mar 29 and April 26. FAC Scale, Peanut Scale, Dime Scale, Golden Age, FAC NoCal, FAC Embryo/Bostonian, WWI Dogfight, WWII Combat, etc. Contact Tim McDonald 978-686-1912 evenings.

## Corrections and Errata

## Contest Results

## Magnificent Mountain Men-Pikes Peak Ceiling Climb II <br> Colorado Springs, CO - January 5-6, 2008

The 2nd annual Pikes Peak Ceiling Climb was quite successful and a lot of fun despite the relatively low turnout of 14 flyers. I attribute this low turnout to the fact that we had little choice in dates this time around, once we found out that our preferred date of March 22-23 was Easter weekend (my fault completely!). January 5-6 is about the worst time of year to expect people to travel to a major meet, but we went through with it anyway. Next year I am going to reserve our dates *much* further in advance. I plan to hold the PPCC sometime in March 2009, with (hopefully) plenty of separation from Kent State and Midwestern States Champs.

For those who showed up, it was a really fun meet. And some great prizes were awarded, thanks to some generous sponsorship. As usual Peck-Polymers/F1D.biz came through in a big way, with the donation of about 15 prizes. Thanks to Tim Goldstein and Tom Norell at Peck for their generosity. Also I would like to thank an anonymous MMM sponsor who, via Roland Solomon, donated some fantastic outdoor FF kits to be used as prizes. Bill Leppard won the Satellite 450 kit and Rob Romash went home with the Galaxie 585. I can't remember who got the Comet Sparky kit. Finally I want to recognize Duane Hjerleid and the estate of Sweeney Hayashi. Duane came down with a bunch of modeling stuff from Sweeney's estate. Some of it was used as prizes and the rest was sold, with $100 \%$ of donations going to the Junior FF team. Thanks Duane. Sweeney was a great guy and is sorely missed.

Perhaps the most notable performance of this meet was the pair of $41+\mathrm{sec}$ flights made by Todd Reynolds in HLG-a site record by a very wide margin. For four years Romash and I have been topping out around the $37-39 \mathrm{sec}$ mark. Then suddenly Todd comes in with two 41.3 s . Wow. Todd you are *The* *King* ...congrats!

We used the 5-4-3-2-1 scoring system for Grand Champ-the same as the Chicago Aeronuts use at the MW Champs. Rob Romash came out the victor for the second year in a row, this time with 17 points and sole possession of the Colorado Cup trophy (last year he tied with Bill Gowen). Romash won 5 events (F1L, UCLG, Std. CLG, LPP, Ministick). Second and third place in Grand Champ were Don DeLoach with 14 points and Bill Leppard with 11 points.

I want to thank everyone who pitched in to make this contest a success, especially those who sat at the table and timed/recorded flights. Teamwork is what makes our club and these meets a success. Thanks to Darold Jones, Jerry Murphy, Mark Covington, Bill Leppard, and Rob Romash. I apologize if I missed anyone who did table duty for any length of time. Last but certainly not least Cindy DeLoach: Thanks from all of us for bringing that outstanding carrot cake on Sunday. It was *truly* delectable!

See you next year,
Don DeLoach, CD

## Magnificent Mountain Men-Pikes Peak Ceiling Climb II

## CAT II Class AA

Hand Launched Glider
Todd Reynolds .................................... 41.3+41.3.............. 82.6 *
Don DeLoach $35.6+36.3$ ..... 71.9
Mark Covington $32.8+33.9$ ..... 66.7
Rob Romash $31.3+31.4$ ..... 62.7
Junior Hand Launched Glider
Raymond Boyd. $19.8+18.5$ ..... 38.3
Stephen Boyd $16.5+16.5$ ..... 33.0
Standard Catapult Glider
Rob Romash $36.0+35.4$ ..... 71.4
Todd Reynolds $33.6+34.4$ ..... 68.0
Don DeLoach $32.2+33.0$ ..... 65.2
Bill Leppard $28.7+27.7$ ..... 56.4
Mark Covington $29.2+26.1$ ..... 36.3
Unlimited Catapult Glider
Rob Romash $41.5+42.1$ ..... $83.6^{* *}$
Don DeLoach $37.6+37.5$ ..... 75.1
Todd Reynolds $36.1+35.4$ ..... 71.5
Limited Pennyplane
Rob Romash ..... 8:54
Bill Leppard ..... 7:09
Jerry Murphy ..... 5:25
Steve Smith ..... 4:44
John McGrath. ..... 4:14
Peanut Scale
Monte Peecher Fike ..... :57
Jerry Murphy Lacey ..... :27
P-24
Chuck Etherington ..... 2:10
A-6
Don DeLoach . ..... 5:03
Bill Leppard ..... 4:17
Todd Reynolds ..... 3:41
Jerry Murphy ..... 3:19
Steve Smith ..... 2:45
Ministick
Rob Romash ..... 8:19
Bill Leppard ..... 6:14
Tom Norell ..... 6:08
Pennyplane
Bill Leppard9:50
Don DeLoach ..... 7:11
F1L
Rob Romash 10:33+10:18 ..... 20:51
Bill Leppard 10:46+9:24 ..... 20:10
Don DeLoach 8:23+8:08 ..... 16:31
Jerry Murphy 5:59+4:04 ..... 10:03
FAC No-cal Scale (3 flight total)
Bill Leppard Spitfire ..... 6:35
Don DeLoach Judy ..... 1:12
Jerry Murphy Corsair. ..... 1:03
WWII No-Cal Mass Launch
Bill Leppard Spitfire
Jerry Murphy Corsair
Don DeLoach Judy
*club and site record**club record



[^0]:    A PROPELLER WITH CONSTANTLY VARYING DIAMETER
    from Sylwester Kujawa by Jwrgen Korsgaard
    In September I got a letter from Sylwester containing the hub and some part of the blades of his newly developed C.V.D. prop. Unfortunately the postal services had been rather brutal to the envelope, so quite a lot of bits and pieces fell out, when I opened it! I tried to put the prop together again, and on the drawing you can see what I figured out.

    Editor's Note: Bud Romak was in Romania this autumn and saw Kujawa's props up close. He says that Korsgaard's drawing is correct. This prop appears to be much easier to build than the hinged versions by Richmond or Doig.

