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****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRSLANE SOCIETY****

## Now Hombers!

ROLFE GREGORY, 11603 M13bern Dr., Potomse, Md. 20854 DAVID A. PISHNERY, 1574 Lee Terreco Dr., Wlckliffe;

Ohio 44092
BILL SHAILOR, 13596 Montrose, Detrost, M1ch. 48227
Family Memberships
DAVID OICKLE, 119 Martha Rd., Glen Burnie, Md. 21061

## Tenth Anniversary Gift

Right after Christmas, Jim Clem paid a surprise visit to the Tenny household. Tucked under his arm was a Pitney Bowes Model $701 R$ Addresser Printer and a box of blank plates! In addition, there was a $\$ 50 \mathrm{gift}$ certificate for Jody, to be redeemed at Titche-Goettinger - one of Dallasi best department stores:

On this tenth anniversary of INAV, many NIMAS members and other modeling friends across the nation arranged this "thank you" surprise-a top grade plece of office equipment which will save hours each month addressing the newsletter. We can now stamp addresses directly without typing labels and sticking them on.

Jody used her gift certificate on a small stereo to go in our bedroom. It's really nice to be able to ilsten to our own music in a house with three teen-agers!

Both gifts will be used and appreciated for many years. But most of all we will remember with gratitude this expression of your friendship. Thank you.

## Renewal Notice

The new method of addressing newsletters permits inclusion of a date code in the address. Now, each member and subscriber can look at his label; those who have "01" In the upper left corner of the address are due to renew with this issue. The normal method of notification will be continued; those who are due to renew recelve a note to that effect plus a return-addressed envelope. The new number simply gives advance notice. Those who renew in advance of expiration save me quite a bit of time, so if this can be done it will be appreciated.

## New Dues Set

Last month's poll about what to do about spiraling INAV costs showed that almost everyone favored raising dues by at least $25 \not \subset$ per year. Some suggested 50 5 , some even suggested $\$ 1$, and many included donations to clear up the deficit from last yaar. The new addressing scheme will save over 10 in label costs, and projected income increase from the increase should be about $\$ 75$ for a full year from now. This increase should hold for two or three years, barring another massive postal increase or a bis increase in printing costs. Therefore, the membership dues (including subscription) are now $\$ 3.25$ per year and subscription alone costs $\$ 2.25$.

## NIMAS Decals

Some time ago we made a plea for someone to help make up new decals; the NIMAS decal box has been empty for almost 24 months and many new members have never seen our rather distinctive decal. At this point, we need information from somewhere about what decals should cost. In Dallas, decal houses are either reluctant to talk about water-slide decals at all, or they talk in terms of $\$ 500$ orders. Can anyone do better? Should the NIMAS decal die, or is there a way to revive it?

## FAI INDOOR REPORT

Team Manager Named
A December memo from AMA HQ named Bud Tenny as manager of the 1972 Indoor Team, in accord with previously established Exscutive Council directives. The same memo announced the dates for the 1972 Indoor World Champion-
ships as Aus. 25-28, 1972. The site 18 as previously ansounced Cardington hangas. Housing wili be at Cranfosd Aerodrome which is the home of Britein's Institute of Aeronautios Teobnology (similes to MASA). Transporm tation will be furnished to the hangar each day.

## Two Yoar Indoor Program?

Whother we have a two year or one year indoor program will depend upon the outcome of a questionsire circulated by AKA HQ in Decomber, with a Jan. 14 retum deadilne. The problems faced with the 1971 program seem to indicate that ruture participants will expect to vote on critical aspects of the program as they arise. rals will be lom gistically imposeible unless a two year program is adopted, or unless the semisofinals ar finsisiod by Junc 1 and the Finals are in late Augusto

Besides the one year/two year question, the form also attempted to settio the matter of single site/multiple site Finals the quesifions were heavily slanted toward an outcome in favor of multipl sites, wisch may or may not be the best way to plcks ream. However, multiple Finals sites, as a concept, has the following disadvantages: greatly increased work load on the grogram Administrator, greater (and unrealistic) pressure on each finallst, and unequal chances to mase the team for entrants in different Finals. Apparently, the questionalre was devised without consulting anyone with indoor experience. In this writer's opinion, the poll raises more questions than it will answer, and is probably divisive at a time when we all need to pull together again.

## STATE OF THE ART

It has been a lonf time since a new Easy $B$ design has made an impact on the competition scene. Perhaps the effort which would have gone into Easy $B$ has been routed into Pennyplane; anyway, a couple of the best still around are the Easy B's by Al Rohrbaugh and Jim Richmond. So, these two have been reprinted from the Mar. 68 INAV, where we said the following:

Two of the top Easy $E$ designs in the U. S. share the spotilght this month. The Kokomo Bomber by Jim Richmond and Al Rohrbaugh's Easy B are quite similar in design except for the rudder, and both models have rivalled times of Paper Stick models, even with all balsa props. Details are given on both built up and all balsa props, since the contests in the Midwest allow built up props on Easy $B$.

Jim explains certain design detsils of the Kokomo Bomber: "The stab is made without a center rib, but the paper is supported by means of a small balsa piece cemented to the boom. The wing rib layout adds strength to the wing by acting as a crooked spar. The fence on the stab trailing edge seems to reduce stall tendencies at the start. "The extra wing offset was added for the same reason."

Al comments: "Although the light weight is an important factor for good duration, the prop is, as usual, somewhat critical. Due to the light wing, both tips will wash out under full power unless prop flare is enough to hold air speed low enough to prevent washout. The trick is to get maximum climb angle while keeping air speod just under stall. The wing is adjusted perfectiy flat and the front wing post should flex sufficiently to permit the left wing leading edge to lift enough to give effective washin. When done properly, this method gives variable torque control while maintaining minimum washin. The rudder has approximately equal area above and below the boom to prevent rudder offset from twisting the boom. It might seem questionable to go to all this trouble, but it is a case of what the extra time is worth."

Editorial note: Since the above was printed, Jim set the Cat. I Paper Stick record with the Kokomo Bomber at a St. Louls contest in 1969-13:06! Also, at that time the CMOS diagrams were not being furnished for featured models. The diagram below combines the two models and shows the $0 \%$ computation. Rohrbaugh's model (as shown) was flown with $+19 \%$ margin, while Richmond's was in excess of $+20 \%$. (CMOS on P.4)
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COLORADO - Denver
Indoor meets on Feb. 20, Mar. 19, 1972; Stick (all classes combined), Easy B, HLA and scale. Contact Ted Gonzoph, 12996 E. 2nd. Ave., Aurora, Colo, 80010 for info on site and time.

## ILLINOIS - Chicago

Indoor meet Jan. 30, Feb. 20, 1972 at Forest View High School Girl's Gym, Arlington Hts., IIl.; HLO \& PennyPlane, Pete Sotich, 3851 w. 62nd Place, Chicago 60629.

ILLINOIS - Rock Island.
Roald Tweet, Dept. of English, Augustana College, Rock Island, Ili. $6120^{\circ}$ hopes to get regular indoor fly ing sessions started in the college's new physical education facility, which has $50^{\prime}$ celling. Contact him if you are interested.

KANSAS - Olathe
Annual Winged Motors indoor meet, Feb. 19, 1972 at Millbrook Jr. High, Park \& Waters Sts., Olathe, Kansas. Jr. Rubber, HLG, Easy B, Indoor Scale. Roger Schroeder, 4111 W. 98 th St., Overland Park, Kansas 66207.

## MARYLAND - Silver Spring

Indoor sessions at JFK High School, 1901 Randolph Rd., Silver Spring, Md. Contact John Thornhill, Route 1, Mt. Airy, Md. 21771 for dates and times of session.

MASSACHUSETTS - Amherst
Indoor flying sessions at Student Union of University of Massachusetts in Amherst, Jan. 23, Feb. 20, Mar. 19, Apr. 16, 1972, 10 am to 5 pm . Charles Learoyd, 100 M 111 Valley Rd., Hadley, Mass.

MASSACHUSETTS - M.I.T.
Indoor sessions at MIT Armory, Vassar St. at Mass. Ave., Cambridge, Mass., Feb. 26, Mar. 11 , 3 pm to 6 pm . Contest Apr. 8, 1972, 1 pm to 8 'pm. Ray Harlan, 15 Happy Hollow Rd., Wayland, Mass. ph. 358-4013.

MISSOURI - St. Louis
Indoor contests tentatively planned Feb. 6, Apr. 9 at Ft. Zumwalt High school, ${ }^{\prime}$ Falion, Mo., 9 am to 5 pm . by, Kirkwood Thermaleers. Mar. 5 at E. St. Louis Asmory ( $34^{\prime}$ ceiling), 2931 state St., E. St. Louls. Ill. 10 am to 5 pm , by McDonnell-Douglas FF Club, HLG, Delta Dart, PennyPlane, Easy B, Indoor Stick, Scale. Jim Bennett, 324 Helfensteln, St. Louis, Mo. 63119, ph. 314-962-5271.

NEW YORK - Long Island
Cat. I Record Trials (tentative) in March, 1972; Annual IIAMAC Indoor Meet at Cantiague Park, Hichsvilie, L.I., N.Y., April 30, 1972. J. G. Pailet, 30 Emerson Rd. Brookville, Glen Head, N. Y. 11545.

## DESIGN FOOTNOTES

## An Experimental Easy B

For about 18 months, it has been my intention to set up an Easy B with all the design advances inherent in the CMOS balance method, while making full use of big prop, high aspect ratio stab, and a couple of trim techniques learned from FAI flying.

The model was built during a brief vacation over the holidays, being finished about 20 minutes before we left for a contest it was to be flown in. It would be nice to claim a first place, but the contest event was a Ceiling Dodger event. Only about an hour was available for flying and the motor and prop broke on the first official filght.

Two last-minute attempts were made, both of which touched the ceiling at least three times. Best three-touch time in a 22' site was 5:15; even so, I was pleased. In spite of the "phantom CG" which caused me to call the model "Clvvy Boy", the model ilterally "flew right off the board, as the saying goes. It rafter-banged perfectly and impressed everyone with its power handing ability.

The rearward CG caused a few qualms as I assembled the model, so re-checked the CMOS calculations and decided that if I had so much faith in CMOS, I'd better live with the results! An analysis of the model served to increase my happiness with the results. I weighed it after fiying, with the results shown on the plan. Only the wing is near the proper weight, and the heavy prop had too much weight in the blade and a terribly soft spar which showed up when the model was flown under high humidity. Obviously, a much lighter model can be built and should fly much better than \#1. It already flies as well as any previous Easy B I've had, so the design seems to hold much promise. If anyone tries the design I'd welcome their comments.

Since I credit CMOS with much of the success of this model, here is a brief review how to use the CMOS diagram on the plan: Build the model as usual, and balance the entire model and motor (less wing) to locate the CG. On this model the CG was $51 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ from the nose ( $X$ ). The vertical dotted line shows the graph intercept with the corresponding $Y$ value of $43 / 4^{\prime \prime}$. Simply locate the rear post at the distance shown, and the front post whers it has to be. Do not use a rearward CG unless the balance diagram works out that way! Most likely a more correct prop welght would have resulted in a more "normal" CG location, but the flight characteristics should have been identical.

Comments on trim: relatively large washin in the wing coupled with the stab tilt and 0\% margin seem to be what ylelde the rafter-banging abllity. If fact, the model has recovered from wall contact also. Matching the thrust line to the filght circie seems to measurably improve the power handiling ability and smooth out the climb.

## TORQUE ROD DESIGN DATA

Ray Harlan has computed the figures in the chart below, which represent safe design parameters for the torque rod in torquemeters. Column headings: $d=$ diameter of the music wire; $T=s a f e$ torque (fairly conservative) limit of
 proper length of the torque rod.

| $d$ | $T($ in.OZ. $)$ | $K$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| .010 | .31 | 6.20 |
| .012 | .54 | 2.97 |
| .014 | .86 | 1.60 |
| .015 | 1.06 | 1.21 |
| .016 | 1.28 | .939 |
| .018 | 1.83 | .594 |
| .020 | 2.51 | .383 |

To use the chart for torque rod design, decide first what maximum torque you will need. For example, 1 inch ounce maximum includes rubber up through about. $08^{\prime \prime}$ wide. Next, choose full scale torque for one revolution of the pointer (this value is $t$ in the formula below). Finaliy, compute the wire length with this formula:

## Iength $=6.28 / \mathrm{tK}$

For example, assume . 6 in.oz. per revolution on $.015^{\prime \prime}$ diameter wire. $t=.6 ; K=1.21$ and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { length }=6.28 /(.6 \times 1.21)=6.28 / .726 \\
& \text { length }=8.64^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

This length will be approximately correct for .6 in . oz. per revolution, due to small variations in wire. If an exact calibration (. 6 in . oz. = exactly one revolution) is required, begin with a torque rod slightiy longer and make trial calibrations. Cut off small lengths of wire until the calibration 18 as close as you desire. If you would like to see Ray's derivation or require information for different scale lengths, send a self-addressed envelwith your request to Box 545, Richardson, Tex. 75080 and I'll send a copy of his letter.

## ADVANCE WARNING:

The Annual NIMAS Postal almost got left out last year, with FAI problems, etc. This annual event has been a lot of fun, and deserves better treatment than last time. So, be forewarned that it is coming up. Usually, events. include Easy $B, H L G$, and Indoor Stick. In ig71 Pennyplant and Ceiling Dodger were added, but no entries were made in Indoor Stick and only two in PennyPlane. We are open to suggestions about which events should be held!
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****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY**** New Members:

CHARLES H. BACCUS, 900 Boynton, San Jose, Cal. 95117 BRIAN BROWNING, Peru State Coliege, Peru, Neb. 64821 J. F. CAPTER, Rt. \#1, Drewry, Ala. 35468

BILL LANGLEY, 6229 N. Robinhood Lno, Kansas City, Mo. MICHALL NARIGON, 1334 9th Ave. N, Ft. Dodge, Ia. 50501 PHIL RENNAKER, 6714 Lawson Ln., Kansas City, MO. 64152 RCBERT ROVICK, 12404 Marine View Dr., Edmonds, Wash. 98020 DICK STARKS, 7906 NW Potomac, Kansas City, Mo. 64152 DONALD WRIGHT, 559 Evanswood Pl., Cincinnati, 0.45220

## The NIMAS Spirit

A long time ago, I received a lot of help from many indoor fliers as I began the struggle to learn indoor flying techniques while reasonably well isolated from the mainstreail of activity. As NIMAS was founded and grew, this same spirit of helpfulness alded me to continue growing in experience.

Not long ago, a young man joined NIMAS. This same NIMAS spirit has again "turned on" full force, and this Junior has received willing help from several fliers all over the country. As I heard of this willing help, I was reminded again of the help I received, and I'm thankful that this willing spirit continues unabated. Thanks again to all of you:

## Recent Publications

"East Coast FAI Indoor Finals" 1 s the title of a very good report or that event by Tom Vallee, in the Feb. 172 MCDEL AIRPLANE NEWS. We owe a vote of thanks to Tom for this effort, and an especially kind word for man as they devote this much space to reporting one of our major events.

The following paragraph appeared in NAA NEWS, the news sheet of the National Aeronautic Association:

How long can a fixed wing aircraft (VTOL'S excluded) fiy et less than one mph, without the benefit of wind? The world record is almost an hour and is held by Czechoslovakia. Next August teams from about ten countries will gather in a dirigible hangar at Cardington, England to try to beat that record. The occasion will be the 1972 Indoor Aeromodeling World Championships of the Federation Aeronautique Internationale, and the competing prop-driven aircraft will weigh only a fraction of an ounce and be powered by rubber bands. NAA's Academy of Model Aeronautics has already selected the U. S. Team, through flyoffs held last September at tre alrahip hangars in Santa Ana, California and Lakehurst, New Jersey.

## Let's Have A Party

As much as various members would like to have a NIMAS party (general meeting), we never seem to find a time for this and haven't since 1962 (a NIMAS meeting was held at the ' 62 Nats). However, the Detroit Balsa Bugs are having a party - their 20 th Annual Federation Aeronautique Internationale Awards Dinner on March 11, 1972 at the Polish Legion of American Veterans fiall Walter Paluch Post \#12. Legion of American veterans hall walter Paluch pos

In 1971 the attendance was 106 , and 125 tickets at $\$ 7$ each are available this year. Cutoff date for getting tickets (pay in advance) is March 4, 1972. Phone or write raul Crowley, 32604 Tecla Dr., Warren, M1ch. 48093, ph. 294-Caठ6, to get info and tickets.

The Detroit Balsa Bugs is an excellent club of long standing, and it is filled with many nice people who just happen to fly models very well. In fact, members of the club have made many contributions to both the theoretical and practical aspects of free flight modeling, and this program will be well worth attending. As part of the program, perpetual trophies will be awarded to the following fliers in their specialties:

Nordic Wakefleld Indoor

## NIYAS Postal Moot

The 7 th Annual NIMAS Postal meet will be open for entry through April 17, 1972. All flights made as part of a sanctioned indoor meet from Jan. 1 through Apr. 17 are eligible, as are flights made in informal sessions between now and Apr. 17, provided these sessions are run in accord with ama rules.
Events: Easy B, paper covered only, solid motor stick and boom, with unbraced surfaces.
hLG - AMA Rules except two ceiling classes Class I - 18' to 25'; Class II - 25' $1^{\prime \prime}$ to 35'

Indoor Stick - AMA Rules except FAI ceiling measure to compute fudge factor.
General Rules: Entry fee $15 \phi$ per event, stamps preferred. Separate events may be flown at different sessions, but all flights for a given event must be flown on one day. Please note ceiling helght for each entry, as it will be used to compute fudge factore to equalize ceiling heights. Separate class for Juniors in each event, with awards for high placing Seniors. Separate class for sub-junior (age 12 and under) in HLG. Anyone can enter; send entries to NIMAS, Box 545, Richardson, Texas 75080.

Special events: PennyPlane and Ceiling Dodger will be heldif five entries are made in these events. Use any model for Ceiling Dodger; count highest time attained on flights which do not touch ceiling. Use Chicago Aeronuts Pennyplane mules - send for copy if necessary.

## Postal Fudge Factors

For some time, NIMAS Fudge Factors have been used to equalize celling height differences between postal meet sites. The rubber factors apparently have been satisfactory, and HLG factors have proved out pretty well so long as ceiling heights did not vary more than 1.4:1. The chart below summarizes these fudge factors as they will be used in the Annual Postal. Postal entrants can compare their time against existing results and decide whether to try harder before submitting times.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Celling } \\ & \text { (Feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Class I KLG <br> (Fudge to 25') | Class II HLG <br> (Fudge to 35') | Rubber <br> (Fudge to $35^{\prime}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 1.39 |  | 1.394 |
| 19 | 1.316 |  | 1.357 |
| 20 | 1.25 |  | 1.323 |
| 21 | 1.19 |  | 1.29 |
| 22 | 1.136 |  | 1.261 |
| 23 | 1.087 |  | 1.234 |
| 24 | 1.042 |  | 1.207 |
| 25 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.183 |
| 26 |  | 1.346 | 1.16 |
| 27 |  | 1.296 | 1.139 |
| 28 |  | 1.25 | 1.118 |
| 29 |  | 1.207 | 1.098 |
| 30 |  | 1.167 | 1.08 |
| 31 |  | 1.129 | 1.053 |
| 32 |  | 1.094 | 1.046 |
| 33 |  | 1.061 | 1.03 |
| 34 35 |  | 1.029 | 1.014 |
| 35 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |

To use the chart, select the model class and celling height to eet the fudge factor, then multiply the fudge factor times the time. In case of celling heights not in even feet, usa straight ine interpolation. For example: 27 second filght in Class II HLG ( $25^{\prime}$ site) would score $1.4 \times 27=37.8$ seconds. If entered in Class I, the same flight would score 27 seconds (fudge $=1.0$ ).

## Flu, Anyone?

Three of the INAV staff have been 111, or are still 111. This mostly accounts for the lateness of this issue, and the feeling of frustration enjoyed (?) by the editor!
(cont. p. 4)



2No place 1971 Finals
SANTA ANA CALIF.
RECORD RLT. 35:42 SANTA ANA.

## Top Ten Easy B

This listing is from the results of the 171 NIMAS Postal meet, and will be scratched upon completion of the ' 72 Postal. The new Top Ten will be the top 10 places in the " 72 yostal. However, an individual may "bump" into the listing with a flight made since the last Postal, and the list will be updated. (Note: Slight changes in these times are due to re-calculation on a calculator; slide rule errors apparently accumulated in previous ilstings.)

| 1. | Bob platt | $\begin{array}{r} \text { T1me/ce111ng } \\ 9: 48.6 / 20 \end{array}$ | Fudge | Score 12.58 .8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Hal Crane | 9:48.6/20 ${ }^{\text {9:11.8/20 }}$ | 1.323 1.323 | $12: 58.8$ $12: 10.2$ |
| 3. | Dick Hardeastle | 11:23.6/31' | 1.063 | 12:06.7 |
| 4. | Clarence Mather | 8:41.0/22.3' | 1.254 | 10:53.3 |
| 5. | Fudo Takag1 | 8:12.0/22.31 | 1.254 | 10:16.9 |
| 6. | Fred Harlow | 6:42.0/20' | 1.323 | 8:51.8 |
| 7. | Chet Bukowaki | 7:08.0/25' | 1.183 | 8:26.3 |
| 8. | Bud Tenry | 5:46.0/22' | 1.261 | 7:16.3 |
| 9. | Richard Sherman | 5:29.0/25' | 1.183 | 6:29.2 |
| 10. | Dan Chancey | 8:15.0/58' | . 776 | 6:27.8 |
| CONTEST CALENDAR |  |  |  |  |

## COLORADO - Denver

Indoor meets on Feb. 20, Mar. 19, 1972; Stick (all classes combined), Easy B, HLG and scale. Contact Ted Gonzoph, 12996 E. 2nd. Ave., Aurora, Colo. 80010 for info on site and time.

ILLINOIS - Chicago
Indoor meet Jan. 30, Feb. 20, 1972 at Forest View High School Girl's Gym, Arlington Hts., Ill.; HLA \& PennyPlane, Pete Sotich, 3851 W. 62nd Place, Chicago 60629.

MARYLAND - Frederick
The Frederick Model Airplane Club flies weekly in a high school gym. Contact Bill Weaver, P. C. Box 1387 , Frederick, ima. for info.
MARYLAND - Silver Spring
Indoor sessions at JFK High School, 1901 Randolph Rd. Silver Spring, vi. Contact John Thornilli, Route i, Mt. Airy, Md. 21771 for dates and times of session.

MASSACHUSETTS - Amherst
Indoor flying sessions at Student Union of University of Massachusetts in Amherst, Jan. 23, Feb. 20, Mar. 19, Apr. 16 , 1972, 10 am to 5 pm . Charles Learoyd, 100 Mill Valley Rd., Hadley, Mass.

MASSACHUSETTS - M.I.T.
Indoor sessions at MIT Armory, Vassar St. at Mass. Ave., Cambridge, Mass., Feb. 26, Mar. 11,3 pm to 6 pm . Contest Apr. 8, 1972, $i \mathrm{pm}$ to 8 'pm. Ray Harlan, 15 Happy Hollow Rd., Wayland, Mass. ph. 358-4013.
MISSOURI - Kansas City
Twice-monthly sessions are held in Kansas city in a 20' sym with smooth ceiling. Interested fliers may call Bill Langley at 741-0113 for details.

MISSOURI - St. Louis
Indoor contests tentatively planned Feb. 6, Apr. 9 at Ft. Zumwalt High School, O'Falion, Mo., 9 am to 5 pm . by Kirkwood Thermaleera. Mar, 5 at E. St. Louls Armory ( 34 celling), $293 i$ stat st. in Si, Louis, II2. 10 am to 5 pm , by MeDonnell-Douglas FF Cluv. HLG, Delta Dart, PennyPlane, Easy B, Indoor Stick, Scale. Jim Bennett, 324 Helfenstein, St. Lou1s, Mo. 63119, ph. 314-962-5271.

NEW YORK - Long Island
Cat. I Record Trials (tentative) in March, 1972; Annual LIAMAC Indoor Meet at Cantiague Park, Hichsvilie, L.I., N.Y., April 30, 1972. J. G. Pallet, 30 Emerson Rd. Brookville, Glen Head, N. Y. 11545.

## TEXAS - Dallas/Ft. Worth

The State Fair of Texas, a private non-profit corporation, will hold a multi-activity Spring Jubilee April 8 through April 16, 1972. Part of the activity will be an indoor contest with Indoor Stick, HLG, Scsle, PennyPlane and Easy B. Bud Tenny, Box 545, Richardson, Tex. 75080 , ph. 214-235-4035. Site details March issue.

## FAI INDCOR REPORT

## Indoor Survey Flop?

At the time of this writing, the survey mentioned in Jan.' 72 INAV has been complete 34 days, and not one word of the outcome has leaked out of HQ. It seems likely that the outcome was not definitive, since there was considerable effort to steer the survey outcome by means of contrived and elaborate wording of the questions. In the last issue we dwelt upon disadvantages of multipie site last issue we dwelt upon disadvantages of multiple site
opinions bolled down to an overwhelming $74 \%$ in favor of a aingle site, regardiess of where $1 t$ had to be held.

Just a few days ago, the AMA Executive Council fin1ahed their midwinter meeting. Discussion of a memo requesting full investigation of the Team Selection situation was neatiy sidetracked by an assertation that a new docunent completely defining the situation was being prepared. The content was not revealed, so let's hope that it is more realistic than the last memo (p. 7, Mid-Dec. '71 Competition Newsletter). This memo dealt in generalities for the most part; other places specific suggestions were ither highly impractical or logistically $1 \mathrm{~m}-$ possible. The overall implication was that two year ptograms would be necessary, yet we are seven weeks past the time when a two-year Indoor program should have begun. If a Program Administrator were to be appointed today, it would be wildly unrealistic to expect that a program could be designed, approved and announced before late May.

## STATE OF THE ART

The "dynamic duo" for the month represents first and second place at the West Coast Finals, flown at Santa Ana. Bud Romak's design remained mostly unchanged during the program, but Bilgri started from scratch between the Semp and the Finals. He credits Bud Romak with inspiration for the design, and for considerable encouragement to finish up in time: A certain "family resemblance" is there, and the performances were quite close under similar conditions in the hangar. The CMOS chart shows o\% margin as usual; if the drawings were exact scale, Bilgri used an actual stability margin of $+1.4 \%$ and Romak used $-7 \%$.


Bob platt and Paul Allen have noted that better prop/ model match is mandatory with one gram aodels. Each have suggested similar approaches to the problem - variable pitch hubs. Platt makes a balsa hub which mounts the hook, and adds a tissue socket rolled with white glue. prop blades are then plugged into the socket and held by a little regular glue. To change either the pitch or a blade, he uses regular solvent which releases the blade Without softening the white glue on the socket. Paul reports that "tack" cementing with regular glue and releasing with acetone works oK - the acetone evaporates before it has time to soften the hub.

Ed. Note: Neither Bob nor Paul mentioned two other advantages of socket-mounted blades. First, construction of the blades is much aafer - has anyone dropped a block during the construction of the second blade? I have! Also, by using two sockets as Paul does, hub segments can be of different length to afford small changes in diameter as well as changes in pitch. It goes without saying that you should have a pitch checking j1g to set up the blade angles:


Prul Allen


Bob Platt
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****NATIONAL" INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****
New Mombera!
RICHARD F. DOUGLAS, 5303 Calderwood Ln., San Jose, Cal. 95118 BILLIE E. LANDRUM, 1166 M Maple St., Ft. Dix., N.J. 08640 DONALD G. MURRAY, 2205 West 6th Ave. \#1, Vancouvor, B. C
LILBURN S. WATERS, Route 7, Box 263, Frederick, Md. 21701
Family Memberships
CURTIS L. LANDRUM, 1166A Maple St., Ft. Dix., N.J. 08640
Honorary Members
ANIANC MIRO,. 4785 Castello, Veneria, Italy

## NIMAS Postal Meet

One question has arisen with regard to mules for the Postal meet - the PennyPlane no-touch rule. Because it is likely that a no-touch rule would not be uniformiy interpreted, the Postal will dispense with the no-touch rule. In case of contests using this rule, a second watch can be atarted and allowed to run to completion of the flight to get the time for Postsi entry. Flights eligible through april 17-get the entries inl

## Recent Publications

Again we owe a vote of thanks to walt schroder for h1s April '72 Model alrplane News. This issue has a reprint of the article from Esquire - Ken Johnson's "Flip, Flop, the Ornithop." It is an entertaining articie, and its appearance last year in Esquire brought out many enquiries, both to INAV and to indoor suppliers.

## 172 Nats

Although final approval (by the Navy) has not been recelved, AMA planning for the '72 Nats continues on the assumption that approval will be granted momentarily.

Tentative Indoor site is the Brig. Gen. Richard L. Jones Armory; 5200 S . Cottage Grove Ave., Chicago, this is the same site as for 1970 and 1971. HLG will be 9 an to 3 pm , Monday, July 24 ; Indoor scale will follow, 3 pm to 9 pm. On Tuesday, July 25 , al1 Indoor Rubber events will be held from 9 am to 9 pm. Three unofficial events, PennyPlane, Peanut Scale and Navy Scale will be held from 3 pm to 9 pm , July 24, sharing air space with Indoor Scale models as in previous years.

All indoor HLG fliers should note that the time-sharing approach will be used again this year. This means that alternate periode of test flying and official flying will be enforced. The goal is to allow only those launching official flights on the floor during the official flying periods; this minimizes turbulence for the gliders in the crucial touchdom phase of the flight. Note that official flights may be made during test flying sessions at the contestant's option - but no testing during the official sessions.

## Thanks Again

Soth Jody and I had plenty of time last month to enjoy the now stereo purchased in part with our "10th NIMAS Anniversary" present - we spent most of the past month in bed! That's mostly why this issue 18 late, and lots or letters, etc. are overdue also. Illness sure slows one down:

## FAI INDOOR REPORT

## Cranfleld Charter

In order to encourage U. S. participation in the 1972 RC Pylon Internationals (neld concurrently with the 1972 Indoor WCh), iMA is organizing a charter flight for both Indoor and RC participants (U. S. Teams will travel under other arrangements), including families of participants. Two possible periods are being considered: Aug. 19 or 20 through Aug. 28 or 29 ; and Aug. 23 or 24 through Labor

Day weokend. Thoise interested should register immediately With AMA HQ to oblain the latent information as it becomes avallable. The goal is about 40 people, with about 25 registered as of March 22, 1972. A special reeistration form appeared in the Mid-March Competition News; it is recommended that this form be used (copy ox) to register.

FAI Surver Results
The M1d-February Competition Nove contained a dotalled roport of the Indcior Survey recentig takon. In summary, this is what happened: 46 of 62 possible surveys were returned. The program to eelect tha 1974 Team will be a one-year program, beginining Jan. 1973. Planning for inis
program will be done during l972.

Other "decisions" based on the survey: A sinele suitable ite within 600 miles of Kansas City will be the riret object when deciding upon a Finals site. In the will that no suitable aite is avaliable, regional finalo Will be hold. A specific example cited is the possibility of Santa Ana/Lakohurst split as in 1971, assuming it is decided that a hangar should be used.

Commente: Considerable commentary nas beer directed to the utter unsuitability of having oniy two eites, due to the inequality of choosing the third team member, More commente reaching nere indicete considerable diesatisfaction with the arbitrary and inflexible nature of the survey itself, which ossontialif steered tre outcome. It zay be a good ides for farticipants in the next frogran to where inadequate cholces vers gith some of these questions where inadequate cholces were given.

## Executive Council "Bombs Out"

In response to cur editorial in sept. ' 71 INAV, many FAI Indoor fliers contacted their Dist. jp's, requesting reform of the FAI aituation and investigation of the situations leading up to the 1971 flasco. In addition, we made direct appeal to the Executive Council with similar requesta. The astier was an agenda itemat the february Council reeting, but no discussion of Erievarces, frobleme or future direction was made. Instead, arnouncerent was whole problom. Apcarently ready, wich would wrap up the whole problen. Apparently the document will be pubilsiod Without benefit of review by the counc 11, ard the eritire mess of 1971 vill have been swept under the rug. We rust anxiously avalt publication of this document:

One carr only wonder why the Council abdicated 1 te responsibility as outilined under Art. XI of the By-jaws. Since there le no proceedure cieariy spelied out for those Who have grievances against HG level adrinistration, the Executive Councll must be our court of last resort. where now can we go?

## CONTEST CAIESDAR

CALIFCRNIA - Santa dna
Record Trials at Santa Ana hangar, Anr. 16, Nay 14; also Yennyflane convest Kay 14, 1972. Contact Eob jibbs, 5005 Halifax Circie , Cypress, cal. 90630 for detalls.

## FLORIDA - Miami

Indoor contest at the Youin Fair Exhibit iall, 107 th Ave. \& Coral Way, Miami, Apr. 16 , 1972 . Feanut Scale, PennyPlane, Easy E, HLG. Tom Cooney, 4245 Eraganza, M1ami, Fla. 33133.
ILLINOIS - Ch1cago
Indoor conteste at Brig. Gen. Jones Armory, 5200 South Cottage Grove Ave., Chicago, Mar. 26, Apr. 22-23. 1972. PennyPlane, Paper Stick, Indoor Stick. Apr, 23 Apr. 22 Plastic Prop Jr. Event, Scale. CD- Peter. 23 - HLG, Plastic Prop Jr.Event, Scale. CD - Pete Sotich, 3851 W . 62nd Place, Chicago, I11. 6-629, ph. 312-RE 5-1353.

## MARYLAND - Frederick

The Frederick Model Airplane Club fliea weekly in a high school gym. Contact Bill Weaver, P. C. Sox 1387 Frederick, md. for info.
MARYLAND - Silver Spring
Indoor sessions ot JFK H1gh Scnool, 1901 Randolph Rd. Silver Spring, Apr. 7, Apr. 14, Apr. 21 , May 12, Nay 26 . John Thornhili, Route, i, Mt. Airy, Md. ${ }^{21}$, May 12, May 26.



## MAssachusetrs - Amherst

Indoor session at student Union of the University of Masaachusetts in Amherst on Apr. 16, 1972. Charles Learoyd 100 M 111 Valley Rd., Hadley, Mass.

MASSACHUSETTS - M.I.T.
Indoor contest at M.I.T. Armory, Vassar st, at Mase. Ave., Cambridge, Mass., Apr. 8, 1972, 1 pm to 8 pm . HLO, Indoor Stick, Delta Dart, Indoor Scaio. Ray Harlan, 15 Happy Hollow Rd., Wayland, Mass. ph. 358-40i3.

MISSOURI - Kansaa City
Twice-monthly sessions are held in Kansas city in a 20' gym with smooth celling. Interested fliers may call B111 Langley at 741-0113 for detalla.

MISSOURI - St. Louis
Indoor contest Apr. 9 at Ft . Zumwalt High School in O'Fallon, Mo., 9 am to 5 pm , sponsored by Kirkwood Thermaleers. HLG, Delta Dart, FennyPlane, Easy B, Indoor Stick, Scale. Jim Bennett, 324 Helfenstein, St. Louls, No., 53119, ph. 314-962-5271.

NEW YORK - Long Island
Annual LIAMAC Indoor Meet, Apr. 30,1972 at Cantiague Park, Hickeville, L. I., NY. Cat. II Bite; HLG, Easy B, Peanut Scale, Indoor Stick, Indoor Scale. CD Bill Dunwoody, 985 Ft. Salonga Rd., Northport, L.I., NY.

OHIO - Cincinnati
Indoor contest sponsored by Southwestern Ch1o Freeflighters at Univ. of Cincinnati fleldhouse, April 16 , 1972. Paper Stick, PennyPlane, HLG, Peanut Scale. Fór info: Donald Wright, 559 Evanswood, Cincinnati, 0. 45220.

TEXAS - Dallas/Ft. Worth
The State Fair of Texas, a private non-proflt corporation, will hold a multi-activity Spring Jubilee April 8 through April 16, 1972. Part of the activity will be an indoor contest uith Pennyplane and HLG on Saturday, Apr. 8 , and Eaay $B$ and Indoor Stick on Apr. 9. Hours 10 am to 5 pm; site 1 s Automobile Building in Fair Park, with $24^{\circ}$ celiíng. Bud Tenny, Box 545, Richardson, Tex. 75080, ph. 214-235-4035.

WASHINGTON - Kent
The Third Annual Boeing Management association Model Aeronautics Scholarsinip Contest will be held June 24-25, 1972 at the Boeing space Center, Kent, Washington. Indoor HLG and Easy $B$ events will be held along with outdoor FF and Control Line events. Contact George Brownfield, 8330 12th NW, Seattle, Wash. 98107, ph. 206-655-3606.

## STATE OF THE ART

Manny Radoff's "Winnin' Wedge" is a very interesting airplane which hasn't really been flown to top potential yet. Ever so, it holds the current one gram record for Cardington, while flying at a welght of .040 oz. With a motor weighing. 040 oz. Due to the $50 \%$ stab area.set up in a relatively high aspect ratio, and an extra long tail boom, the 66 CG location left the model with a +18 atability margin. The relatively small prop and $1: 1$ rubber ratio used in Cardington left no reserve power for poor conditions at Laiehuret, 80 Manny plans to increase rubber welght and go up to $20^{\prime \prime} \times 33^{\prime \prime}$ for prop size, while sotting up for a lower atability margin. It is my prediction that these changes will maise tinis design fly better; more $1 \mathrm{~m}-$ portant, there will be more "margin for error" under poor flying conditions. In its present form the model is an excellent ship for ideal to excellent conditions.

Some comments about the drawing: the wing offset as shown does not match the dimensions; the centerilne drawn on the wing plan shows the proper location of the cabane and fuselage. The original square tip is shown, but this was ruled out as being over span ilmits at Lakehurst. So, a dotted inne shows a 32.5 cm radius creating a tip which has to meet specs: This ruling is somewhat controversial and snould be ruled on - at Santa Ana a similar planform was allowed to fly.
vanny has these comments: All ribs are inserted backwards; one day the thought occured to me that the sharper curve in the rear would act like the flap on a commercial airliner or the turned dow trailing edge used on some outdoor models. Compression ribs are used only at the dihedral break and are the solld tapered variety instead of built up. The model flies well with the wing centered, but turns better under power burst with the offset shom.

It was flown with about $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ washin. The wedge idea was intended to control torque, but I played it safe as I don't have much building time. Note that the front spars angle forward to the wide tips and the rear spars are perpendicular to the stick and boom.

Rubber 189 wan $045^{\prime \prime}$ z 19" loop; the seall 180 wac posaible because sel cannisgo supplied a plece of great quality rubber. Also, the amall prop alze with relatively low pitoh reduced rubber requirements.

The center section of the wing has $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ of atressed dihedral to permit an expansion of the spars in an arc. Lest year's trip to Cardingtion with a etraight conter section resulted in a warped rear spar. Finaily, the boom had a elight warp whion gave the atab about $2^{\circ}$ negative incidence.

Note that the prop spar location is skeved (dotted ilnes) on the pian; wake three prope with \#2 having the spar offset $1 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ at the tip and $33^{\prime}$ having $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ offset at the tip.

Notes The cMOS diagram is turned $90^{\circ}$ (bpace limita). $y=$ Distance Nose to Rear Post


On page 3 there appear prop drawings from Joe bllgri and Dick Kowalski. The Bilgri information is supplemental to the model plans contained in the Feb. 72 INAV. Dicks prop dravings were furnished in answer to a query about design changes made for the Finals last Fall. Eseentially opeaking, the basic design remains unchanged from that shown in the June ' 71 1ssue, except as noted in the comments below.

Dick made these remarks about his model changes: All changes were detall changes rather than conflguration changes except for increased ving offset and prop changes to adapt the ship to hangar flying. Wing offset was increased to $2^{n}$ semi span difference; the $758^{n}$ center panel dimension became $81 / 4^{\text {n }}$ and the $67 / 8^{n}$ became $61 / 4^{n}$ with no change in the tips. This was done to conirol higher torque lovels needed in the hangar, while minimizing wing twist. With the original $3 / 4^{\text {n }}$ wing offeet, nearIf $1 / 2^{\text {" }}$ of spanwise twist was needed. The $2^{n}$ offsot wing handies full torque with less than half as much twist. The excessive twist destroyed optimum span-wise elliptical loading, and the new setup has restored proper loading.

The original $17 \mathbf{k}^{\prime \prime} \times 35^{\prime \prime}$ props were kelical fiten progressive llare typen with maximum blade width of $2.3^{\prime \prime}$. These vere changed to $188^{\prime \prime} \times 33.8^{\prime \prime}$ average pltch; nonhelical pitch distribution with blades synnetrical about the spar and just under $3^{\prime \prime}$ wide. Prop pitch increases toward the hub, with changes set by mathematical analysis similar to velocity focusing. Both the fitch and blade area changes were based on fiight measurements made over 4 th of July at Lacehurst.

The wing changes give better control over the climb pattern under full torque, with no thrashing around as was experienced over 4 th of July. The nose goes up steeply now and the cilmb is slow, smooth and steep.

The prop changes lmproved performance from launch RPM about 100 and crulse about 60 RPM , to about 72 RPM launch and below 50 RPM in crulse. This was with no change in rubber cross-section even though the loop was shortened $1^{\prime \prime}$ at the Finals.

## THK LAB

Any Ideas?
In the process of operating the automatic mubber test apparatus (Sept. ' 71 INAV), some S -hooks were made from copper wire. A rash of motor fallures - all at the hook followed. Similar results followed with motors hooked to brass brazing rod. Has anyone an explanation why motors break under relatively low stress on copper or brass and not on S-hooks make from plated ateel wire (paper clips)?

# NEWS and VIEWS 

## Editor: Bud Tenny • Box $545 \cdot$ Richardson, Texas 75080

****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****

## New Members:

SAL CANNIZZO, 20 Cuterbridge Ave., Staten Is. NY 10309 TOM COONEY, 4245 Braganza, M1am1, Fla. 33133
JACK E. COWART, 119 Florida Title Bldg., Jacksonville, Fla. 32202 RUSSELL D. FULLER, RR\#2, Box 85, Lake Canyada, Davenport, Iowa 52804 MICHAEL FULMER, 1600 W. Walnut, Apt. 8, Visalia, CA 93277 R. K. GERLITZ, 2225 Forest Dr., Waynesboro, Va. 22980 FREDERICK R. HAMLEN, Whiting Rd., Dover Mass.

## 172 Nats

It is almost certain that the 1972 Nats will not be held. Shortly after the March issue, word was received that the hoped-for approval by the U. S. Navy would not be granted, and the Navy bowed out of their 25 year sponsorship of the Nats. Since that time AMA HQ and AMA Pres1dent John Clemens have explored several possible alternatives to no avail. Planning had been going on to pick up on this situation, but the cancellation came too late to implement these plans this year. It is certain that there will be a ' 73 Nats , probably sponsored totally by AMA at one of two or three places already in planning.

At this time we must relax and remember with humble sratitude 25 years of Navy sponsorship of the Nats. In that time (and I remember with pleasure the first two "Navy" Nats, and most of them since), the Nats has grown to the wonderful competitive spectacie and fellowship we have come to take for granted. As a member of the AMA Nats staff nine years, I have been in an excellent position to understand the tremendous upheaval and expense a Nats meet causes on a Navy base. It is no exaggeration that the Navy did for us what we cound never have done for ourselves over the past 25 years. At this time, it would be a very nice thing if thank-you letters be sent to the Navy, expressing our gratitude for their help in past years. Address any such letters to: W. Thompson, Rear Admiral, U. S. Navy, Chief of Information, Dept. of the Navy, Washington, D.C. 20350.

## NIMAS Postal

This is to remind you that postal filghts made through April 17, 1972 are eligible for entry in the ' 72 Postal. Entries have passed the 40 mark, which probably will make this our largest postal ever. Please mail in your entry promptly:

## Rubber Stripper Offered

Tom Vallee has worked with a machinist to design and produce an excellent Roto-Shear type rubber slitter. Such a machine slices rubber with a uniform shearing action, producing excellent cuts of good uniformity. The price of these strippers will depend upon the number of orders received for each production run. If three strippers are ordered (three separate orders, you don't have to buy all three:), the price will be $\$ 50$ each. For six orders, the price drops to $\$ 45$; at nine orders the price drops to $\$ 40$.

If I understand Tom's memo correctly, the standard cutter assembly w111 cut .052,.058, .062 and .068 pirell1 with one pass through 6 mm rubber. Other "standard" cutters make $.065, .075, .030$ and .070 or $.050, .055, .040$, .045 and .050 cuts. These two cutters are $\$ 15$ each when ordered with the basic stripper or $\$ 16$ ordered separately. Six other cutter head designs for "normal" 6 mm pirelli and six for "wide" 6 mm plrelli (over .255" wide) are listed. Tom says "Please note that these are extremely useful to the serious modeler for making accurate, repeatable cuts for critical applications, but that such a gadget is not necessary for successful contest flying."

Tom's address is 444 Henryton So., Laurel, Md. 20810. Drop him a line if you are interested; no production run can be made unless a minimum of three orders are received.

## Dick Black Memorials

A long time ago INAV members began a memorial to Dick Black, in the form of tape-slide lectures on indoor topics
of all kinds. Two of these lectures were completed and have been enjoyed by many clubs, but we need many more to complete the job we started. The reason there aren't any more topics available is simply a lack of time. Each individual slide has to be photographed in color, which calls for either a special setup to stage the shot, or an eager photographer standing by winlle someone else is building a model or doing something else we need to jemonstrate. Several individuals and clubs have offered to cover a particular topic such as indoor HLG, Easy E props, and other topics, but none have yet arrived in my aailtox.

So, let's try again! We need color slides on any part or aspect of any of the following sugeested topics for Dick Black Memorials:

1. Covering with microfilm. 2. Rolling sticks and booms - Making built-up surfaces. Covering with condenser paper. 12 . Rolling and mounting societs. Making all-balsa props. Making built-up props. 8. Bending wire fittings. 9. Indoor bracing.

So, as you guys build new models, patch or repair, or make your filghts in the NINis fostal, take some slides and we can begin to assemble more of these very effective training aids.

## FAI INDCOR REFCRT <br> Cranfleld Charter

Insufficient interest was shown by potential users of AMA's charter flight to Cranfield Aerodrome in support of the ' 72 Indoor WCh and an international RC Pylon meet. In making the announcement canceliling their involvement, ANA HQ noted that the current "best buy" in charters to London is offered by International Travel Companions, Inc. P. O. Box 107, Cochranville, Pa. 1933C, ph. 215-369-8500. Their offer is for a minimum of 15 persons, $\$ 304$ round trip airfare, Philadelphia to London and return. They can also arrange low cost lodging and travel assistance if also arrange $\begin{aligned} & \text { this is desired. }\end{aligned}$

AMA will make arrangements for food and lodging at Cranfield for Aug. 25-28, at $\$ 75$ per person. Final details of this will be made available soon.

## RECORDS? MAYBE:

CAT. I RECORD TRIALS, Locust Valley, NY, Kar. 24, 1972 $33^{\prime}$ celling
Junior HLG - 0:49.2, Jan AgEers
Senior RCG Stick-3:00.4, Ron Strarsky
*Junior Helicopter - 0:59.0, Richard Whitten
*Junior Helicopter - 2:22.6, Dan Aggers
*Both filghts were made the same evening, and applications were filed on both. The usual practice is to award both fliers certificates, with the higher time standing as the new record.

## CONTEST CALENDAR

CALIFORNIA - Santa Ana
Record Trials at Santa Ana hangar, Apr. 30 (changed from Apr. 14) and May 14, 1972, PennyPlane and HLG contest May 14, 1972. Bob Gibbs, 5005 Halifax, Cypress, Cal. 90630, 714-527-0251.

ILLINOIS - Chicago
Indoor contest at Brig. Gen. Jones Armory, 5200 South Cottage Grove Ave., Chicago, April 22-23, 1972. Events Apr. 22 PennyPlane, Paper Stick. Apr. 23, HLG, Plastic Prop Jr. event, Scale. Pete Sotich, 3851 W. 62nd Flace, Chicago, Ill. 60629, ph. 312-RE 5-1353.

MARYLAND - Frederick
The Frederick Model Airplane Club flies weekly in a high school gym. Contact Bill Weaver, P. O. Box 1387 , Frederick, Md. for info.

MARYLAND - Silver Spring
Indoor sessions at JFK High school, 1901 Randolph Rd., Silver Spring, Apr. 21, May 12, May 26. John Thornhill, Route 1, Mt. A1ry, Md. 21771.

MISSOURI - Kansas City
Twice-monthly sessions are held in Kansas City in a 20' gym with smooth celling. Interested fliers may call B111 Langley at 741-0113 for details.

NEW JERSEY - Lakehurst
Flying sessions at Lakehurst Hangar \#5, April 23, May 7. May 21, June 4, June 18, Aug. 6, 1972. Possible dates in Hangar \#1 in June and July, probably night sessions. C. V. Russo. 143 Willow Way, Clark, N. J. 07066.

NEW YORK - Long Island
Annual LIAMAC Indoor Meet, Apr. 30,1972 at Cantiague Park, Hicksville, L.I., NY. Cat. II site, HLA, Easy B, Peanut Scale, Indoor Stick, Indoor Scale. CD Bill Dunwoody, 985 Ft . Salonga Rd., Northport, L.I., NY.

WASHINGTON - Kent
The Thira Annual Boeing Management Association Model Aeronautics Scholarship Contest will be held June 24-25, 1972 at the Boeing Space Center, Kent, Washington. Indoor HLG and Easy B events will be held along with outdoor FF and Control Line events. Contact George Brownfield. 8330 12th NW, Seattle, Wash. 98107, ph. 206-655-8606.

## CONTEST RESULTS

THERMALEERS FLY IN, Feb. 6, 1972, Ft. Zumwalt High school, Ofallon, Mo. Cat. I.
ROG Stick (JSO)

1. Jeff Hardcast
2. Rosey Tryon

Junior Easy B

1. Jeff Hardcestl
2. Dous DePaul
3. Kevin Porter

Junior HLG

1. Brent Frost
2. Jeff Hardcastl
3. Rosy Tryon

Indoor Stick (JSO)

1. Dick Hardcastle
2. Marion DePaul
3. Pat Wood

Category High Time
Tony Schott - Rubber
Dick Hardcastle - HLG
Tom Stark - AMA Scale

## FOLLOW-UP

The March '72 issue related how Radoff's Winnin' Wedge had wingepan problems at the Team Selection Finals That is, it was claimed that the wing tips should have a radius of either 65 cm or 32.5 cm . It 1 s my personal opinion that this interpretation is in error, and the following remarks by Ray Harlan state the case for the legality of absolutely square tips:
"Wingspan is the lateral extent of the aircraft, lateral being normal to the fuselage reference inne. Hence, any diagonal measurements are not within the meaning of wingspan. The shape of the tips is irrelevant. Note, of course, "extent" refers to the projected planform, as the wingspan is a single, rectilinear measurement."

And Again
The March ' 72 isaue related sad experiences with copper and brass hooks used with pirelli in torque tests. Fudo Takagi relates this experience which sheds some ilght on the problem:
"After a flying session I stuck some wing rubber bands in my sweater pocket which happened to have some pennies in it. Several days later I used the sweater and found the pennles and rubber bands stuck together. The rubber bands were sticky and the pennies had turned black where the rubber had touched them. Also, the bands were weak and had lost their elasticity. Apparently the sulphur in the rubber had combined with the copper, turning it black to copper sulphate. This unvulcanized the rubber a bit to weaken it." since then, I have never used anything having copper in it in contact with rubber motors."

It would seem the same precautions would apply on cold days - don't warm your motors in the same pocket where you carry your change!

## HINTS AND KINKS

Wire O-Rings
The Mar. 67 INAV hinted that a rubber 0-ring slipped onto the motor before tying the knot would simplify hooking and unhooking the motor, besides permitting hook-up without losing turns. Fred Weitzel suggests that small Wire can be formed into similar fixtures. The sketch below shows how: form an oval with small hooks, then hook the hooks and squeeze them shut. Relative weights: rubber
 Wire) - . 00035 oz. Very small plastic sleeving $1 / 4{ }^{\circ}$ long slipped on the ring adds . 0002 oz ; I doubt it helps much, but it makes me feel better!


The above was reprinted from an early INAV. Since that time, several seasons of flying have confirmed the practicality of using such "O" rings. A good source of wire for these hooks is \#00 stainless steel insect mounting pins (cat. \#14 W 0145), from Ward's Natural Science Establishment, Inc., P. O. Box 1749, Monterey, Cal. 93942. These pins are also ideal for helping with emergency field repairs, since they are . $008^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter with a very fine tapered point which will pin through even rib wood without splitting.

## Hot Wire Microfilm Trimmer

In the Sept. '66 INAV, there appeared a sketch of a hand-held hot wire microfilm trimmer designed by Bill Bigge. It used a "C" size flashlight battery for power and wire between $.001^{\prime \prime}$ and. $006^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter as heater. This type of device is very portable, but silghtly fragile at the cutting tip due to the fine wire. Bob Dunham has suggested that a glow plug filament would do well, and made a device like that shown below. The glow plug was mounted on a sharpened dowel with the filament pulied out to a point as shown. He found that a weak $1 \frac{1}{2}$ volt battery (telephone battery or equivalent) gave the proper heat for efficient cutting, while a new battery got the filament so hot that the fire made a wide cut. Perhaps a single cell N1-Cad battery would be 1deal?



## STATE OF THE ART

This month's plan is of Larry Renger's "Boxy 3". The Original "Boxy" appeared several years ago, arter winning a local meet within hours of 1 ts conception. Boxy 3 was built after Larry moved to the Denver area, and won two meets there.

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

44. I would like to see some "rule of thumb" for variations in rubber weight and/or length as a function of air temperature, humidity, prop pitch and ceiling height.

Hal Crane offers the following comments:
High humidity hurts performance by increasing model weight; it also usually softens the motor stick so that less mubber can be carried safely.

Rubber puts out more power or will take more turns without breaking in warmer weather.

Rubber may be hard or soft (high torque/turn or low torque/turn), and may vary in quality. (Ed. note: The apparent maximum variations in pirelli are: energy storage - $\pm 15 \%$; hardness - $\pm 25 \%$.) These are unpredictable variables which call for advance testing before a flying session. I guess that a medium rubber (rated for both number of turns and torque output) is best for indoor. Rubber selection is most important and easy to goof on, especially without advance preparation.

The easy way to long cat. I flights is to have the loop short - equal to the hook span before break-in. (If the loop is shorter than the hook span, it will overstress the stick, Use a prop and wing loading combination such that a $15^{\prime \prime}$ loop of rubber which will take over 1600 turns will land with about 300 turns in a $20^{\circ}$ celling. With a strong wing, launch torque can be 0.3 inch oz. Wind to 1600 turns, back off to 1500 turns. W1th a 60 rpm average, a celling scrubbing model would then have 20 minutes worth of turns. The 60 rpm average should be easily achieved with a large diameter, moderate pitch prop such as an $18^{11} \times 30^{\prime \prime}$.

That same model should make a no-touch flight of about 10 minutes by launching with 500 turns instead of 100 turns backed off. (Ed. note: For a precision method of altitude control, see "Slithery Dee" in the July '71 Model Airplane News, or "Choice of Rubber Motor For Low Ceiling Indoor", First NFFS Symposium Report.)

Again, that smae model should fly about 30 minutes in cat. III using a longer (181) loop of rubber wound to 0.5 inch oz, or more torque (launched at 0.4 to 0.5 inch oz.) and at least 1800 turns. Use a slightly lower pitch prop if needed to get 'way up. It would be desirable to have a stronger and perhaps longer motor stick for cat. III. The wing can be lighter if no scrubbing or girder bumping is intended.

The flier should realize that launch torque may be as low as half the last meter reading if he winds on a fixed torque meter and has to release turns on both ends to attach the motor to the model. By using wire "o" rings at each end of the motor, a wound motor can be transferred to the model without loss of turns. If one "c" ring is used at the rear hook, the motor can be wound on the torque meter, turns let out to hook to the prop, then a torque reading taken just before hooking the rear "o" ring to the rear hook.

Wing loading of the model is the total weight divided by the wing area. Try to keep the model light without being too weak. Once the model is built you can vary the wing loading quite a bit by changing rubber. With a very good model the ultimate flight will come with rubber rubber weighing 1.5 times the airframe weight, which gets harder to adjust. It is more dangerous to the motor stick and to the wing during scmubbing, and much harder on the nerves: If the rubber weight equals the model welght, this provides good, and sometimes record results.

To summarize prop/mbber changes:

1. With inadequate climb, or if the model lands with too many turns, try reduced prop pitch or a shorter loop to get lower total model weight. If the rubber weight equals the model weight, change to shorter loop of wider rubber, since much lighter rubber will probably hurt performance more than the reduced weight will improve performance.
2. If the model seems overpowered, increase prop pitch, lengtinen the loop, or use same length loop of smaller rubber (remember to keep rubber weight at least equal to model weight).

## PROP FORUM

It is not uncommon for adjustable pitch props to be used on indoor models; it is even more common to tweak the blades to lower or different angles during changing flying conditions. What is not often appreciated is how drastically the pitch changes for just a small change of blade angle. Two graphs below illustrate those changes which resulta from change of blade angle via tweaking and also what happens if the spar is shortened or lengthened while holding the blade elements at the same angle.

The top graph illustrates the change in pitch distribution resulting from twisting the blade to a lower angle by 20 (dotted line), and the change resulting from shortening the spar on each blade by $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ to give $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ less diameter (dashed line). The solid line represents the stock prop with standard or helical pitch distribution which results from building the prop on a standard carved block.

In similar fashion, the lower graph shows the changes due to twisting in $2^{\circ}$ more blade angle and the changes due to lengthening each blade $1 / 4$ " while keeping the blades at the same angle. Note that the dotted line and dashed inne represent the opposite condition from the graph above sorry about that!

It is easy to see that drastic changes in pitch will result from very small changes. This study is not intended to discourage tweaking, but to call attention to the need for small changes or to alert fliers to just wrat is happening when they tweak pitch. It is a valid and valuable technique and probably needs systematic study. It is possible to make these studies graphically, and it only takes about ten minutes per condition. If anyone is interested in making these studies, write for more info.



Ted Gonzoph offers the following information for those who use arc airfoils. The chart displays airfoll thickness and a corresponding multiplication factor to be used as follows: Wing chord $x$ Factor $=$ airfoil radius. As an example, $6^{\prime \prime}$ chord of $5.5 \%$ thickness would require 2.299 x
$6=13.8^{\prime \prime}$ radius.

| \% Thick. | Factor | \% Thick. | Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.0 | 3.145 |  |  |
| 4.5 | 2.800 | 7.0 | 1.821 |
| 5.0 | 2.525 | 8.5 | 1.704 |
| 5.5 | 2.299 | 9.0 | 1.6 c 2 |
| 6.0 | 2.113 | 10.0 | 1.434 |
| 6.5 | 1.955 |  |  |

Ted included the $9 \%$ and $10 \%$ figures "for the phone booth crowd" - those who fly in either very small or very low sites. He has noted (in common with others including Stan Chilton) that the thicker sections give a fantastic cmilse in low ceilinge, but often give difficulty in high ceilings. For another side to thick vs. thin airfoll for high ceiling flying, see DESIGN FOOTNOTES in May 71 INAV.
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## Ernie Kopecky Ill

Manny Radoff has asked that friends of Ernie Kopecky drop him a line at home，as he has been sick．Ernie lives at 38 Fawn Lane，Watchung，NJ 07060，and enjoys hearing from his friends during his enforced idieness．

## 72 NATS

The 1972 Nats is back on，on the dates and schedules announced in the March＇72 INAV．This information，and other pertinent info，will be repeated in the June issue． Briefly，the Indoor Nate will be on Monday，July 24 and Tuesday，July 25， 1972 at the Brig．Gen．Richard L．Jones Armory in Chicaso；this was the 170 and＇ 71 Nats site．

## Indoor Weighing Scale

Stan Chilton passes on the following：＂For indoor fly－ ers who want a professional weighing scale，I suggest an Ohaus triple beam balance Centogram scale，model CG－311． It is available through lab suppliers，school supply dis－ tributors，etc．，and costs around $\$ 30$ ．It will weigh to within .00015 oz．accurately with repeatable readings over long periods of time，and is fast to use．＂

## Last Ca11：

Tom Vallee has announced at least one production run of his rotary rubber shear．If anyone would like to order one（details in April＇ 72 INAV），contact Tom very soon at 444 Henryton So．，Laurel，Md． 20810.

## PennyPlane No－Touch Rule

In response to a question about background of the no－ touch rule for PennyPlane，Charlie Sotich made the follow－ ing remarks：＂This was originally intended to make up for the differences in types of ceilings，so a building with a smooth ceiling would not give an advantage to models be－ ing flown against those in a place with a lot of girders （in postal competitions）．It seems to have stuck for our Cat．I flying and got carried over to the Nats last year． At the April 21 Aeronuts meeting we voted to drop the no－ touch rule for our Cat．II meets in the future．＂

## NIMAS／NFFS Meeting

A large number of both NIMAS and NFFS members will be near Dallas during the FAI FF Team Selection Finals over 4 th of July weekend．Would it be possible that an infor－ mal＂bull session＂type combination meeting could be held？ How about all you NIMAS types dropping a card to Box 545 and teling us you are coming and what you think of this idea？？？

## FAI Span－Once Again

Two recent issues have noted some difficulty with the span measurement on Radoff＇s＂Winnin＇Wedge＂model，due to the square tips．Subsequent remarks indirectly implied criticism of Bill Bigge，who was CD of the Eastern Finals． One of the major reasons Bill was asked to assume the job was the knowledge that he was a careful and thorough CD， with the ingrained habit of being fully cognizant of ali applicable regulations．This is a habit all CD＇s should cultivate，along with the habit of never making a decision without consulting the current Rule Book．So，even though it came out that way，no criticism of Bill was intended and I apologize for the way $1 t$ all sounded．

Now：B111 points out that sec．1．4．5 in the FAI Sport－ ing code defines wingspan as＂the maximum distance between two points terminating the wing．＂Quite clearly，this is ambiguous enough to give rise to more than one interpre－ tation．This weekend，during a Dist．VIII FF meeting， the matter was discussed，with Murray Frank（Dist．VIII

VP）and some FAI types．Murray related having heard an interpretation of this rule to the effect that the mid－ points of square tips would be the location of the points in question．

The moral of this question／mini－tempest is to not use square tips on an indoor model which will be checked to FAI specs．Ordinarily，the matter would not arise on indoor modelq due to the poor structural efficiency of square tips．On models with specified high wing loadings such as Pennyplane，weight penalty would be inconsequen－ tial．However，current theory indicates that a square tip is a erodynamically more efficient and may be beneficial on PennyPlane．For one gram models，it is doubtful that aerodynamic gains of a square tip would outweigh poor structural efficiency of the square tip．

## CONTEST CALENDAR

## CANADA

Record Triais on June 4 and indoor contest July 1 in 90＇AgroDome，Port Coquitiam，British Columbia．Contact Alan Riches， 1568 Celeste Crescent，Port Coquitlam，B．C．， Canada for detalls．

FLORIDA－Miami
Indoor contests at 107 th Ave．\＆Coral Way，Mlami，Fla． on May 28,1972 ，beginning 10 am ．Paper Stick，Indoor Scale and HLG．For more details，and info on future plan－ ned meets，contact Dr．J．B．Martin， 3227 Darwin St．， Miami，Fla． 33133.

NEW JERSEY－Lakehurgt
Flying sessions at Lakehurst June 4，June 18，Aug．5， 1972．Three day meet Juiy $1-3,1972$ with entry fee，cash prizes．Sanctions in force for AMA，FAI Record Trials． Hangar \＃1．C．V．Russo， 143 Willow Way，Clark，NJ 07056.

## OKLAHOMA－Tulsa

Indoor session（may develop into contest）in 34＇11＂ John Mabee Gym，University of Tulsa，June 18，1972．Con－ tact Bob Dunham，Box 7151，Tulsa，Okla． 74105 for info．

## NIMAS POSTAL

Entry in the 1972 NIMAS Postal cannot be said to be small，as can be seen below．In fact，the 1972 entry can be equated to the entry of all previous postals combined： Many thanks for your support！

|  | Tlme | Celling | Fudge | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1．John Magnus | 169 sec ． | 22.31 | 1.253 | 211.7 |
| 2．Leonard Garrick | 156.4 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 156.4 |
| 3．Richard Whitten | 50.2 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 66.4 |
| Senior PennyPlane |  |  |  |  |
| 1．Dous Fronius | 244 | $22.3{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.253 | 305.7 |
| 2．Jim Haught | 258.5 | $32^{\prime}$ | 1.046 | 268.3 |
| Open PennyPlane |  |  |  |  |
| 1．Hewitt Phillips | 530 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 701.2 |
| 2．Clarence Mather | 391 | 22.3 ＇ | 1.253 | 489.8 |
| 3．Fudo Takagi | 284 | 22.31 | 1.253 | 355.8 |
| 4．Howard Heupt | 307 | $28^{\prime}$ | 1.118 | 343.2 |
| 5．Mike Fedor | 312.4 | 32＇ | 1.046 | 326.8 |
| 6．Frank Periins | 296.6 | 32＇ | 1.046 | 310.2 |
| Senior Indoor Stilck |  |  |  |  |
| 1．Jim Haught | 335.2 | $32^{\prime}$ | 1.046 | 350.6 |
| Open Indoor Stick |  |  |  |  |
| 1．Hal crane | 840 | 20＇ | 1.323 | 1111.3 |
| 2．Howard Haupt | 556 | 20＇ | 1.323 | 735.6 |
| 3．Mike Fedor | 490 | $32^{\prime}$ | 1.046 | 512.6 |
| 4．Mark Valerius | 472 | $32 \cdot$ | 1.046 | 493.7 |
| 5．Don Chancey | 314.4 | $32^{\prime}$ | 1.046 | 328.9 |
| Junior Class I HLG |  |  |  |  |
| 1．Nigel Tarvin | 45.2 | $20^{\prime \prime}$ | 1.24 | 40.5 |
| Senior Class I HLG |  |  |  |  |
| 1．Bruce Matthews | 42.0 | $20^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1.24 | 52.0 |


| Open Class I HLO |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Don Murray | 42.2 | $20^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1.24 | 52.3 |
| 2. Kevin Barrett | 51.0 | $25^{\prime}$ | 1.0 | 51.0 |
| 3. Bob Leishman | 37.6 | $19^{\prime}$ | 1.316 | 49.5 |
| 4. Leon Friedman | 46.5 | $25^{\prime}$ | 1.0 | 46.5 |
| 5. Gerry Donahue | 42.0 | $25^{\prime}$ | 1.0 | 42.0 |
| 6. Dick Sherman | 40.0 | $25^{\prime}$ | 1.0 | 40.0 |
| Junior Class If HLG |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Jimmy Clem | 48.1 | $32^{\prime}$ | 1.094 | 52.6 |
| 2. Ian Yanagisawa | 32.6 | $32^{\prime}$ | 1.094 | 35.7 |
| 3. Leonard Garrick | 31.4 | $33^{\prime}$ | 1.061 | 33.3 |
| Sentor Class II HLS |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Jim Haught | 48.3 | 32' | 1.094 | 52.8 |
| Open Class II HLG |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Mike Fedor | 74.0 | 32' | 1.094 | 80.9 |
| 2. Harry Gook | 55.7 | $27.5{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.273 | 70.9 |
| 3. Don Chancey | 63.0 | 32, | 1.094 | 68.9 |
| 5. Tom Earle | 61.4 59.4 | 321 | 1.094 | 67.2 65.0 |
| 6. Bill Langley | 45.4 | 27.5' | 1.094 | 65.0 57.8 |
| 7. J1m Clem | 45.5 | $3{ }^{1}$ | 1.094 | 49.8 |
| 8. Roger schroeder | 38.5 | 27.5' | 1.273 | 48.0 |
| Celling Dodger |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Hal Crane | 630 | 20', | 1.323 | 833.5 |
| 2. Stan Chilton | 680.8 | 31' | 1.063 | 723.7 |
| 3. B111 Langley | 421 | 27.5' | 1.128 | 474.8 |
| 4. Roger Schroeder | 323 | $27.5{ }^{\text {' }}$ | 1.128 | 364.3 |
| 5. Kevin Wehner | 294 | 27.5.', | 1.128 | 331.6 |
| 6. Walter Lounsbury | 271 | 27.5 ' | 1.128 | 305.7 |
| Junior Easy B |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Jimmy Clem | 329 | 32', | 1.046 | 344.1 |
| 2. Peter Sandburn | 265 | $26^{\prime}$ | 1.16 | 331.0 |
| 3. Mark Killgo | 160 | 32', | 1.046 | 167.4 |
| 4. Leonard Garrick | 110.2 | 20' | 1.323 | 145.9 |
| 5. R1chard Whitten | 103.4 | 20' | 1.323 | 136.8 |
| 6 . Allen Crane | 16.0 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 21.2 |
| Senior Easy B |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Kevin Wehner | 323.5 | $20.5{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.306 |  |
| 2. Jim Haught | 327.9 | $32^{\prime}$ | 1.046 | 343.0 |
| Open Easy B |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Clarence Mather | 636 | 22.3' | 1.253 | 796.9 |
| 2. Dick Hardcastie | 707 |  | 1.063 | 751.5 |
| 3. Ted Gonzoph | 626 | 26' | 1.16 | 726.6 |
| 4. Stan chilton | 540 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 714.4 |
| 6. Bill Langley | 529 | 20.51 | 1.323 | 699.8 641.7 |
| 7. Dick Starks | 451 | $20.5{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.306 | 599.0 |
| 8. Gordon Wisniewski | 480.2 |  | 1.323 | 555.9 |
| 9. Hal Crane | 411 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 543.7 |
| 10. Fhil Rennaker | 407 | 20.5' | 1.306 | 531.5 |
| 11. Fudo Takag1 | 393 | $22.3{ }^{1}$ | 1.253 | 492.4 |
| 12. Mark Valerius | 453.3 | $32^{\prime}$ | 1.046 | 474.2 |
| 13. Harry Cook | 354 | 20.5 ' | 1.306 | 462.0 |
| 14. Alan Riches | 342 | $20^{\prime \prime}$ | 1.315 | 449.7 |
| 15. M1ke Fedor | 415.2 | $32 '$ | 1.046 | 434.3 |
| 16. Walt Winberg | 325.2 | 20', ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1.315 | 427.6 |
| 17. Bob Leishman | 306 | $19^{\prime}$ | 1.353 | 414.0 |
| 18. Bud Tenny | 385.4 | $32 \cdot$ | 1.046 | 403.1 |
| 19. Don Chancey | 384.6 | 32' | 1.046 | 402.3 |
| 20. Charles Learoyd | 327.5 | 25', | 1.183 | 387.4 |
| 21. D1ck Sherman | 301 | 25', | 1.183 | 356.1 |
| 22. Howard Haupt | 266 | 20', | 1.323 | 351.9 |
| 23. J1m Clem | 338.4 | 32' | 1.046 | 342.9 |
| 24. Leon Friedman | 260 | 25', | 1.183 | 307.6 |
| 25. Gerry Donahue | 229.4 | $25^{\prime}$. | $\underline{1.183}$ | 271.4 |
| 26. Kevin Barrett | 160 | $25^{\prime}$ | 1.183 | 189.3 |

## STATE OF THE ART

Ihis month's model was chosen not so much for its performance as for the concept and its performance vs. Weight and future potential. Who else has done nearly 24 minutes with a 65 cm model weighing nearly 3 grams? John Kukon and Dous NicLean collaborated on the design of a tandem FAI model, the prototype of which is shown here.

Jonn says the following about the design: "Much of the theory of this design was worked out by a friend, Doug, Nciean. I've built 14 of these models and together we've flown them during the winter in a local gym. I've done the equivalent of 34 minutes on many occasions during simulated high ceiling flights. The simulation consists of usins $1 / 8$ of a normal loop of rubber along with a spacer weighing $7 / 8$ of the loop, keeping the hook spacing exactly $1 / 8$ of the total spacing. This gives the model full flying weight for all tests, and the stick can be given full load tests. The lightest model yielding 34 minute simulated illgits weighed .049 oz . My . 037 oz. version needs a different prop setup to get a higher climb, but the cruise is fantastic!

My blggest problem to date is to get the weight down to .035 oz. and still have a reasonably sturdy machine. Seoond, the long motor stick seems to show some torsional flex which changes the relative tilt between the ilfting surfaces. The circle is large at launch and tightens as torque goes down.

Doug and I also built Pennyplane versions of this design for the November 71 contest in Philadelphia. We built identical models to the Chicago Aeronuts rules and entered the contest. Our times were $12: 02$ and $11: 57$ for $18 t$ and 2nd under the $80^{\circ}$ ceiling. without touching."

The usual CMOS diagram has not been included with the three-view, due to an unresolved question on the manner of applying CMOS to a tandem configuration. If the CMOS method is applied with the assumption that it is directly applicable to this model, John's trim of this model computes to $+62 \%$ static margin. This would indicate that the model would have adequate stability with the front wing even further forward in relation to the CG, or that the model as shown is super-stable.

## NEWS FROM AROUND THE WORLD

CZECHOSLOVAKIA
The last two national czech meets were not reported (see Sept. '71 INAV for results of Iuly 10-11 meet; the Czech 72 Team selection was made on the basis of performance of these three meets. The finals scores below are the total of the two best times of three for each flier.)


Final Results, Czechoslovakia Championship for 1971 (First three placings constitute Czech Team for 1972 )

| 1. Jiri Kalina | $67: 25$ | $60: 30$ | $127: 55$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Dagmar Chlubna | $55: 21$ | $58: 41$ | $114: 02$ |
| 3. Eduard Chlubny | $58: 44$ | $53: 53$ | $112: 37$ |
| 4. Rudolf Cerny | $55: 51$ | $50: 58$ | $106: 49$ |
| 5. Karol Rybecky | $49: 01$ | $52: 49$ | $101: 50$ |
| 6. Jaroslav Jirasky | $58: 07$ | $42: 50$ | $100: 57$ |

## ENGLAND

Eight Cardington meetings were held in 1971, with about 12 regular fliers and not many of the formerly well known English indoor fliers having built one gram models. Four of these fliers have topped 30 minutes with one gram models: John Blount - 32:57, Martin Shepherd - 32:28, Bruce Edwards - $31: 38$ and Laurie Barr - 30:10. Winter flying has been done in a $300^{\prime} \times 190^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$ RaF hangar, with over 10 minute FAI flights being made in poor conditions. A $36^{\prime}$ hangar is also expected to be available.

## HINTS AND KINKS

## Prop Blade Holder

When reworking old prop blades or while building new ones, it is sometimes beneficial to wet the blade and then bake it in the oven while holding it flat against the prop block. However, the blade is fragile and hard to hold down safely. Dici Ganslen suggests that strips of Jap tissue be stuck to the block and then water-shrunk before the block is baked. The blade will be held firmly in place without damage.
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HINTS AND KINKS

## PennyPlane Scale

Dennis Jaecks quoted his PennyPlane model weights in fractions of a "penny" weight (see Dec. '71 INAV). The sketch below shows how these welghts were measured - on a scale calibrated in one penny full scale, of course! The scale was formed from $1 / 32^{11}$ aluminum sheet with feet bent at right angles to the main body - which is a neat way to make a scale regardiess of which units it works with.


Penny Plane Scale
THE LAB

## Launch Torque Meter

The Dec. ' 68 INAV presented a torque meter to be used as a winding stooge and for checking torque curves of rubber motors under test or evaluation. If you use an "o" ring at the torque meter end, that type can be used as a rough check of torque that you launch with. For low celling flying, the launch torque determines very closely how high the model will climb. (See "THE LAB", May ' 68 INAV and "CHOICE OF RUBBER MOTOR FOR LOW CEILING INDOOR", p. 78 of the 1968 NFFS SYMPOSIUM REPORT.)

If you lose any turns hooking up after winding, or wait very long to launch, the torque level will change. Also, it is very difficuit to hit a desired launch torque exactiy while winding on a torque stooge. The answer to all these objections and problems is to measure the torque just before launch. If you have this capability, it is a simple matter to hook up with a higher torque than needed and let the prop run in short bursts until the torque has dropped to the exact value needed.

A hairspring of suitable strength can be used to make a torque meter to make torque measurements on the model, as shown schematically below. The particular spring used in this torque meter cost $\$ 1$ postpaid at Addison Aero Parts \& Sales, P. O. Box 216, Addison, Texas 75001; order part number 671-73 Hairspring. This spring has a split collet which will need an adapter. Make the adapter to fit the collet, and drill \#67 thru the center of the adapter. Press a polished piece of $1 / 32^{11}$ music wire thru the adapter to complete the main assembly. The case was made from $1 / 8^{\prime \prime} \times 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ pine strips set on edge and covered with .020" aluminum to form a box. The only bearing necessary is to drill the case sides to fit the $1 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ music wire. Anchor the free end of the spring to the case, and assemble the case to the frame with small screws. Calibrate the unit in the same manner as for the meter in Dec. ' 68 INAV.

How do you use this device safely? Hold the model by the front wing socket (thumb and index finger of right hand) with the prop spinning free. Engage the fork with the prop spar, which stops the prop. Read the torque and launch the model.

(Reprinted from April ' 69 INAV)

CONTEST RESULTS
$\frac{\text { MCDONNELL-DOUGLAS MID-AMERICA INDOOR FLYING CIRCUS }}{\text { East St. LOUis Armory, March 5, } 1972,34^{\text {AMM, }} 31^{\top}}$


CHICAGO AERONUTS INDOOR CONTEST, Mar. 26, 1972 Cat. II Brig. Gen. Armory, Chicago; $87^{\text {r }}$ ceiling.

| Jr. Class A HLG |  | Open Class A HLG |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Fritz Kurth | 1:27.6 | 1. Bob Watson | 33.9 |
| 2. Keith Gordey | 1:12.6 | 2. Mark Kummerow | 1:21.2 |
| 3. Rich Jaros | 1:00.0 | 3. Gordon Wisniewski | 1:12.4 |
| 4. Tim Parker | 0:49.3 | 4. Jeff Annis | 1:09.8 |
| 5. Scott Wisniewski | 0:36.0 | 5. Dick Swenson | 1:08.8 |
| Jr. AMA HLG |  | Open AMA HLG |  |
| 1. Keith Gordey | 1:21.0 | 1. Richard Hixon | 2:01.6 |
| 2. Steve Rak | 1:15.8 | 2. Bob Watson | 1:48.5 |
| 3. Rich Jaros | 0:54.3 | 3. Chuck Markos | 1:47.9 |
| 4. James Loribecki | 0:41.5 | 4. Dick Swenson | 1:43.0 |
| 5. Bruce Bandt | 0:38.8 | 5. Bob Johnson | 1:30.0 |
| Junior PennyPlane |  | Open PennyPlane |  |
| 1. Keith Gordey | 6:51.2 | 1. Dennis Jaecks | 9:55.5 |
| 2. Tim Parker | 5:57.8 | 2. Robert Hayes, Sr. | 8:51.2 |
| 3. Scott Wisniewski | 3:54.5 | 3. Chuck Markos | 8:22.5 |
| 4. Rich Jaros | 0:08.2 | 4. Mark Kummerow <br> 5. Otto Curth | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 03.0 \\ & 6: 30.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Junior Paper Stick |  | Open Paper Stick |  |
| 1. Scott Wisniewski | 10:03.8 | 1. Dennis Jaecks | 15:07.2 |
| 2. Fritz Curth | 6:57.8 | 2. Gordon Wisniewskil | 14:07.0 |
| 3. Kieth Gordey | 5:04.0 | 3. Howard Haupt | 12:32.8 |
| 4. Rich Jaros | 1:20.2 | 4. Charlie Sotich <br> 5. George Bucic, Jr. | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 44.8 \\ & 10: 43.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| TECH MODEL AIRCRAFTERS INDOCR MEET, Apr. 8, 1972, Cat. II |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Indoor Scale - (JSO) |  | Delta Dart - Junior |  |
| 1. Chet Bokowski | 137.5 | 1. Nike Roby | 1:21.0 |
| 2. J. G. Pa,1let |  | 2. Dan Aggers | 1:12.0 |
| 3. Barry Pailet |  | 3. Susan Nichols | 0:43.5 |
| 4. Bruce Pailet |  | 4. Jull Hall | 0:42.6 |
| 5. Fred Hall |  | 5. Barry Pailet | $0: 35.9$ |
| Jr.-Sr. HLG |  | open HLG |  |
| 1. Bruce Pailet | 1:05.3 | 1. Dick Sherman | 1:05.5 |
| 2. Barry Pailet | 1:00.8 | 2. J. G. Pailet | 1:01.4 |
| 3. Ron Stransky | 0:58.6 | 3. Ed Cattey | c:58.3 |
| 4. Dan Aggers | 0:51.6 | 4. Gerald Donanue | 0:56.4 |
| 5. Graham Eacock | 0:13.2 | 5. Robert Nichols | $0: 55.3$ |
| Indoor Stick - (JSO) |  |  |  |
| 1. Ron Stransky | 3:31.2 |  |  |
| 2. Chet Bukowsky | 8:04.8 |  |  |
| 3. Dick Sherman | 7:46.8 |  |  |
| 4. Dan Aggers | 6:10.2 |  |  |
| 5. Don Jeter | 5:12.0 |  |  |

# NEWS and VIEWS 

Editor: Bud Tenny • Box 545• Richardson, Texas• 75080

****NATICNAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****

## New Members:

OTTO CURTH, 2107 Center, Northbrook, IIl. 60062 JAMES P. SULLIVAN, P. O. Box 91781, Los Angeles,CA 90009

## Family Memberships

FRITZ CURTH, 2107 Center, Northbrook, Ill. 60062

## ${ }^{\prime} 72 \mathrm{Nats}$


#### Abstract

As announced in the May ' 72 INAV, the U.S. Navy has agreed to host the Nats one more time. AMA President John Clemens has set the theme as "Thanks, Navy!", and this is truly an historic event. Without the Navy, the character of the Nats will of necessity change somewhat, but there will be more Nats. If you haven't written a letter of thanks to the Navy (see Apr. 'T2 INAV), now is not too late. We owe the Navy more than most fliers realize.


The Nats Indoor site is the Brig. Gen. Richard L. Jones Armory; 5200 S. Cottage Grove Ave., Chicago. This is the same site as for 1970 and 1971. HLG will be 9 am to 3 pm , Monday, July 24 ; Indoor Scale will follow, 3 pm to 9 pm . On Tuesday, Juiy 25 , all Indoor Rubber events will be held from 9 am to 9 pm . Three unofficial events, Pennyplane, Peanut Scale and Navy Scale will be held from 3 pm to 9 pm , July 24, sharing air space with Indoor Scale models as in previous years.

All indoor HLG fliers should note that the time-sharing approach will be used again this year. This means that alternate periods of test flying and official flying will be enforced. The goal is to allow only those launching official flights on the floor during official flying periods to minimize turbulence for the gliders during the crucial touchdown phase of the flight. Note that official flights may be made during test flying sessions at the option of the contestant - but no testing during official flicht sessions.

## Nats - Help:

Nats Free Flight Category Director Pete Sotich recently circulated a memo indicating manpower requirements for free flight events at the Nats. The Navy will furnish no manpower, so timing and officiating will have to be $100 \%$ AMA effort. For example, the list totals 85 bodies, exclusive of directors of individual events, for both indoor and outdoor events. That does not include indoor scale, since this category is staffed totaliy by the Detroit Cloud Busters, Inc.

Indoor events customarily have only two co-directors who handle all four "standard" Indoor events (Pennyplane and Scale events handled separately), and outdoor FF event direction is often handled by the same few men who work the whole meet. Recorders and processors are usually the same crew all week. The major manpower squeeze will be on flight timers, and it is expected that everyone except perhaps Juniors will have to participate in a strict time-a-flight-fly-a-fligit procedure on a one-for-one basis. That is, no individual will be permitted to time an excess of flights and "coast" the rest of the day.

All the above leads to this: if your flying schedule will permit, please volunteer to help where you can. Send your name and open schedule to Pete Sotich, 3851 West 62 nd Place, Chicago, IIl. 60629 immediately!

## NFFS Top Ten Model Winners

The National Free Flight Society announces the reciplents of its ten FF Model of the Year awards for 1972:

Event
${ }_{2}^{1} A$ Gas
ABC Gas
FAI Power
wakefield A/2 Nordic Indoor HLG

## Fller

V1c Cunnyngham, Jr. George Fuller (England) Thomas Koster (Denmark) C. Schwartzbach (Denmark) Hugh Langevin Joe Bilgri Dick Mathis \& M \& $P$

## Model Name

Geodetic Gelaxie
Dixielander
Andromeda
Little Big Horn
Osprey
(See Feb.'72 INAV)
Flash

Special Classes
PennyPlane- Ervin Rodemsky
Outdoor Scale, Gas - Leoning M-8

## Recent Fublications

Indoor filers continue to owe a word of thanks to MAN magazine for giving Indoor topics more coverage than any other magazine: a report of the East Coast Team Finals (Feb. '72), Tom Vallee's "Forum" in June ' 72 and "For Two Cents" (Juiy '72). "For Two Cents" is an excellent bit on Pennyplane by Clarence Mather and Dave Linstrum with fulisize plans of two models made available. Tom's Forum topic is an analysis of the aftermath of weight mules for FAI Indoor. Not everyone will agree with his conclusions, but the arguments are well organized and presented.

## NIMAS/NFFS MeetIng

Only a few responses (all favorable) were recelved to last month's query about a possible joint meeting of NIMAS and NFFS members attending the FAI Team Selection Finals in Caddo Mills, Texas. Due to the less-than-overwhelming response, we'll have to play it by ear. I'll look forward to seeing all who cone, as I will be helping time july 1 and July 2 (have to work July 3). Y'all come:

## FAI INDOOR REPORT

Joe Bilgri Resigns
Citing only personal reasons, Joe Ellgri has resigned his position on the U.S. Indoor Team. Joe is an experienced flier who will be missed, both by the Team and by his many friends overseas. We wish him the best of luck and a speedy return to his normal activity.

Sal Cannizzo will be the new member of the Team, following his photo-finish in the East Coast Finals last year (Sal missed the Team then by . 07 percentage points). He is a relative newcomer to FAI Indoor, but never placed lower than 2nd place and never lower than $91 \%$ of the winning score during the Team Selection Program.

## FAI Document Appears

Beginning on p. 105 of the July ${ }^{\prime} 72$ Ahs, the longawaited "FAI Document" has been published. Thousands of words detail past history and present practice in general terms, plus explaining much about FAI procedures. It can be regarded as an excellent document to acquaint newcomers with the general "facts of life" about FAI programs.

However, it has been noted that a desperate need exists for detailed listing of program administrator duties and authority. No mention is made of who can override bad decisionis by administrators, what channel of appeal can be used by program participants, etc. In short, nothing whatsoever appears in this document to answer the appeal made by numerous filers for a definitive document. It might be noted that this document has been made up and published without either guidance or review by the did Executive Council (see Executive Council "Bombs Out", Mar. '72 INAV). Now that the "document" has appeared, it' is inescapable that i.t contains nothing which would have served as guidance to either side during the controversy which almost scuttiled the 1971 Team Selection Program.

Time has slipped by so fast that we are in danger of not having a 1974 Indoor Team. The deficiencies of "the document" make it impossible for any program administrator to function; he will have no assurance of freedom from capricious and bungling interference by "authorities" with undefined responsibility and authority. Even if deficiencies in the document were addressed immediately, the work of Nats preparation and other pressing AMA business would prevent an early solution. In view of recently-decided requirements for program details to be settled by polling expected participants, it is already too late to make any effective program preparation to complete team selection before late in 1973. The matter should have been settied and an administrator appointed no later than May 1, 1972.

## CONTEST CALENDAR

CALIFORNIA - Santa Ana
Record Trials at Santa Ana MCAF on July 23, 1972 and Aug. 12-13, 1972. Bob Gibbs, 5005 Halifax Circle, Cypress CA 90630.

## CANADA

Indoor contest in $90^{\circ}$ Agrodome in Port Coquitlam, B.C. Contact Alan Riches, 1568 Celeste Crescent, Port Coquitiam, B. C., Canada for details.

FLORIDA - Miami
Indoor contests planned during summer in Miami - contact Dr. J. B. Martin, 3227 Darwin St., Miami, Fla. 33133.

GEORGIA - Albany
Easy B, HLG, Indoor Scale, Paper Stick contest as part of Georgia State Championships, hold at NAS Albany on July 1-2, 1972. Indoor to be 7:30 pm to 11 pm in a navy hangar with $28^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ to first obstruction. Contact Bob Stevenson, 209 Sourwood Dr., Marletta, Ga. 30060 for info.

NEW JERSEY - Lakehurst
Indoor meet July 1-2, 1972 (not including Juiy 3 as originally announced) in Hangar \#1. Entry fee will be charged and cash prizes awarded for events chosen. Sanctioned for AMA and FAI Record Trials. C. V. Russo, 143 W111ow way, Clark, NJ 07066.

## TOP TEN EASY B

The Top Ten Easy B 11 sting begins anew each year with the winners of that year's NIMAS Postal (see May 72 INAV for that listing). From that time until the next Postal, gdaitional flights can be submitted which will "bump" into the rop Ten ilsting. This has happened early this year the listing below represents the latest standings as Dick Hardcastle bumped into first place and Jim Bennett bumped into the Top Ten.

1. Dick Hardcastle
2. Clarence Mather
3. Ted Gonzoph
4. Stan Chilton
5. Bob Platt
6. B111 Langley
7. D1ck Starks
8. Jim Bennett
9. Gordon Wisniewski
10. Hal Crane
Time
585
636
626
540
529
491
451
545
480.2
411

Ce111ng
$18^{\prime}$
$22.3^{\prime}$
$26^{\prime}$
$20^{\prime}$
$20^{\prime}$
$20.5^{\prime}$
$20.5^{\prime}$
$31^{\prime}$
$20^{\prime}$
$20^{\prime}$
Fudge
1.394
1.253
1.16
1.323
1.323
1.306
1.306
1.063
1.323
1.323

Score
815.5
796.9
726.6
714.4
699.8
641.7
599.0
578.3
555.9
543.7

## TOP TEN CEILING DODGERS

The Top Ten Ceiling Dodgers listing has been continued uninterrupted, rather than being renewed after each Postal meet. This is due to the lower participation in this fascinating but technically more difficult event. The listing below has been updated to include results from the '72 NIMAS Postal.

1. Stan Chilton
2. Tom Vallee
3. Hal Crane
4. Dick Hardcastle
5. Hewitt Phillips
6. Howard Haupt
7. Harry Cook
8. B111 Langley
9. Jim Davidson
10. Roger Schroeder
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Time } & \text { Ceiling } \\ 1115 & 35^{\prime} \\ 810 & 20^{\prime} \\ 682 & 20^{\prime} \\ 602 & 23^{\prime} \\ 528.2 & 20^{\prime} \\ 456 & 22^{\prime} \\ 471 & 26^{\prime} \\ 421 & 27.5^{\prime} \\ 280 & 13 i^{\prime} \\ 239.5 & 155^{\prime}\end{array}$

| Fudge | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | 1115 |
| 1.323 | 1071.6 |
| 1.323 | 902.3 |
| 1.234 | 742.9 |
| 1.323 | 698.8 |
| 1.261 | 574.5 |
| 1.16 | 546.4 |
| 1.128 | 474.8 |
| 1.64 | 459.2 |
| 1.527 | 365.7 |

## RECORDB? MAYBEI

SANTA ANA REDORD TRIALS - May 14, 1972, Cat. III
Santa Ana Hangars, Santa Ana MCAF, Calif. Jr. Cat. III HLG - 1:39.2, Dennis Cunnyngham Sr. Cat. III HLG - 2:13.5, Marty Thompson
SANTA ANA RECORD TRIALS - June 11, 1972, Cat. III Santa Ana Hangars, Santa Ana MCAF, Calif. Open Cat. III Cabin - 29:46, Bob Randolph
BRAINBUSTER'S RECORD TRIALS - May 20, 1972, Cat. I Willis School, Hampton, Va. $20^{\prime}$ ceiling Open AMA Cat. I FAI - 20:19, Bob Platt

## STATE OF THE ART

This issue must be a Pete Andrews "special" - with a three view of Pete's Easy $B$ and info below on one of the FAI's he flew last year. Pete's model designs are worked out on his programmable desk calculator, using cmOS design methods. The Easy $B$ reached what may be an ail-time high time for Easy B - 17:10 (flight in Lakehurst). It also won 1 st at the only indoor meet it was entered in - the LIAMAC meet at Cantiague Park on April 30, 1972.

The diagram below, with dimensions from Pete's FAI, is the top of the standard CMOS computation form. Forms are available on request (self-addressed envelope), and an instruction packet on CMOS is also available. Beside the diagram is a compilation of pertinent dimensions of the model. The CMOS diagram below has balance info on both models, with the dashed line showing Pete's balance point and the solid line being the $0 \%$ balance ine which is the most sensitive balance setup recommended for allpurpose models. More sensitive setups are possible, and probably well worth using for record trial/ideal weather flying.


## ANDREWS 1971 FAI



| Wing span 25.6" | Stab span 14" |
| :---: | :---: |
| Wing chord 6.5" | Stab chord $5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| (straight wing with round | (stab is $1 / 3-2 / 3$ |
| Wing area 157.33 sc | in.Stab area 56 sq . in. |
| Average chord 6.145" | Average chora ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Aspect ratio 4.165:1 | Aspect ratio 3.5:1 |
| Alrfoil - Kowalski 7\% | Airfoil - 540 |
| Dihedral $3^{\prime \prime}$ each tip | Prop $17 \times 30,2^{\prime \prime}$ wide |
| Rubber $.051 \times .043 \times 17$ | at maximum width |
| Rudder 2- ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ x 4 4 d |  |



By Erv Rodemsky
Since I am one of the "bad guys" who pushed for a weight rule in FAI Indoor, I would like to rebutt Tom Vallee's criticism published in MAN Forum.

I do agree with his comment that the rule was hastily and poorly written. The reason was for simplicity. All rule changes are unpopular with "experts" who are winning under the old rules; invariably these changes bring out creative thinking and new participants. (The reduction of wingspan from 90 cm to 65 cm gave $u s$ World Champion Jim Richmond.) Ed note: don't forget Jiri Kalina!

So, in attempting to keep it simple (an excellent principle), the rules writers did not go far enough. The intent of the one gram rule was to increase the strength of indoor models. That goal could have been better accomplished by limiting wing and tail area along with requiring one gram weight. Cutting performance can be accomplished by limiting the weight of rubber (perhaps one-half gram). "It puts too much premium on good rubber," they scream. If a competitor doesn't know how important good rubber is now, he's not paying attention:

Tom blames the lack of balloons for problems in Romania. This is a half truth. Balloons would have been invaluable in retrieving, but can you imagine "steering" a 26" span, transparent model, $180^{\prime}$ high in a dark, drafty salt mine? If you want to reduce hang-ups, stop the time as soon as the model touches anything. This will eliminate rafter-banging. I think steering should be limited to sites of less than $50^{\prime}$ ceiling.

Tom's observations on design trends are accurate*, but it wasn't the $10^{\prime \prime}$ chords, $20^{\prime \prime}$ props and $18^{\prime \prime}$ motor sticks that made the Team! All three members** used relatively conventional well-trimmed models that were capable of handing large motors. No one ever said a weight rule would make a poor builder into a champion, but it sure opens the way for some original thinking as evidenced by the proliferation of new designs seen at contests. If for no other reason than encouraging some new builders to give Indoor a try, the weight rule is worth it.

Analyzine the results of contests under both sets of rules brings out one very obvious advantage of the weight rule - an equalizer. Contrary to Tom's allegations, top time was lowered; more importantly, the spread from first through fifth was much smaller. Isn't that where it's at - close competition?

In closing on a positive note (as did Tom), rule changes should be provisional before becoming final, and perhaps tried in other events such as PennyPlane.
*Tom's article was written before the Finals; perhaps after "Salt Mine II", he might have noted a requirement for reliability under adverse conditions:
**Since Bllgri's resignation (see p. 1) and his replacement by Sal Cannizzo, this statement is still true. Sal's models were even more "conventional" than the other three winners.

## HINTS AND KINKS <br> Slick Tlssue Sockets

Dick Ganslen suggests that teflon tubing can be used as a no-stick form to roll wing sockets on. Just slip the tubins over a thin wire to hold it stiff, and roll the sockets as usual. However, it is not necessary to remove the sockets before the glue dries, as the teflion is slick enough to permit the dry socket to slide off.

## Wing Reinforcement

Bob Platt has been reinforcing his FAI wings with a length of dacron bracing glued to the leading edge and trailing edge where he makescontact with the steering pole. This is intended to hold the wing together if it breaks, thus preventing the film from tearing. In simllar fashion, Bob Randolph puts dacron across the top of the spar at the dihedral break in case the spar breaks completely while he is installing the dihedral. In either case, you get two chances to fix the wing before the film gets torn; just be sure you don't have sticky film which will fold over and tear anyway!

## Knot Correction Chart

In the process of making extensive torque tests on pirelli, some method of correcting for the weight of the knot was needed. The solution to the problem was to tie many standard knots in rubber, cut them loose, then weigh the knots and average the results. The graph below gives the correction at a glance. Each point on the graph is an average of at least three knots, and the accuracy of correction factors from the chart should be about $1 \%$.

Use the graph this way: measure the rubber crosssection with standard (not spring-losded) micrometers, and compute the area. Locate this area along the left side of the graph, move across to the graph, then down to the bottom line and read the weight of the knot. For example, $.042 \mathrm{x} .05 \mathrm{t}^{\prime \prime}$ rubber has an area of $.00213 \mathrm{sq.in}$. Following the dashed ilne, this equates to . 000425 oz.


## CONTEST RESULTS

ST. LOUIS INDOOR CHAMPIONSHIPS, Apr. 9, 1972 Cat. I Ft. Zumwalt High School, $0^{\prime} F a l i o n, ~ M o . ~ 24^{\prime}$ ceiling

| Open Easy B |  | Junior Easy B |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Jim Bennett | $7: 46$ | 1. Jeff Hardcastle | $6: 19$ |
| 2. Tony Schott | $7: 29$ | 2. Rosy Tryon | $5: 30$ |
| 3. Stan Snyder | $7: 22$ | 3. Doug DePaul | $2: 15$ |
| 4. Jim Pears | $7: 03$ | 4. Jason Tryon | $1: 10$ |
| 5. Paul Tryon | $6: 25$ |  |  |
| Open HLG |  | Junior HLG |  |
| 1. Dick Hardcastle | $1: 04$ | 1. Rosy Tryon | $0: 26$ |
| 2. Bob Klipp | $0: 56$ | 2. Jason Tryon | $0: 19$ |
| 3. Tony Schott | $0: 44$ |  |  |
| Indoor Stick |  |  |  |
| 1. Dick Hardcastle | $9: 44$ | Ornithopter | 1. Bob Rother |
| 2. Paul Tryon | $6: 46$ | 2. Jeff Pears | $1: 00.2$ |
| 3. Tony Schott | $6: 45$ |  | $0: 36.6$ |
| 4. Marion Depaul | $3: 47$ | Indoor Scale |  |
| 5. Jim Bennett | $0: 44$ | 1. Art Beinl | $0: 43$ |
| Helicopter |  | 2. Lloyd Wood | $0: 27$ |
| 1. Jeff Pears | $0: 48$ |  |  |
| High Point Champion |  |  |  |
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****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****

## New Members!

RICHARD A. McCLELLAND, 42 Maple Place, Nutley, NJ 07110

## Help - Nats Report!

Much of the success of this newsletter has been due to willing help from many people. If there is any sort of Nats report beyond the "bare bones" listing of results, Nats entrants will have to help out. Due to my upcoming trip to the WCh in August, vacation time must be saved for that instead of the Nats. Therefore, any help which is available (pictures and description of what happened) will be welcome. Due to my need to mail the Aug. issue as early as possible, please try to mail text by Aug. 1 , 1972, and pix as soon as possible after that. If you have a contribution that will be a little later, please drop a card saying it is coming so $I$ can plan for it.

## Free PennyPlane Kits

The Chicago Aeronuts will again furnish free PennyPlane kits for the convenience of $P / P$ newcomers. These kits will be available at the HLG/Scale session at the Nats (Monday, July 24, 1972).

## Caddo Mills Report

After the wind went away (July 6, it was calm enough to fly PennyPlane outdoors!) and the dust settled, the FAI Team Finsis produced the following FF Team members:

Waxefield
Frank Parmenter
Bob White
Nordic
Hugh Langevin
Paul Crowley
Vince Croghan

## Power

Hank Spence
Frank Wolff
Tom McLaughlin
The possibility of a NFFS NIMAS confab went by default because of repairs, searching for lost models, and general fatigue caused by long chases. Several very welcome bull sessions were had with old friends, but it was impossible to get more than a few together at any one time.

## FAI INDOOR REPORT

## International Record Application

Considerable skepticism has greeted a tentative record recelved by FAI. C. W. Hennecart, Director General sent out copies of the application for Class Fid (Indoor Model) record, with a claimed Cat. I time of $1:: 58: 28$. No other details were available at publication time, except that the flight was claimed by Russia and the date of application was June 30, 1972.

## Record WCh Entry?

A note included with AMA's request to NAA for transportation for the U. S. Indoor Team stated that thirteen (13) countries had entered the 1972 Indoor World Championships. Information available here indicates that these countries have entered: Australia (proxy entry by Boyd Felstead) to be flown by Manny Radoff with Erv Rodemaky as team manager; Czechoslovakia, England, France, Italy and Romania. In addition, Poland and Hungary are known to have teams, even though word from Hungary indicated that Hungary would not attend. Finally, Germany and Finland have never missed an Indoor WCh, and Yugoslavia has attended all since Debrecen, Hungary (1966). The other countries reported to have indoor activity at some level are: Argentina, Austria, Canada, Holland, New Zealand, Russia and Sweden.

Hopefully, information will come in regarding teams from the other countries that have entered. Meanwhile, the following fliers are members of teams from their respective countries:

Italy
Carlo Cotugno
Frioli Adalberto

Poland

Ryszard Czechowsky
Edward Ciapala
Stefan Bombol

Romania
Nicu Bezman
Otto Hints
Vasile Nicoara

## CONTEST CALENDAR

CALIFORNIA - Santa Ana
Record Trials at Santa Ana MCAF on July 23 and Aug. 12-13, 1972. July RT - Bob Randolph, 25145 Lawton Ave. Loma Linda, CA 92354. Aug. RT - Bud Romak, 85 Sullivan Dr., Moraga, CA 94556.

NEW JERSEY -Lakehurst
Flying sessions at Lakehurst on Aug. 6, 1972. c. V. Russo, 143 Willow Way, Clark, NJ 07066.

RECORDS: MAYBE:
TULSA GLUE DOBBERS RECORD TRIALS, June 18, 1972 Cat. I John Mabee Gym, Univ. of Tulsa $34^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ ceiling.

Open FAI Cat. II FAI - 16:45, John Einglish Sr. Cat. I HIG - 1:07.1, Robert Dunham II Sr. Cat. I Cabin - 7:10, Robert Dunham II

## NIMAS AWARDS

Gold Cat. III HLG Award - 1:06.2, Dan Domina

|  | Time | Ceiling | Fudge | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Stan Chilton | 1115 | 35' | 1.0 | 1115 |
| 2. Tom Vallee | 810 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 1071.6 |
| 3. Hal Crane | 682 | 20' | 1.323 | 902.3 |
| 4. Dick Hardcastle | 602 | $23 '$ | 1.234 | 742.9 |
| 5. Hewitt Phillips | 528.2 | 20' | 1.323 | 698.8 |
| 6. Howard Haupt | 456 | $22^{\prime}$ | 1.261 | 574.5 |
| 7. Harry Cook | 471 | $26^{\prime}$ | 1.16 | 546.4 |
| 8. B111 Langley | 421 | 27.51 | 1.128 | 474.8 |
| 9. Jim Davidson | 280 | $13^{\prime}$ | 1.64 | 459.2 |
| 10. Kevin Wehner | 263 | $18^{1}$ | 1.394 | 366.6 |

## CONTEST RESULTS

CHICAGO AERONUTS INDCOR CONTEST, Apr. 22-23, 1972 Cat. II Brig. Gen. R. L. Jones Armory, Chicago $90^{\prime}$ celling

| Jr. PennyPlane |  | Open Pennyplane |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Steve Oravecz | 5:02.0 | 1. Dennis Jaecks | 10:05.0 |
| 2. Scott Wisniewski | 4:31.5 | 2. Rol Anderson | 9:37.6 |
| 3. Ke1th Gordey | 3:49.8 | 3. Hank deKat | 8:17.8 |
| 4. Eric Miller | 2:54.0 | 4. Gordon Wisniewski | 8:12.1 |
| 5. Rich Jaros | 1:38.8 | 5. Robert Hays, Sr. | 7:09.0 |
| Jr. Paper Stick |  | Open Paper Stick |  |
| 1. Scott Wisniewski | 9:30.8 | 1. Dennis Jaecks | 15:33.7 |
| 2. Fritz Curth | 9:03.1 | 2. Chuck Markos | 15:07.9 |
| 3. Eric Miller | 4:51.2 | 3. Charlie Sotich | 14:40.3 |
| 4. Rich Jaros | 1:58.2 | 4. Ed Stoll | 13:13.4 |
|  |  | 5. Gordon Wisniewski | 12:09.4 |
| Jr. Indoor Stick |  | Open Indoor Stick |  |
| 1. Scott Wisniewski | 8:45.8 | 1. Dennis Jaecks | 20:29.2 |
| 2. Fritz Curth | 6:32.5 | 2. Howard Haupt | 17:04.0 |
| 3. Eric Miller | 3:40.7 | 3. Ed Stoll | 15:01.1 |
| 4. Rich Jaros | 1:35.4 | 4. Charlie Sotich | 14:14.0 |
| 5. Steve Rak | 0:13.9 | 5. Rol Anderson | 14:11.0 |
| Jr. HLG |  | Open HLG |  |
| 1. Keith Gordey | 110.0 | 1. Rick Hixon | 112.8 |
| 2. Steve Rak | 88.7 | 2. John Loribecki | 111.0 |
| 3. Scott W1sniewski | 81.8 | 3. Dick Swenson | 96.0 |
| 4. Rich Jaros | 72.9 | 4. Chuck Markos | 93.4 |
| 5. Fritz Curth | 33.2 | 5. Louie Bromiey | 89.0 |
|  |  | (Cont. | p. 4) |





## NEWS FRON AROUND THE WORLD

ARGENTINA
The Argentina Nats were held simultaneously with the South American Contest, which drew entries from Chile, Brazil, Uruguay, Bolivia and Peru. The scheduling of indoor for the Argentina Nats gave unprecedented opportundty for modelers from all these countries to see and admire a relatively rare type of model. Interest was very hish, and the fliers from Argentina were very busy answeriñ questions. It is possible that Indoor will become a regular event at future South American Championships; this is only one step away from the possibility of International Indoor Contests in this hemisphere. The next step may be a World Championships in this hemisphere:

The contest itself was made more difficult by uncontrollable drafts near the top of the theatre site, which caused most flights to terminate in an upper balcony.

| 1. Eduardo Grippo | $13: 12$ | $8: 52$ | $22: 04$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Alberto Barilari | $7: 27$ | $9: 40$ | $17: 07$ |
| 3. Nereo Beggiatto | $6: 50$ | $8: 52$ | $15: 42$ |
| 4. Luis M. Coronel | $7: 34$ | $6: 47$ | $14: 21$ |
| 5. MiEuel A. Leone | $6: 44$ | $6: 55$ | $13: 39$ |
| 6. Julio C. Martinez | $3: 46$ | $4: 37$ | $8: 23$ |
| 7. Jomingo Sassone | $0: 13 *$ | $7: 47 *$ | $8: 00$ |

*Both models destroyed on only flight.
ROMANIA
An International Indoor Contest was held in the salt mine at Slanic-prahova, Romania, May 5-7, 1972.

| Individual results |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Zoltan Oscodi | Hungary* | 30:56 | 30:15 | 61:11 |
| 2. Jiri Kalina | Czechoslovakia | 31:03 | 29:40 | 60:43 |
| 3. Karol Rybecky | Czechoslovakia, | *29:38 | 29:45 | 59:23 |
| 4. Andras Ree | Hungary | 28:38 | 30:42 | 59:20 |
| 5. Ryszard Czechows | ky Poland | 28:21 | 30:17 | 58:38 |
| 6. Gyorey Buzady | Hungary | 28:31 | 29:58 | 58:29 |
| 7. Nicu Bezman | Romenia A | 27:06 | 29:05 | 56:11 |
| 8. Otto Hints | Romania A | 27:50 | 28:15 | 56:05 |
| 9. Eduard Chlubny | Czechoslovakia | 27:00 | 28:19 | 55:19 |
| 10. Vasile Nicoara | Romania A | 27:07 | 27:06 | 54:13 |
| 11. Tudorel Lungu | Romania* | 24:16 | 29:48 | 54:04 |
| 12. Antal Eeri | Hungary | 26:37 | 27:18 | 53:55 |
| 13. Dagmar Chlubna | Czechoslovakia | 25:00 | 27:54 | 52:54 |
| 14. Aurel Popa | Romania B | 23:25 | 26:11 | 51:36 |
| 15. Gheorghe Chinga | Romania* | 26:20 | 24:13 | 50:33 |
| 16. Edward Clapala | Foland | 22:08 | 27:57 | 50:05 |
| 17. Eugen Holtier | Romania* | 24:41 | 24:32 | 49:13 |
| 18. Aurel Moraru | Romania B | 19:57 | 27:02 | 46:49 |
| 19. Stefan Bombol | Poland. | 20:41 | 25:09 | $45: 50$ |
| 20. Eugen Cures | Romanta* | 26:11 | 19:37 | 45:47 |
| 21. Karoly Biro | Hungary* | 22:31 | 19:59 | 42:30 |
| 22. Stefan Botos | Romania B | 16:19 | 22:09 | 38:28 |


| Team Results |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Hungary | $171: 44$ |
| 2. Czechoslovakia | $168: 56$ |
| 3. Romania A | $166: 29$ |
| 4. Poland | $154: 33$ |

## STATE OF THE ART

Erv Rodemsky was honored by NFFS this year for his
"invention" of the PennyPlane, along with the Chicago Aeronuts for their promotion of the model. NFFS features four PennyPlanes as examples, Including Dennis'Jaecks' Nats winner (Dec.' 71 INAV), models by Dave Linstrum and Clarence Mather (July ' 72 M.A.N.) and Rodemsky's $1 \not \subset$ Plane as shown on page 2 .

A second offering for the month is Ron Wittman's Tara 18, a glider which took several places at the 1967 Indoor Nats, and has set outdoor records as well. Full size outlines appear on page 3, with top and side views below.


Pat Percival - Inoependence, o.
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## 172 Indoor Nats

## by Curtis Janke

The most inspiring feature this year was the presence of the two little girls, daughters of Dave Linstrum, who flew in the Pennyplane event and did surprisingly well. Did their own winding, with Pop holding.

I didn't fly in the glider event, of course, and was - pressed into sitting at the table and counting gliders 80 that no one tried to fly more that three. From what cards I saw, the times seemed to be mediocre. (Ed. Note: all times were lower than ' 71 , except for the top two times in Open HLG, which were up by a fair margin.)

Tuesday, very early in the day, there was noticeable lift, but the sun went behind clouds soon after that for the rest of the day and this seemed to affect the times. I think that Mather was first with about 30 minutes, Richmond next with close to that and Rohrbaugh next with about 28 minutes.

Richmond's best flight got up quite high but didn't do the rafter-banging that featured his wins of other years. He placed high in paper, and the ship seemed to be flying well. (Ed. Note: J1m's 20:34.8 third place would have won in '71.) J1m also took second in Cabin, with almost 22 minutes. He spent more time on this than on the others combined, but took only two flights. First he damaged the model during processing (token processing was in effect ran into difficulties several times while winding, requir-
$23: 19.0$
$21: 06.0$
$20: 34.8$
$19: 11.6$
$18: 30.6$

| 1. William Wood | 100 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Barry Pailet | 92 |
| 3. Scott Wisniewski | 82 |
| 4. Bruce Pallet | 80 |
| Senior |  |
| 1. Mark Kummerow | 130 |
| 2. Brian Webster | 105.83 |
| 3. Michael Kuehne | 103.67 |
| 4. Patrick Wood | 54.0 |
| 5. Michael Joerms | 51.33 |
| Open |  |
| 1. Frederick Stark | 146.67 |
| 2. Charles Markos | 130 |
| 3. Clarence Mather | 124.33 |
| Don Garofalow | 124.33 |
| 4. Bucky Servaites | 118.0 |
| 5. Charlie Sotich | 112.67 |

Junior

1. Tim Stone
2. Tim Noonan
3. Kurt Berg
4. John Grrilik
5. Bob Perkins
6. Gregg Miller
7. Mindi Linstrum
8. Dan Hinich
9. Scott Nisniewski
10. Gonny Linstrum
Glark
Senior
11. Tom Sova
12. Kim Mather
13. Mark Kunmerow
14. Bili Shailor
15. Greg Simon
16. Rich Jaros

Indoor Cabin
Junior: 10:47.0 10:10.0 9:56.6
9:55.0

1. Richard Whitten 2. William Wood, Jr.
2. W1111am Schlarb $3: 46$.

| 4:49.4 | 1. Jeffery Tlllou |
| :---: | :--- |
| $3: 46.2$ | 2. William Schlarb |
| 2:59.2 | 3. Scott Wisniewski |
|  | 4. Barry Pailet |
|  | 5. Kenneth Bauer |
|  |  |
| Senior |  |
| $14: 41.0$ | 1. Charles Wiese |
| $13: 19.4$ | 2. Ronala Ganser |
| $11: 13.0$ | 3. Robert Dunham II |
| $9: 01.2$ | 4. Peter Lewis |
| $9: 00.6$ | 5. Brian Pardue |

96.6 93.3
86.2 85.8 82.9
115.3
115.3
110.8
110.8
109.6
109.2
106.0

Senior

| 1. Tom Sova | $14: 41.0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Gregory Simon | $13: 19.4$ |
| 3. Michael Kuehne | $11: 13.0$ |
| 4. Ronald Ganser | $9: 01.2$ |
| 5. Robert Dunham II. | $9: 00.6$ |

Indoor HLO
Junior
Open Open

1. Bucky Servaites
2. Jim Richmond
3. Al Rohrbaugh
4. Wayne Zink
5. Charlie Sotich

22:18.8
$21: 48.6$
19:40.6 14:31.4
127.0
121.0
117.5
117.5
116.0
116.0
115.0
ing further repairs. He eventually did get off a flight after repairing some damage incurred during wind-up, but after repairing some damage incurred during wind-up, but but completely smashed the rudder and stab. I advised him to transfer tail surfaces from one of his Stick models, (this would have been relatively simple, requiring only cutting both booms at the right spot and splicing) but instead he pulled off one of his miracles and repaired it. This called for an almost $100 \%$ recovering job (from a sheet of patch film I was able to supply, and which I sheet of patch fllm I was able to supply, and which I
would have sworm didn't have that much film on it!) as well as the gluing of broken ribs and outilnes.

He got 1t off about 8:30. It struck another nodel head-on during the flight but both bounced away unscathed. It may have struck lights once or twice during all this as well, but it was hard to follow against the glare of the lighted bulbs.

The most renarkable ship there was Rohrbaugh's large ship which he took out fairly late in the day. It had a span of around $48^{\prime \prime}$ (very high aspect ratio) with a $27^{\prime \prime}$ prop that turned over at an incredible 35 RPM in the climb. He didn't get it high enough to really show its potential, but it was a slow airplane and turned in remarkably high time at low peaks. The dinedral he used looked like a tow-line format; in fact I called it a mike-covered Nordic.

What few official flights I had didn't anount to much, and were made under difficult conditions. My paper job of (cont. p4)




some vintage soomod to be in trim, but it hung and was doatroyod aftor one fairly good flight. However, a now "C that I bullt for the meet got up into the poak on an unofficial flight (launched by Richmond, who ploked the perfoct pot for a clasaic pattern) but it was the first time in. the air for the airplane. It landed deadstick and did only 24 minutes. Perhaps it would have done better with the longer loop I tried next, but the wing was just too weak and folded on the next (official) attempt.
-30-
From other correspondents ve gleaned a fow more items about the "largest Nats over" (at lesit in total ontry). Everyone gave high praise for Bob Champine's work as Inm door catogory Director, and for Ron Evans, who ran HLa. Somowhat cool air was mentioned as hampering HLC times, and drift was high during the Rubber events. To someone it seomed as if there were more hung models, and there was a narrow escape when a 11 ght came loose as it was lowered for model rotrieval.

One other item stood out in everyone's mind: the timer situation. Navy timers were not available, so volunteers supplemented a time-a-filght-fly-a-filght system. All who commented mentioned the high degree of cooperation evidenced in this operation - both indoors and out - and we can all be proud of the universally good response. It would be well to note that this type of operation will probably be typical for all future Nats, so we are off to a good start that can become a tradition.

## INDOOR SCALE REPORT

Ey Dr. John Martin
Total entry in the Indoor Scale, Peanut Scale and Navy Scale events was 61, with 29 in Indoor Scale and nearly as many in Peanut scale. New rules for Indoor Scale stated that flight points could not exceed workmanship points. Therefore, judging bacame crucial and the judging this year was very tough. Static scores (top five) were:

| 1. Tom Stark | DeHaviland 29 | 78 | $2 / 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Bob Clemens | Longster | 78 | $1 / 2$ |
| 3. Andy MacIsaac | PT-19 | 71 | $1 / 2$ |
| 4. Don Garofalow Corbin Super Ace | 71 | $1 / 3$ |  |
| 5. Fulton Hungerford Boeing 80A-1 Trimotor | 70 | $1 / 3$ |  |

As can be seen from above, the best possibie total score would be 157 1/3. Of those who flew, 10 equalled or bettered their static score, 15 did not. The average score for workmanship was 57 out of 100 possible; pretty strict! The flying was over early for the 10 who equalled their static score, and the rest posted their four official flights in quest of their best times.

A different type of ship will emerge as a result of these new rules - which were well recelved by most of the modelers. Just after the contest, it was possible to send the winning model to the Smithsonian for display! B1d goodby to the filmsy three-minute filer! (Final results elsewhere in this issue).

The winning model (DH-29 Doncaster) was large (about 28" span), blue tissue covered, strictiy scale model of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ oz. weight. It was loaded with scale detalls: shocks, external control horns and wires, realistic scale engine, windows, padded cockpit, outilned surfaces and no deviation in number of ribs, spars and amount of dihedral. The prototype was an early passenger monoplane; DeHaviland's first monoplane with cantilever wing.

Due to the scale dihedral, Tom had plenty of problems with trim despite the fact that this was his third year with the model. At one time he had $45^{\prime \prime}$ of $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ pirelil stuffed inside. It survived several hard crashes before
making $1: 08$ to win on the last flight. A beautiful model

The winning Senior model was a 1911 Cessna, modified from a Henry Struck plan and incorporating all the details of the original. The first flight ( $1: 08$ ) exceeded the static score of 65 , giving Mark Kummerow a score of 130, a tie for overall 2nd place.

Navy scale, an unofficial event, was offered as a tribute to the Navy by the Michigan Cloudbusters and as a farewell to the Nats sponsor of so many years. The severe Judging of Indoor Scale was continued in Navy Scale, where any Navy aircraft was eligible. Tom stark repeated his winning ways to take this event also. The top five:

## 1. Tom Stark 2. Dr. John Martin 3. Ed Fort Ralph Kuenz

Brewster XSB-1
Martin MO-1
Lew1s \& Vought VE-7
F4F3 Wildcat
118
104
99
82
75

The winning model, a Brewster X8B-1, an obscure and unlikely flyer, is a barrel-shaped, wid-winged and stubby airplane whioh popformed beautifuliy for Tom stark; and his flight of 59 seconds just equalied his statio score of 59 points. The model had 20 span, weighed 1 oz. and was covered with clear-doped colored jap tissue. Power was four atrands of $1 / 16^{\text {n }}$ pirelili in a loop three times the distance from rose to vear hook. The winning flight carried 1760 turns.

To this writer's thinking, Peanut Scale has got to be the most interesting and exciting indoor event. Consistency is a factor aince the score is a total of three official filghts. A ten point bonus for rise-off-ground tempte one to risk "blowing" the whole deal by letting the 13" rascal struggle off the boards into the air. This event properly balances the scale emphasis of Indoor Scale by stressing fiying and consistency. I feel that Indoor Soale should always be accompanied by Peanut Scale to balance out the program! At the Nats, Peanut is another unofilcial event sponsored by the cioudbusters. The event is still growing in popularity, with the models resembing the 1935 Megow $10 \phi$ kits. It drew almost as many entries as Indoor Scale including such "pros" as Sotich, Hardcastle, Warner, Mather, Stark, Martiet and Hungerford.

B111 Wamer won the Hannan Best Antique award with a wierd canard Sopwith SE-1 that fortunately never hit anyone during its aerial escapades. It was a mass of horizontal and vertical fore and aft planes, and it was fast! Getting these ilttle one-foot beasts to trim out is not as easy as it looks. The top ten Peanuts:

| 1. Clarence Mather Nesmith Cougar | 359 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Al Kiechoff | Nesmith Cougar | 232 |
| 3. Dr. John Martin Martin No-1 | 227 |  |
| 4. Mike Kuehne (Sr.) Beardmore Wee Bee | 215 |  |
| 5. Tom Stark | Damiler I-15 | 214 |
| 6. J1m Pulley | Waterman Racer | 174 |
| 7. Don Garofalow | Nesmith Cougar | 146 |
| 8. Charlie Sotich Volksplane | 141 |  |
| 9. Steve Ovavecz Piper Vagabond | 137 |  |
| 10. Chris Clemens (Jr.) Farman Mosquito | 133 |  |

As a warmup to his win in Indoor Stick the following day, Clarence Mather won Peanut Scale with a "bare bones" Cougar. The span was 13", single covered with microlite. Power was a $15^{\prime \prime}$ loop of $.043^{\prime \prime}$ pirelli; 1200 turns gave consistent filights of 2 minutes. The model weighed under 3 grame and was constructed of $1 / 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{sq}$. C grain 4 ib . wood throughout. "Such a model is capable of a lot more time," observed Mather. It is interesting to note that clarence ignored penalities for single-covered flying surfaces and for using microlite covering. In fact, his model had the lowest static score of the 25 models entered - a minus 13. He also disdained another 10 points by not choosing to R.O.G. on any flight. The proof that he knew what he was doing was that he won the event, on flying time alone, by a healthy 127 point margin! Some postevent muttering produced a most helpful suggestion: All peanuts should be double-covered with Jap tissue, period. To this correspondent, an excellent suggestion! Any Peanut structure supporting two layers of Jap tissue would end up in the 4 to 5 gram class without the need for a weight rule.

Charlie Sotich's super-1ight Volksplane met disaster when his pirelil hung up on the internal tungsten bracing on flight \#2. The fuselage of the .076 oz . ( 2.2 g ) model was demolished while extricating the rubber.

The Farman Mosquito by Chris Clemens won Junior Peanut while powered with an $18^{11} 100 \mathrm{p}$ of .070 pirelli. The model was double-covered with condenser paper and was scaled down from the Lew Gitlow design.

Some concluding observations: The atmosphere of this scale contest was electric! At the conclusion of HLG (which can be described as hectic) an appreciative core of spectators remained. As I recall, Mr. Neiderhauser put up a flight with his Douglas M-2 "Globe Girdier" biplane that was as esthetic an experience as watching paviova do a ballet pas-de-deaux. When the craft landed and appreciative gallery applauded the flight in salute! This was a stirring moment. Succeeding flights were greeted with applause from those who knew what was transpiring. This applause incere appreciation for effort touched this reporter's sincere appreciation for effort touched this
sensibilities! come and spectate next year!

Also, the new rules (flying time cannot exceed workmanship points) have accomplished something. Pilatus Porters and Cessna 1911 !e did not dominate the event as in past years. Of the 12 models on top in overall score (including two ties) only two Cessnas and one Porter were placed. Next year's scal model will be a lot more ilke a real aircraft - ribs, rigging, etc. (and probably heavier). Hopefully, Peanut Scale will remain the joy ous giggle that balances the serious efforts of the Indoor scale modeler.
****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****

## Now Members!

JIM RICE, 632 NW 57 Ct., M1am1, Fla. 33126

## Nats Report - Thanixs!

The July issue carried a plea for help in reporting the " 72 Indoor Nats. The response was terriric-lacking only details of HLG - and came out so well that this may well become a regular thing. Five people sent photos - a total of over 30 to choose from - with excellent choice of subject and good captions. Your editor has always had a "conflict of interest" in reporting the Nats - either he was flying or CD'ing - neither calculated to make for an efificient and complete report. Not only did those who sent reports and pix make this issue possible, they did so well that a group effort is obviously much preferred:

## Nats Indoor Champion

One item of interest was omitted from the many reports received - who was Indoor Champ? It is possible to piece together an interesting picture of very ciose performance, without actually discovering who the winner was.

To understand the above, we need background. First, Championship rules require that aspiring Champs submit an entry naming which events they wish to be scored in, and this entry can comprise no more than half the number of events in the category (fractions rounded to next larger integer). For Indoor Champ, five events (Stick, Paper, HLG, Cabin and Scale) are official, making $5 / 2$ events (round to three) to be counted. Points are awarded as \% of the winning score. The performance of four possible contenders are summarized below:

|  | Stick | Cabin | Paper | HLG | Scale |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Richmond | 96 | 97.7 | 88 | 0 | 0 |
| Mather | 100 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 85 |
| Caililau | 02 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 0 |
| Servaites | 0 | 100 | 0 | 91.3 | 80 |

The question mark by Cailliau's name in Stick reflects that Larry might have entered Stick and placed below the information avajlable. The other three fliers are shown with scores in just three events apiece. If they each entered for Champ and declared the events shown, Champs scores are: Richmond - 281.7; Servaites - 271.3; Mather 267. Cailliau would have needed 86.7 points in Stick, but 5 th (Graunke, flying a slithery-Dee) was 80 points. So, depending upon who entered what, and what was declared for Champs points, the Indoor Champion was either Richmond (again), Servaites or Mather.
(After computing all the above, curiousity overwhelmed me enough to prompt a phone call to pin down the Champion it was Jim Richmond - but by a very narrow margin as shown above. That makes three times - congratulations, Jiml

## FAI INDOOR REPORT

## Team Departure

Shortly after you receive this issue, the U.S. Indoor Team (Bud Romak, Pete Andrews and Sal Cannizzo; with Bud Tenny as manager) will be enroute to the 1972 Indoor World Championships at Cardington, England. Thirteen countries are reported to have entered the contest, which will be flown Aug. 26-27, 1972. The U.S. Team will leave Aug. 20, expecting to be set up for possible needed repairs by aug. 22. Official test flying will begin Aug. 25, with the contest beginning early the next day. Wish us luck!

## Other European Teams

The July ' 72 INAV listed team members for Czechoslovakia, Italy, Hungary, Poland and Romania. Of these, only Hungary will not be attending the WCh; it was not then and still is not possible for me to tell which other countries make up the 13 entries reported. Since that issue, both England and France have reported on their team members:

> England Laurle Barr John Blount Martin Shepherd *Reg Parham $\begin{array}{ll}\text { England } & \text { France } \\ \text { Laurie Barr } & \text { Guy Cognet }\end{array}$

Guy Cognet
Jean-Claude Souveton
*Mrs. Souveton
*Managers (France had a third member, a Mr. Meritte, who had to resign. A report is available of the British Finals, which wili be presented in a later issue. Top times were over 33 minutes in Cardington. French times were around 30 minutes in a 38 m hali near Paris.

## RECORDS? MAYBE!

Besides the records listed below, I received a photo of an A ROG model which "eet a Junior record during the Nats." No other mention was made, either of who the filer was or the time involved. Also, a hand-written note on my copy of the official results indicated that Mather's 30 minute flight was a record. If he had been flying an FAI model (Janke's comments seem to preclude this) it could have been a AMMA Cat. II FAI record.

TULSA GLUE DOBBERS RECORD TRIALS, July 16,1972 , Cat. I
John Mabee Gym, Univ. of Tulsa, 34' $\mathrm{i}^{\prime \prime}$ celiing.
Open FAI Cat. II FAI - 17:53, John Eng11sh
Senior Cat. I Paper Stick - $11: 58$, Robert Dunham II
1972 INDOOR NATS - July 25, 1972 - Cat. II ( $90^{\prime}$ ceiling) Brig. Gen. R. J. Jones Armory, Chicago.
Senior Paper silck - 19:34.2, Tom Sova

## THE PICTURE STORY

The captions bolow are for the pix on pages $2 \& 3$; numbers in parentheses after the caption key the source of the pictures according to this code:
(1) U.s. Navy; (2) Ron Plotzke); (3) Dave Linstrum; (4) Bob Clemens processed photos by Chris Clemens; (5) Gilbert Graunke.

## Page 2 (vertical columns)

Left top: Overview of the indoor site during HLG (1)
\#2 left: Bob Champine measures span of Charlie Sotich's Paper Stick (1)
\#3 left: Richard Doig, member Detroit Balsa Bugs (2)
Bottom left: Fulton Hungerford, Curtis Pusher Peanut (3)
Top center: Jim Richmond \& Paper Tiger (2)
\#2 center: Al Rohrbaugh'e high A/R "300" (4)
\#3 center: Raih Kuenz (1) and George Lewis examine "Golden Peanut" trophy (3)
\#4 center: Paul Simon (1) helps son Greg prepare to fly Greg's cabin model (2)

Bottom center: Clerence Mather and Bipe (1)
Top right: Charlie Sotich's Volksplane (4)
\#2 right: Jenny Linstrum and PennyPlane (3)
\#3 right: Unidentified flier with variable-camber HiG (3)
\#4 right: Rohrbaugh (1) and Wayne Zink wind Rohrbaugh's cabin model (2)

Bottom right: Dennis Jaecks and top Penny Plane; 8" wing chord! (2)

## Page 3 (horizontal rows)

Row 1 left: Members of Bong Eagles on HLG day (5)
Row 1 right: Bill Shailor and Faper Stick (1)
Row 2 left: Jim Richmond (1) and Curtis Janke (5)
Row 2 center: Grady Turner, Longview, Texas (3)
Row 2 right: Mike Thompson, Lorain, Ohio (3)
Row 3 left: Kim Mather and Dad Clarence with Kim's first microfilm modal (1)
Row 3 right: Dennls Jaecks and Bill Bigge ( $r$ ) in left foreground, Curtis Janke (1) and Ron Evans beside unidentified young lady (5)

Row 4 left: Wayne Zink holds cabin model for winding (3)
Row 4 center: Dick Hardcastle catches Indoor Stick (2)
Row 4 right: Indoor Scale judges at work (3)

# NEWS and VIEWS Editor: Bud Tenny • Box 545 • Richardson, Texas• 75080 

| 1. Pete Andrews | U. S. A. | 32:20 | 36:12 | 10:14 | 27:45 | 30:38 | 34:57 | 71:09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Karol Rybecky | Czechoslovakia | 32:37 | 33:29 |  | 35:41 | 15:53 | 33:54 | 69:35 |
| 3. J. Jirasky | Czechoslovakia | 29:30 | 32:37 | 36:12 | 0:13 | 31:39 | 29:36 | 63:49 |
| 4. Jiri Kalina | Czechoslovakia | 29:48 | 22:35 | 14:32 | 13:00 | 30:24 | 38:18 | 68:42 |
| 5. Sal Cannizzo | U. S. A. | 29:06 | 34:02 | 30:50 | 30:21 | 34:08 | 32:58 | 68:10 |
| $6 . ~ A u r e l ~ P o p a ~$ | Romania | 33:31 | 4:20 | 9:28 | 32:02 | 24:45 | 6:12 | 65:33 |
| 7. Bud Romak | U.S.A. | 26:57 | 25:22 | 11:51 | 9:27 | 29:05 | 36:06 | 65:09 |
| 8. John Blount | England | 31:18 | 14:18 | 25:16 | 29:51 | 28:00 | 32:52 | 64:10 |
| 9. Stefan Bombol | Poland | 25:50 | 26:43 | 32:02 | 30:15 | 29:11 | 13:02 | 62:17 |
| 10. Adalberto Frioli | Italy | 22:41 | 30:25 | 31:29 | 6:51 | 0:06 | 27:02 | 61:54 |
| 11. Teodor Strasberger | Yugoslavia | 26:22 | 32:23 | 14:40 | 23:58 | 28:52 | 29:28 | 61:51 |
| 12. Otto Hints | Romania | 27:48 | 8:36 | 27:22 | 4:20 | 29:47 | 31:33 | 61:20 |
| 13. Vilim Kmoch | Yugoslavia | 26:05 | 30:09 | 0:23 | 24:44 | 23:06 | 29:53 | 60:02 |
| 14. Pentti Nore | Finland | 26:33 | 27:55 | 22:56 | 29:04 | 30:01 | 28:25 | 59:05 |
| 15. Vasile Nicoara | Romania | 28:56 | 4:56 | 12:09 | 17:01 | 29:42 | 19:19 | 58:38 |
| 16. Leopold Gabriel | Yugoslavia | 28:52 | 29:23 | 28:13 | 23:05 | 27:47 | 0:25 | 58:15 |
| 17. Carlo Cotugno | Italy | 24:51 | 27:53 | 27:02 | 19:40 | 26:31 | 30:18 | 58:11 |
| 18. Boyd Felstead | Australia | 28:48 | 28:52 | 0:07 | 27:07 | 23:24 | 0:06 | 57:40 |
| 19. Martin Shepherd | England | 23:31 | 28:03 | 3:54 | 28:49 | 21:38 | 0:06 | 56:52 |
| 20. Kurt Vogler | Germany | 26:50 | 0:26 | 25:10 | 29:22 | 26:25 | 7:51 | 56:12 |
| 21. J. C. Souveton | France | 23:16 | 29:13 | 26:28 | 13:53 | 24:04 | 23:09 | 55:41 |
| 22. Sylwester Kujawa | Poland | 26:46 | 26:36 | 14:54 | 24:44 | 27:33 | 9:12 | 54:07 |
| 23. Ryszarol Czechowski | Poland | 24:35 | 25:17 | 25:15 | 0:17 | 17:46 | 25:16 | 51:32 |
| 24. Harro Erofejeff | Finland | 20:43 | 23:56 | 25:53 | 24:59 | 10:28 | - | 50:52 |
| 25. Guy Cognet | France | 19:30 | 24:42 | 24:41 | 26:52 | 17:38 | 0:21 | 49:34 |
| 26. Harri Raulio | Finland | 23:05 | 23:08 | 20:56 | 24:24 | 23:58 | 17:08 | 48:22 |
| 27. Germano Masciulio | Italy | 23:54 | 23:55 | 20:45 | 23:03 | 13:09 | 16:28 | 47:49 |
| 28. Laurie Barr | England | 0:09 | 25:51 | 17:11 | 15:55 | 18:23 | 20:10 | 46:01 |
| 29. Horst Tlemann | Germany | 20:54 | 20:35 | 19:02 | 20:10 | 21:39 | 23:26 | 45:05 |
| 30. Mike Thomas | Canada | 17:19 | 18:12 | 16:11 | 13:14 | 13:27 | 19:34 | 38:01 |
| 31. Herbert Langner | Germany | 15:20 | 19:03 | 17:28 | 18:15 | 0:12 | 17:15 | 37:18 |
| 32. S. Nonaka | Japan | 0:12 | 13:42 | 19:00 | 9:55 | 0:15 | 10:16 | 32:42 |
| 33. W. H. Beekmeyer | Holland | 6:53 | 9:46 | 7:15 | 3:30 | 13:23 | 19:02 | 32:25 |
| 34. Cornelis wolthoorn | Holland | 6:55 | 5:36 | 8:13 | 6:03 | 13:25 | 18:49 | 32:14 |
| TEAM PLACINGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Czechoslovakia |  | 207:06 | 8. Finland |  |  | 158:19 |  |  |
| 2.U. | - A . | 204:28 | 9. Germany |  |  | 138:35 |  |  |
| 3. Roma | nia | 185:31 | 10. France (2 men) |  |  | 105:15 |  |  |
| 4. Yugo | slavia | 180:08 | 11. Holland (2 men) |  |  | 64:39 |  |  |
| 5. Pola |  | 157:56 | 12. Australia (1 man) 57:40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Ital |  | 167:54 | 13. Canada (1 man) |  |  | 38:01 |  |  |
| 7. Engl | and | 167:03 | 14. Japan (1 man) |  |  | 32:42 |  |  |

## 1972 IMDCOR WORLD CHAMPS

Although the trip to a WCh really begins when a man wins tie Finals, the proof of all the planning comes as the actual journey begins. Our team achieved a first models completely undamaged during the trip across. Special boxes and handiling precautions helped prevent the damage wilch usually happens, as all air carriers allowed us to carry the models to the plane and sometimes to load the boxes ourselves.

Pete Andrews and Sal Cannizzo had boxes patterned on the one used by Eduard Chlubny in 1970. These boxes had three sections hinged together at the back, with wings in both side sections and fuselages in the center. This allows access to any single model without disturbing others. Eud Romak's boxes were renovated 90 cm boxes, with plexiglas replacing one side panel. This feature allowed both Customs and alrline officials to see why we needed special handing, and eased our way tremendously. In addition, all the boxes were covered with foarn rubber for a cushion effect (Romak's foam was removable over the plexiglas).

> With no repairing needed, we had plenty of time to snop and sight-see. We had planned to arrive early so we could "cnange over" to European time, so we all were well rested by the time the contest started. Neanwhile, we visited London via train and subway no way would we drive back into London traffic until we had tol for shopping and sientseeing. ife drove to nearby Bedford to catch the train, and found Bedford to be a nice place to visit also. Three trips into Bedford served to accilmatize us to the unnerving sensation of driving on the wrong side of the
road while shifting gears with the left hand instead of the right! Narrow country roads added (or subtracted) a dimension to the experience - meeting a bus or truck seemed to leave no room for us: After numerous trips between Cranfield and Bedford, and Cranfielà and Caraington, Sal Cannizzo was declared the champion Ariver and he won the privilege (?) of making the return trip to London's Heathrow Alrport.

Thanks to the arrangements by Laurie Barr and Ron Moulton, we were sllowed to settle in at Cranfield Institute as soon as we arrived. The extra charge for room and board was less expensive than a London hotel would have been, while the staff and accomodations at Cranfiela made us feel rignt at nome. It hardly seens possible for any accomodations for wandering modelers to be better than tnese, and the bountiful supply of food was graciously served. The evening we arrived, we knew we were entering an aviation environment when we saw student elider pilots being towed and released on practice filgits, even in the twilight hours. A beautiful sight:

Fourteen countries entered the 1572 wCh, with Czechoslovakia, England, Finland, Germany, Italy, Poland, Ronania, U. S. A. and Yugoslavia fieldine full teans. France and Holland entered two-man teams, while one flier cane from Canada, anotier from Japan and Boyd Felstead sent a proxy entry from Australia. This made 34 entrants, ranging from seasoned teams to relatively inexperienced fliers who had never flown in a hangar and in some cases had not built models larger than kasy $B$ before preparing for this event.



One fact stands out regarding the results: extensive xperience in international competition $1 s$ important fraining. The placings through 7th place directly reflect each team's experience in international competition, with the exception of the U.S. The Americans have extensive competition experience, including WCh experience for Romak and Andrews (Cannizzo has flown Wakefield in two WCh's) and all survived tough competition in the Finals. It has long been axiomatic that constant practice, preferably in competition, is essential to prove the combination of man plus indoor model, and this certainly held true in this contest. I am not so naive as to assert that practice is a cure-all; the point is that practice as a team or as an individual in hard competition is an absolute must for the finely-honed performance now required to win an Indoor Championship.

Test flying sessions were relaxed get-togethers which gave advance warning of what the competition might be, and zenerally had better flying conditions than the contest itself. Not too many notes were made about test results, except that no one missed hearing about the 41:05 test by Pete andrews. No one knows how many people timed Pete's first official flight, but there were many:

The contest was organized to minimize the number of models airborne at one time. Each flier had to post three flights each day, and no team was permitted to have more than one model up at once. The 10 am to 6 pm official flying schedule tended to cramp three-man teams somewhat; simple arithmetic showed us that the first flight must be up by 11:15 if we were to have time for all the filghts. In fact, once we began taking official flights, we had a timing crew assigned to our team almost all the time. The time crunch figured this way: five minutes minimum to take the model from the team's area to processing and out onto the floor; five minutes to wind and launch, 30 minutes or more (hopefully) to fly, and about three minutes to clear the watches, record the time and get a new timing crew. With nearly seven hours out of eight taken with active tean flying, only spectators had time to study the scoreboard in detail to keep track of the team standings from hour to hour. This differed from a strict rounds system, where it is possible to review standing at the end of each round and adjust strategy if needed.

The results listed above show final team standings. At the end of the first day, the three-man teams were in this order: Czechoslovakia, U.S.A., Yugoslavia, Italy, Foland, England, Finland, Romania and Germany, From the results and from having watched the flying, it seems likeiy that any one of the $U$. S. or Czech fliers could have won the whole thing, and the other five might have filled in the next five places in any order. Cool air and drift kept the inversion layer so high that anyone who made it tinrough the inversion almost certainly hit the top. Luck in rafterbanging had a large part in determining the final scores, and only one flier seemed to have an edge. Pete Andrews seemed to have slightly better altitude control so that his models hit later and easier. It was a formidable task to hit that narrow layer, and Pete seemed to have the key.

Three of the four newcomers to international competi-tion-Holland, Canada and japan (Australia was a new en trant, but the nodels were flown by highly experienced fliers) did very well considering their lack of experience anc lack of places to fly. Mike Thomas of Canada was a volunteer who woried hard to improve his models, with some helpful hints from the nearby czech team. Indoor has been sporadic in Canada, and hopefuliy Mike's efforts will be rewarded by support and encouragement from his countrymen.

Correlis jolthoorn and W. H. Beekmeyer represented iclland. Beginning four weeks before the WCh, they built the first 65 cm models ever built in Holland. All through the contest they were learning and improving their nodels, even building new motor sticks and wings. Their reward was a final score double that of their first day's time a fine achievement! They also vowed to return to cardingtor whenever possible to continue learning.
S. Nonaka, of Japan, had other problems. Part of his jourrey to the WCh was on a Russian airline with severe lugeage restrictions. So, his models arrived in a box about $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime} \times 23^{\prime \prime}$. Inside were two complete motor sticks with tail surfaces removed, two props and one braced wing. He built at least one more wing at the meet and braced it with silx. Except for the auditoriums built for the Japan Clymples (which have not been avallable for Indoor), sites are almost non-existant in Japan. It will be interesting to watch this nation of craftsmen build on what ir. Nonaka has learned!

Germany's low showing was disheartening to them, but it was a triumph of hard work and good leadership. Only Kurt Vogler had much previous indoor experience, and he had recently recovered from severe illness. Tlemann and Langner were absolute beginners, flying the first indoor models they had ever built. All the German models were small (narrow cnords) and Tiemann and Langner had models weighing 1.3 and 1.4 grams respectively. However, these woighing models cimbed high, flying cleanly, and were very well
adjusted. Gunter Maibaum had done his coaching well, and can be proud of the results.

Germany is another country without adequate sites Westfallenhalle in Dortmund is drafty and available only at odd times on short notice. It is heavily booked by both industry and ontertainment users, and permission for a flying session may be forthcoming with only a day's warning. Gunter remarked "It is ironic that the Land of

The most exciting part of the contest (predictably) came in the afternoon of the second day. The Czech's lead was not insurmountable, and Romak and Kalina nad almost exactly parallel troubles. Both had only average times on flights $1 \& 2$, hangups on $3 \& 4$, and the need to safely to their credit that their strategy thus included team standings instead of personal glory. It became a very close battle, and very exciting for everyone.

The pressure on the czech team really built up during Sal's last flight. He had boomed it up - to the top in just over three minutes. It should have hung up any number of times, as it spent about tiree minutes above part of the ironwork. As soon as it was clearly out of danger, most people counted it as a 38 minute flight. A patch of 40 feet just below the inversion layer cost a loss of over 40 feet of altitude - a rapid sink-before good air was available again. The damage was done in spite of a very slow-settling cruise, and it landed at $32: 58$. Kalina and Fomak had the last of the good air for their flights, and Pete put up a beautiful flight that got all there was to be had. He gently rafterbanged for a long time, then came down slowly with the third highest single filght of the contest.

Only Rybecky's flight was left as Pete's flight came down - he had launched about 10 minutes after Fete. It went high enough, and slightly to the side, banging a few times. He had a balloon up, and while talking to Josef Gabris (Czech team manager), the model ran into the string He almost aborted the flight trying to move clear, and the model lost altitude. He landed to applause, but short of the time needed to win. It was all over then, and all the competitors converged on Pete Andrews to congratulate the new World Champion.

Boyd Felstead's four models, which survived the air freight trip from Austraila undamaged, were well made and quite flyabie. In fact, these models were a marvelous job for someone whose last serious indoor work was 20 years ago! Boyd has been a regular INAV subscriber, and has avidly corresponded with many fliers over the years. As he determined to enter models in this whe he sought advice from everyone until he almost had no time left to build. Erv Rodemsky (appointed Aussie team manazer) and Manny Radoff, who cooperated in flying Boyd's models, finally had to write Boyd "Shut up and build!" He did, and Erv and Manny obviously enjoyed themselves in flying these models to the ilmit. On the final two flights, they had worked up to nearly two grams of rubber, and a full windup on the 6 th flight was too much. The model moved out fast for about six feet, then the wings collapsed and wrapped around the fuselage. The model then dropped to the floor, shed the tail boom, and tried to fly like a helicopter:

Just as at any other contest, the after-hours activity included bull sessions and more serious discussions. In many cases, the discussions went on - with or without an interpretor - and sometimes strugbiling along on pieces of two languages. A lot of the discussion deait with where the next WCh might be held, and what known sites might be both suitable and available. Even those qualifications are not enough - the country owning the suitable, available site must be willine to host the meet! illt that qualification in the picture, the outlook is gloomy. The Indoor Championship activity has grown enough that very few countries can afford to host an event which would take several days to run off in sites smaller than a blimp hangar.

Another topic which was discussed was future performance of the one gram model, and the related topic of flight tactics. Gunter Maibaum predicted that the next major advance in model performance would come from prop design improvements, perhaps aided by small refinements in airframe design. He agreed with a prediction that 5 years of experience with one gram models would probably result in a 50 minute filght, given good conditions in a hangar. An important future strategy will be understarding hall meteorology, coupled with belloon-carried instruments to determine conditions aloft. Conditions to be monitored include altitude of the inversion layer, drift conditions, location and strength of possible thermals, and location of downdrafts. It is possible that future issues of INAV will have reports on such instrumentation and how it can be used to plan flight strategy.

In spite of the wide diversity of designs at the U. S. Finals, King Monoplane reigned supreme at the WCh. Also, very few models exceeded $8^{\text {in }}$ chord, and only a few squarish wing tips were in evidence. Even though the latest theory dictates a rectangular planform like those used in the

Finals by Richmond, Randolph and Radoff, apparently the structural efficiency considerations encouraged non-rectangular planforms. Dihedral ribs equal in length to root ribs must be very strong when wings are wide! Sal Cannizzo's parallel-chord-circular-tip planform, popularized by Richmond ('67-170) performed excellently and may be the best wing area/structure compromise. The major consideration on wing shape appears to be gaining maximum wing area while holding wing distortion to a minimum under high loading and poor conditions. Sal's last flight is a case in point - the wing held flat even though the model was fully wound. In fact, the braced stab assumed an "S" shape - left tip down, right tip up - and Sal's only comment was, "That's reaily wound!"

Motor sticks up to $15 \frac{1}{2 \prime \prime}$ long were noticed, while props varied between $17^{\prime \prime}$ and $20^{\prime \prime}$. Dihedral patterns were almost evenly spaced between polyhedral and tip dihedral. Mike Thomas, of Canada, had " $V$ " dihedral and extra long wing posts - the lone dissenter. Stab area ranged from about $35 \%$ to about $50 \%$, but $1 t$ would take sophisticated measurements to reveal if there was a difference in performance.

Some very nice flight accessories were noticed - such as scales, torquemeters and rubber strippers. In particular, the Finns had a hand-held torquemeter with the scale on concentric drums, and the Poles had torquemeters which were gimballed in two axes so the torquemeter would align itself properly during the winding. Another torquemeter setup used by several fliers had provisions to mount the winder opposite the torquemeter so the distance between hooks was the same as on the model. After winding the motor, the winder would be locked into its holder and the torque could be checied. If "s" hooks or "O" rings are used on both ends of the motor, it is then possible to hook the motor directly to the model with a known torque level wound into it.

The Jury, Sandy Pimenoff of Finland, Jean Ganier of France, and Ron Moulton of England, did an excellent job. cne of their hardest decisions involved Romak's third flight. The model had been cruising very close to a main longitudinal stringer of the hangar, when it appeared briefly tangled with a model seemingly out of nowhere. The models separated, and Bud's model flew about 15 feet into a dangling sandbag in the top center of the hangar where 1 t hung. Was this a collision - eligible for another flight - or equivalent to contact with any other obstruction? The final decision, after careful deliberation, was that the filght must stand with the time accumulated at the time it hit the sandbag.

A final word of thanks: The Soclety of Model Aeronautical Engineers created a superb contest which was a memorable experience. All arrancements and planning were well executed, and the facilities were excellent. Well done:

## THE PICTURE STORY

All the photos on p. $2 \& p .3$ were taken by Bud Tenny and Erv Rodemsky (Rodemsky photos 1dentified by (R)). The pictures are identified below in horizontal rows:

## Fage 2 - Row 1 The U. S. Team

1. Bud Romak with $36: 06$ model. (R)
2. Sal Cannizzo preparing for test hop.
3. Pete Andrews with 36:12 model. (R)

## Row 2 England

1. John Blount with Res Parham in background
2. Keith Bullock assists Laurie Barr; Laurie's son Arthur in background.
3. Martin Shepherd (England), youngest WCh entrant and youngest flier to nake $30+$ with one gram model.

## Row 3

1. Manny Radoff displays Felstead's geodetic indoor model - very strong, silghtly heavier.
2. Lrv Rodemsky (Aussie Team Manager) and his bag of cameras - rumored to contain 15 cameras and 100 rolls of film!
3. The German Team - (1. to r.) Herbert Langner, Kurt Vogler and Horst Tiemann. (R)

Row 4 The Czech Team

1. Karol Rybecky, second place individual. (R)
2. Jiri Kalina with model like the one used for 38:18.
3. J. Jirasky, third place individual. (R)

Row 5 The Romanian Team

1. Ctto Hints unpacking models during test session.
2. Nicoara Vasile with otto Hints in background.
3. Aurel Yopa, second youngest flier and highest placing flier from Romania.
rage 3 - Row 1
4. J. C. Souveton of France.
5. Guy Cognet of France.

## Row 2 The Italian Team

1. Very unusual model by Carlo Cutgno of Italy. (R)
2. Germano Masciullo winds Cotugno's model. (R)
3. Italian Team; (1. to r.) Cotugno, A. Frioli and Mas-
ciullo, with Fernando Migani, alos of Italy. (R)

## Row 3

1. S. Nonaka of Japan. (R)
2. Harro Erofejeff winds for team mate Harri Raullo.
3. Cornelis Wolthoorn propares model for test flight; one of first 65 cm models built in Holland.

## Row 4 The Polish Team

1. Sylvester Kujawa returns from test flight.
2. Ryszarol Czechowski, master machinist.
3. Stefan Bombol making a flight.

Row 5

1. Vilim Kmoch (Yusoslovia). (R)
2. Teodor Strasberger of Yugoslavia.
3. Peter Freebrey, Technical Secretary of S.M.A.E., calibrates the processing scales. ( $R$ )
****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SCCIETY****
New Members:
RICHARD FOX*, 372 Oxford Ave., Akron, OH 44310
*Richard is contact man for the CYO Model Plane Group, of the same address.

## Honorary Members

PIOTR S. BCMBCL, Wroclaw 12 , ul Spotolzeilczol 44 m 4 , CARLO COTUGNO V1a Eduardo Foland
RYSZAROL CZECH Via Eduardo Arbib n. 22, 00159 Roma, Italy SYLWESTER KUJAWA Poznom, ul Swierczewskiego iq 14 , Poland SYLWESTER KUJAWA Poznom, ul Swierczewskiego 114Bmi5, HERBERT LANGNER, 48 Bielefeld, Alfred-Bozistr 14 , Gernany GUNTER MAIBAUN, 5 Koeln 60, Garthestr 3, Germany PENTTI NORE, Korsholmantie' 6 A1, 00700 Helsinki 7 C , Finland HARRI RAULIO, Ulvilantie 15 A io, Helsinki, Finland KAROL RYBECKY, Brátíslavia, Durgalova 4, Czechoslovakia HORST TIENANN, 48 Blelefeld, M1erfeld 45A, Germany KURT VOGLER, 42 Oberhausen, Schmiedstr 62, Gernany

Change of Address
STEPHEN J. FAUBLE, RR\#3, Nacomb, IL 61455
BOB GIBBS, P. O. Box 273 , San Ramon, CA 94583

## RECORDS? MAYBE:

INDOOR RECCRD TRIALS, July 23, 1972, Cat. III
Santa Ana MCAF, Los Angeles, Cai.
Open Faper Stick - 27:30, Bob Randolph
INDOCR RECORD TRIALS, Aug. 13, 1972, Cat. III Santa Ana iVCAF, Los Angeles, Cai.
Open Indoor Cabin - 30:00, Bob Randolph
TULSA GLUE DCBBERS RECORD TRIALS, AüE. 26, 1972 Cat. I John Mabee Gym, Univ. of Tulsa, Okla. 34' 111 ceiling Open Paper Stick - 15:23, Stan Chilton

## CONTEST CALENDAR

CALIFORNIA - Santa Ana
Record Trials on Oct. 15 and Nov. 12, 1972. Contact Bob Randolph, 25145 Lawton Ave., Lona Linda, CA 92354.

TEXAS - Ft. Worth/Dallas
Indoor contest at American A1rlines Hangar, GSW Airport, Ft. Worth, oct. 1, 1972, 1 pm to 6 pm . HLG, PennyPlane, Easy B, Indoor Stick challenge. Contact Bud Tenny, Box 545, Richardson, TX 75080 about two days in advarce to be sure of site availability. Dual award system in HLG.

## EAI INDOOR REPORT

Erv Rodemsky has been appointed as Program Chairman (not Team Selection Chairman) and nas circulated a letter to his nominees for Indoor Program Cominittee members. His appointment was latis and time is short, so he is faced by the need to develop a program inmediately so it can be approved and announced to begin on Jan. 1, 1972. He is seeking nominations for Team Selection Chairinan, and is trying to locate a "suitable" site within 600 miles of Kansas City, Mo. as spelled out in the AMA poll taken last fall after the Finals. Fallure to locate such a site will automatically "decicte" that a split Finals (Santa Ana and Lakehurst) will again be used. The fallacy of such a poll is that neither site may be available (at least availability cannot be guarariteed far in advance without renting the intended sites).

# NEWS and VIEWS 

# Editor: Bud Tenny • Box 545• Richardson, Texas• 75030 

****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****
Now Members!
JEFF ANNIS, MTU, Wadsworth Hall, Rm. 309E, Houghton MI VICTOR LARSEN, Rt. \#3, Roanoke, TX 76262 B. H. PETTIT, c/o CDC, 23815 NW Highway, Southfield, MI 48075
DAVID A. ROSENZWEIG, 73 Griffin Rd., Framingham, MA 01701 GREGORY SIMON, 24917 Cubberness, St. Clair Shores, MI

48080

## Change of Address

Last month it was announced that Bob Gibbs' new address was Box 273. San Ramon, CA 94583. He will still receive mall at that address, but also at his new home at 161 Larkwood (same zip, etc.)

Any NIMAS member who moves can notify his friends of the new address via INAV, provided he requests this listing. It will not be done unless requested when you let us know of the new address. Of course, if you don't let us know, and they are returned here, future issues are stacked up waiting for word where to send them. Therefore, it is important to check to see if this has happened when you are more than three weeks behind on an issue.

## Renewal Notice

Most of the NIMAS members are now carried on addressograph plates (only those who have moved recently or have joined in the last few months are not). In the upper left hand corner of the address block there is a two-digit number denoting the month that subscription expires. For example, "O2" would mean February, while those which expire this month will have "10" in the corner.

It is extremely helpful, from both a time standpoint and from an operational standpoint if members renew automatically before the last issue on that subscription. This is especially helpful during the winter months, when large numbers of subscriptions expire. For example, in January, over 50 subscriptions expire. It takes over an hour to prepare notices for that many - which means a later bedtime for me! So, if those who have 11 in their address bloci will renew shortly after receiving this issue, it will be a great help:

## Indoor Construction Techniques - Help:

About 18 months ago a column was started on specialized indoor construction techniques; this was as a result of several reader requests for such a topic. Several topics were volunteered for the series, but not all those who agreed to write for the series managed to do so and the effort sort of died. So, this is a request for more inputs; if you have a different or possibly unique way to solve any of the construction problems of indoor models, why don't you share them? A simple sketch of whatever apparatus or technique you use, coupled with a word description, is all it takes. It would be helpful if the sketch can be ink or high-contrast pencil, but I can usually manage to redraw the sketches sooner or later. The important thing is that the information gets out - we have too many talented and inventive people to let the hobby stagnate for lack of communication:

## This Issue

This issue was indirectly delayed by six successive Saturdays of overtime, and directiy by a recent 16 hour work day. Besides that, I decided that a new HLG would be flown last weekend regardless of the state of INAV; it was, and I had fun. Model output from the Tenny shop has been at a low ebb; counting that HLG, Easy '72 and a now Fennyplane, four models have happened around here since the 169 Team Selection Program. One editor of the excellent newsletter "Splatter" once decreed that no issue would be started without some building between issues. It cortainly would be more fun that way - but I still hope to restore the publication date to about the 10 th to 15 th of each month.

## FAI INDOOR_REPORT

## No World Record

The July ' 72 INAV announced a Russian Cat. I record application for al flight of $1:: 58: 28$, noting that "considerable skepticism" was directed toward the application. According to Ron Moulton, the dossier supporting the claim was not filed within the time limit; further, his conversations with Russian fliers at the C/L WCh revealed that it was probably a practical joke!

## FAI Rebuttal

The June ' 72 INAV contained a defense of one gram FAI models by Erv Rociemsky. Shortly thereafter, Manny Radoff offered a rebuttal which never made it into INAV because of its length. The same thing was printed in full on page 8 of the July Competition News. Anyone wishing to read his remarks who has no access to $C N$ may request a copy and furnish a stamped, self-addressed envelope.

Much of the rhetoric associated with the one gram rule has been emotional in nature, and the concept is much less popular now than before its adoption. One may conclude that at least two of the original objectives of the one gram rule have been fully successful: FAI Indoor has been made more popular, and the models are easier to transport. In fact, it is ironical that the event is now so popuiar that it may be difficult to find host countries willing and able to sponsor the next WCh!

## US Team to Romanias

Erv Rodemsky and Bud Romak hope to enter the international meet in the salt mine at Slanic, Romania next May. They would like to have another filer to go witn them, so there can be a full team from the United States. Contact Erv at 1624 Saint David Dr., Janville, CA 94526, ph. 415-837-3314; or Bud Romak, 85 Sullivan Dr., Horaga, CA 94556 ph. 415-376-4624.

## CONTEST CALENDAR

CALIFORNIA - Santa Ana
Record Trials on Nov. 12, 1972. Contact Eob Randolpin, 25145 Lawton Ave., Loma Linda, CA 92354.

FLORIDA - Miami
Indoor contests jointly sponsored by miani Indoor Aircraft Model Association and the Dade County Fark and Recreation Department on Nov. 12, Dec. 10, 1972 and jan. 14, Feb. 11, Mar. 18, apr. 15 and May 20, 1973. The site $1 s$ the Youth Fair Exhibit Builaing, $25^{\prime}$ ceiline with floor $120^{\prime} \times 235^{\prime}$, locsted at SW 107 Ave. \& Coral way, Miani. Contact Dr. 'John Martin, 3327 Darwiil St., Miami, FL $331: 33$ for more details.

NEW JERSEY - Union
Indoor flying sessions Nov. 9, Dec. 14, 1972 and Jan. 11, Feb. 8 and Mar. 8, 1973, at Livingston School, Union, NJ, 7 pm to 10 pm . Contact Dan Doaina, 1229 S , Long A\% Hillside, NJ 07208.

NEW YORK - Locust Valley
LIAMAC Cat. I Record Trials Dec. 30, 1972 and Nar. 31, 1973 at Friends Academy, Locust Valley, NY. Write ${ }^{\circ}$. Pailet, 30 Emerson Rd., Brookville, Glen Head, NY 11545, for details and a map.

OREGON - Eugene
Indoor contest at Sheldon High Sciool, Eusene, Creson, Dec. 3 , 1972 , Noon to 4 pm . HLG, Easy B, Ready Co Fly Gliders, Ready to Fly Rubber, Indoor Scale, plus srecial events. Contact Bob Staley, 4315 Fearl, Eugene, or, ph. 686-1491.
TEXAS - Ft. Worth/Dallas
Indoor contest at American Airlines Hangar, GSW A1rport, Ft. North, Nov. $12,1972,1 \mathrm{pm}$ to 6 pm . FLL, PenryPlane, kasy $B$, Indoor Stick challenge, Peanut Scale. Contact Bud Tenny ( $214-235-4035$ ) or Eob Wilder ( $214-$ BL3- 0404 ) Nov. 10 or Nov. 11 pm as final check on site availability, since it is an operational hangar.


CONTEST RESULTS
THERMAL THUMBERS INDOOR MEET, May 14, 1972 Cat. III Santa Ana MCAF, Los Angeles, Cal. $150^{\prime}+$ celling
Jr. HLG

1. Dennis Cunnyngham

2. Mike Regan
3. Jamie Howard
4. Brad Hardiman

PennyPlane

1. Clarence Mather
2. Warren Williams
3. Larry Cailliau
4. Bob Gibbs
5. Kim Mather

LIAMAC INDOOR CHAMPIONSHIP, April 30, 1972 Cat. II Cantiague Park, Hicksville, $N Y$ $50^{\circ}$ ceiling

Jr.-Sr. Pesnut Scale

1. Chris Clemens
2. Dan Aggers
3. Bruce Pailet
4. Barry pailet
5. B111y O'Connor

Indoor Scale

1. Don Garofalow
2. Dan Domina
3. Dave stott
4. Joe Nuszer
5. Don Edson

Jr.-Sr. Easy B

1. Dan Aggers
2. Adam Menassian
3. Jerry Haynes
4. Ron Stransky
5. Curtis Landrum

Jr. - Sr. HLG

1. Ron Stransky
2. Adam hienassian
3. Brace Pailet
4. Dan Aggers
5. Barry Pailet

|  | Open Peanut scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67.6 | 1. Don Edson | 62.7 |
| 47.8 | 2. Frank Haynes | 61.8 |
| 46.8 | 3. Ed Frankin | 58.7 |
| 46.7 | 4. Ray Harlan | 57.2 |
| 36.0 | 5. Sal Cannizzo | 55.0 |
|  | Indoor Stick |  |
| 143.8 | 1. Sal Cannizzo | 11:31.4 |
| 138.8 | 2. Dan Domina | 10:59.8 |
| 134.2 | 3. Pete Andrews | 9:25.4 |
| 134.0 | 4. Bob Leishman | 8:53.4 |
| 134.0 | 5. Bill Landrum | 8:51.8 |
|  | Open Easy B |  |
| 6:31.0 | 1. Pete Andrews | 9:46.4 |
| 6:30.8 | 2. Sal Canrizzo | 7:50.4 |
| 5:59.2 | 3. Don Jeter | 7:30.4 |
| 5:49.8 | 4. Ray Harlan | 7:28.0 |
| 5:16.8 | 5. Jack Menassian | 7:21.4 |
|  | Open HLG |  |
| 78.3 | 1. John Kaufman | 82.2 |
| 70.6 | 2. Dan Domina | 77.2 |
| 67.0 | 3. Ray Harlan | 76.6 |
| 66.2 | 4. Art Slater | 76.4 |
| 65.8 | 5. Rich Kovaks | 71.4 |

## PIRELLI NOMOGRAM

The nomosram below has appeared in INAV before; it was designed by Charlie Sotich in 1962. It is intended to be used this way: make the motor to the desired length and weigh it. A straightedge between the weight (left margin) and length (right margin) will cross the number of turns on the middie scale. This method, using weight/length, is much more accurate than measuring strip width. Pirelif varies somewhat in thickness, and any stripping method has some variation, so weight/length is well worth the extra trouble to use.


2:41.7
2:25.2 2:13.5 :12.0 2:11.3 2:11.0 2:10.6 2:10.6 2:01.0
1:55.0 1:49.0

## STATE OF THE ART

Another duel offering this month - Steve Wittman's record HLG and Ron Plotzke's ' 71 Nats winning Cabin model. Readers may remember the cliff-hanging see-saw battle in Cabin (Aug. ' 71 INAV), with Richmond, Rohrbaugh and Ron snatching the honors from each other.

An interesting, story backs up the glider: Steve Wittman is Ron Wittman's 9 year old son who recently decided build a gilder patterned after his Dad's Hi-Sweep 20. He built the glider largely unsupervised, and had trim help. One early session for practice, then a try for the record which turned up a time of $1: 35.8$. Very good flying for a youngeter!

## RUBBER STRIPPING METHOD

by Ted Gonzoph
It is possible to get very consistent cuts of pirelli with the proper equipment, preparation and a little practice in using the equipmert. This is my way of stripping:

I use the Bilgri style stripper made of plexiglas, and generally take two strips from the center of 5 or 8 mm rubber, and discard the outer edges. This takes three razor blades and the blades give a smoother cut than the factory cut on the edges.

Much of the success of the method is due to using full width spacers like those shown in the sketch. They are made from special lead spacers available from print shops that do flat bed printing, or from steel rule die making shops. The spacers are available in sizes called "points" with one "point" being equal to about . 015 "in thickness. Intermediate rubber sizes are cut by ading similar spacers cut from $.003^{\prime \prime}$ vinyl sheet, or other plastic which does not absorb water. Remember that the width of the cut will equal the spacer plus the thickness of one blade.

The blades are single edge steel (not stainless steel) razor blades with the doubler back removed. Each blade is typically. $010^{\prime \prime}$ thick, so the thickness of a strip to be cut would be figured this way: assume a 4 point spacer; $4 \mathrm{x} .015^{\prime \prime}=.060$, then add $.005^{\prime \prime}$ for half of the thickness of the blade on each side - a total of .070". To cut a $.050^{\prime \prime}$ strip, use a 2 point spacer and three. $003^{\prime \prime}$ vinyl spacers (total of $.049^{\prime \prime}$, which is within the accuracy of the equipment). Important: do not use any spacer made from absorbent material. Stick to vinyl, celluloid or other plastic materials:

The gang-strip system is basically a matter of Eettins the spacers set for the cut needed. However, there is more - experiments since the mid-'50s show:

1. The guide side of the stripper should have two holes for locking.
2. The plexiglas base will wear ragged after several cuts due to razor impressions. I use a thin plastic or fiberglas base piece bereath the guide plate to sink the blades into, then replace it when it gets rasced.
3. The balsa wedge is important. If the zuide spacins is set jusi right, then if the rubber gets slightly wider (pirelli can vary as much as $.020^{\prime \prime}$ in widtr), the rubber will buckle and give a trapezcidal cut as shown in the sketch.
4. Use a vertical back piece with several bolt holes (I have six on $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ centers), then you can mount the blades in several locations without marring the base too much.
5. This I found most helpful: I wash the rubber while it is still in the skein and cut it into $50^{\prime}$ lengths. Just before I besin to strip I place the rubber into a bucket which contains one gailon of water, a handful of Ivory Snow and about 5 ounces of glycerin. The rubber is fed into the stripper directly from the pail. The whole thing gets really sudsy, but the cut is so smooth that $1 t^{\prime}$ s worth the mess.
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****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY***H
New Members:
MICHAEL R. THOMAS, 141 Spenvalley Dr., Downsview, ont. MICHAEL THOMPSON, 2917 Lincoln St., Lorain, OH 44052

Ghange of Address
GEORGE BATIUK, 2020 Club View Dr., Huntsville, AL 35810
NIMAS Awards
Silver Cat. II Rubber Award - 23:04.0, B111 Shailor Jr. Gold cat. I HLG Award - 0:24.0, Kevin Wehner

Who Dunnit?
Recently I received an international money order these are converted by the U. S. Postal Service to another forin and sent on to me in a window envelope. It arrived the day after a 16 -hour work day, and I cashed it without noting who sent it. Will the person who sent it please notify me, stating the approximate date and amount?

## Renewal Reminder

As noted last month, it saves me large amounts of time When members renew before their membership expires. Those whose mailing labels have " $12^{1 "}$ in the upper left-hand corner will find their membership expires in December, and if they send $\$ 3.25$ before time for the December issue, I can save the time of sending an expiration notice. Thanks to the many who responded last month:

## Indoor Scale

The May ' 72 issue mentioned a very nice beam balance by Ohaus for $\$ 30$. The model mentioned has "inflated" to \$49-not so good: Ted Katsanis has found that the beam balance his son used in school is good "as is", and can be improved. He says: "It is a model 99161-2'Equal Arm balance, available from Prentice-Hall, Inc., P. O. Box 900, Eid. Books Div., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, O7632. I gave $\$ 3$ for a set of centogram weights from Ohaus (set \#218), which will weigh over one gram to the nearest .001 g. The sensitivity of the scale is just barely. 001 g as it comes with a plastic pointer. The pointer lowers the CG of the arm, so if a balsa pointer is substituted the sensitivity would increase considerably. The scale has hardened steel knife edges for the center pivot and weighing pans, with an agate bearing for the center pivot. The originally mentioned Ohaus balance has magnetic damping, which could be easily added to this scale also."

## New Indoor Dealer

Carl Jaeger, 2809 Casden Circle, Colorado Springs, CO 80909, has put in a complete line of Micro-X supplies. Address queries to: Duration, 2809 Casden Circle, Colorado Springs, $C 080909$.

## New Materials

Dan Domina has noted that the center strand of undamaged multi-strand control line wire is quite small and very nearly straight. For example, from $.018^{\prime \prime}$ diameter stranded cable you can get a strand .005" diameter by untwisting the cable.

## Financial Report

Last year, NIMAS reached a decison point on costs vs. services which resulted in overwhelming reader response in favor of $25 \phi$ increase in dues - to $\$ 3.25$ per year. Also, a large number of people sent donations ranging from $50 \not \subset$ to dollars, to make up the 1971 deficit. As a result, the income for 1972 amounted to $\$ 899.26$. With expenses up to $\$ 865.49$, the $\$ 33.77$ remaining does well toward erasing the deficit. The expenses break down as follows:

```
Printing + office supplies, m1sc.
Newsletter postage
```

Postage for correspondence
$\$ 455.85$
324.74
88.90
$\mathbf{\$} 865.49$


#### Abstract

Other statistics are as follows: newaletter circulation was 330 average, up $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$ from 1971 . Incoming mail amounted to 609 pieces, with 676 pieces outgoing. The lower rate of correspondence is doubtless a reflection of slower response on my part. If I owe you a letter, I hope to get it off soon! I've spent more time earning a ilving lately, which reduces time for correspondence. Thanks to all of you for another good year!


## SPECIAL INTERNATIONAL ISSUE

It has become traditional for the liovember issue to be dedicated to friends in other countries. This year, with happy recollections of the WCh, it has a special meaning to me. I renewed acquaintence with many of you and met so many more - my best wishes to all of you.

## FAI INDOOR REPORT

## One More Delay - A Minority Report

The latest word from the long-overdue FAI Frogram for next year (to pick the 1974 Team) is that AMA He requires yet another poll. This poll will probably be in your hands by the time you receive this newsletter - but only if you participated in the last Program. In otner words, even if a newcomer might be interested in entering the new Program, he will have no say in its formation.

Some background: Cn $p .7$, Dec. ' 71 Competition News, it was announced as firm ANA policy that participants in the previous progrem will be polled "as soon as possible after completion of a program" to deternine whether the site for the next program will be single (central) or at two or more sites. This poll was made, and the Feb. '72 $C N$ announced that "a single suitable site will be sought within 600 miles of Kansas city."

The results of program planning by Rodensxy and the Indoor Committee (Andrews, Stoll, Tenny and vataer) snowed less than unaminous accord over the central site issue. Now, in clear violation of all previous arnouncemerts and established policy", another poll will be taken by avia HQ - who established this policy in the first piace.

As a result, program planning will be delayed beyord Jan. 1, 1973, and announcements of the details wili be far beyond that time. This violates more established policy which specifies that Team Selection Frograms will begin not later than Jan. 1 of the year prior to tne next inch. In our case, the Program must begin Jar. 1, 1073. Erv Rodemsky volunteered for his post fully two montis before his appointment. If he had been promptly confirmed, we would still have time for this poli.

It is long overdue for AMA HE to be taker out of the "decision loop" affecting FAI Programs, since tnis duty $1 s$ not spelled out in the By-Laws. If that is not reason enough, take any criteria you wisin - knowledee, conpetence, results, experience - AMA iCi has demonstrated an abysmal lack of stability needed to maise coherent and zeaninsfui decisions. Stated another way, any "expedient" decision that comes to mind is immediately implemented with roc concern for short- or long-term effects. No one in the present decision loop" has competitive or administrative experience in FAI $F F$ or Indoor Team Selection matters. Further, and worse, no one in the loop is the least bit accountable to the membership, even indirectly. It is time for a change.

To end on a positive note: Things are what tiey are, and any possible change must be reserved for future programs if we are to have a Team for 1974 . The best thing we all can do is to return the ballots promptly - $100 \%$ of us, whether we plan to enter the next Program or not. If the ballots can be $100 \%$ returned before the Dec. 15 deadline, we can at least salvage that much time.

## Greetings to Indoor WCh Entrants

The message reproduced below was sent by Boyd Felstead to the WCh, to be read to the participants at the victory banquet. It arrived too late, so pernaps this issue of INAV is a suitable forum:

After many trials and tribulations my model box should be on its way. I could write a book on the contents of the box, the highlight being when our cat hopped onto the dining room table and walked all over model parts - resulting in a rebuilding job in time not available.

Whether the box will arrive in time, whether the models will be in good condition, whether they will fly - all are unknowris. However, I am pleased to join you as an entrant, fully conscious that my 20 years on indoor inactivity leaves me well behind you established experts.

I would like to pay tribute to many and if I overlook anyone it is simply for lack of time and due to fatigue.

First my proxy, Manny Radoff; without his enthusiasm and encouragement I would not have considered entering iny work and home environment are not conducive to modeling just now. His many letters of advice and suggestions took much time and effort and were very much appreciated.

My thanks to many who freely gave advice and suggestions. In particular, Ron Plotzke, Erv Rodemsky, Tom Vallee, C. V. Russo, Charlie Sotich, Ernie Kopecky, Bob Platt, Bill Bigge, Reg Parham, Bob Champine, Bud Tenny, Al Rohrbaugh, Bud Romak, Clarence Mather and Bob Randolph. Also, Laurie Barr, who kindly offered to pick up my box despite all his other duties at the WCh.

The fact that indoor flying is now flourishing can be attributed to the die-hard enthusiast. people like Bud Tenny, who has published Indoor News and Views for over ten years, and the indoor suppliers: Lew Gitlow, who only recently retired after many years of wood cutting; Jerry Skrjanc, who continues to make available good materials. and more recently, Ron Plotzke and Erv Rodemsky have joined the ranks of suppliers to provide quality producta.

My regrets to Joe Bilgri, who for personal reasons had to forego his place on the U.S. team - but welcome to Sal Cannizzo in Joe's place.

My good wishes to European fllers and other entrants not mentioned above. While I have not corresponded with you, I have followed your progress with great interest.

Much has been saic on the new rules. The weight rule has been nullified by more wing area for low wing loading. I personally think we should keep the 65 cm span and forget the weight rule unless wing area is limited. If a modeler can build iighter why stifle that ability?

Finally I echo my sentiments expressed at the first world Cnampionship in 1961 - I hope the conditions were good and that the best man won. Good luck to you all:"

## CONTEST CALENDAR

FLORIDA - Miami
Indoor contests jointly sponsored by Miami Indoor Aircraft Model Association and the Dade County Park and Recreation Department on Dec. 10, 1972 and Jan. 14, Feb. 11, Mar. 18, Apr. 15 and May 20, 1973. The site is the Youth Fair Exhibit Building, $25^{\prime}$ ceiling with floor $120^{\prime} \times 235^{\prime}$ located at SW 107 Ave., and Coral Way, Miami. Contact Dr. John Martin, 3327 Darwin St., M1ami, FL $33133^{\text {for details. }}$

NEW JERSEY - Union
Indoor flying sessions Dec. 14, 1972 and Jan. 11, Feb. 8 and Nar. 8, 1973 at Livingston School, Union, NJ, 7 pm to 10 pm . Contact Dan Domina, 1229 s . Lons Ave., Hillside, NJ 07208.
NEW YCRK - Locust Vailey
LIAMAC Cat. I Record Trials Dec. 30, 1972 and Mar. 31, 1973 at Friends Academy, Locust Valley, NY. Write J. G. Pailet, 30 Emerson Rd., Brookville, Glen Head, NY 11545 for details and a map.

OREGON - Albany
Indoor contests Jan. 13 and Feb. 11, 1973 at South Albany High School, 3705 S. Columbus st., Albany, OR. Contact Bob Stallck, 1120 Shady Lane, Albany, OR 97321, ph. 928-8101 for detalls.

CREGON - Eugene
Indoor contest at Sheldon High School, Eugene, Oregon, Dec. 3, 1972, noon to 4 pm . HLG, Easy B, Ready to Fly Gliders, Ready to Fly Rubber, Indoor Scaie, plus special events. Contact Bob Staley, 4315 Pearl, Eugene, OR, ph. 686-1491.

## INTERNATIONAL CONTESTS

1972 Championship of Budapest, Nay 14, 1972, 14.9 m site

```
1. Antal Egri }\quad44:25 (Two flights
```



1972 riungarian National Championships, May 27-28, 1972 Assembly Hall, Kossuth University, Debrecen - $98^{\prime}$

| 1. Andras Ree | $28: 36$ | $29: 42$ | $58: 18$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Zoltan Ocsody | $28: 24$ | $29: 32$ | $57: 56$ |
| 3. Antal Egri | $26: 30$ | $27: 50$ | $54: 20$ |
| 4. G. Buzady | $25: 42$ | $27: 17$ | $52: 59$ |

Budapest Aeroclub Annual Moet, June 18, $1972,14.9 \mathrm{~m}$ site

| 1. Zoltan Ocsody | $27: 01$ (best one of four) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Andras Ree | $25: 04$ |
| 3. Antal Egri | $22: 47$ |

Fifth International Contest, Brno, Czechoslovakia July 15-16, 1972 Trade Hall in Brno

| 1. K. Rybecky | Czechoslovakia | 32:53 | 33:28 | 66:21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. E. Ciapala | Poland | 30:37 | 31:12 | 61:49 |  |
| 3. Aurel Popa | Romania | 28:42 | 30:46 | 59:28 |  |
| 4. R. Czechow | ky Poland | 30:55 | 27:40 | 58:35 |  |
| 5. A. Valenta | Czechoslovakia | 27:55 | 30:17 | 58:12 |  |
| 6. R. Cerny | Czechoslovakia | 27:24 | 28:56 | 56:20 | (tie) |
| 7. A. Moraru | Romania | 28:33 | 27:47 | 56:20 | (tie) |
| 8. E. Chlubny | Czechoslovakia | 27:34 | 28:01 | 55:35 |  |
| 9. L. Koutny | Czechoslovakia | 25:46 | 29:37 | 55:23 |  |
| 10. J. Kalina | Czechoslovakia | 26:09 | 29:04 | 55:13 |  |
| 11. Andras Ree | Hungary | 27:06 | 27:26 | 54:32 |  |
| 12. D. Chlubna | Czechoslovakia | 25:43 | 28:16 | 53:59 |  |
| 13. 2. Ocsody | Hungary | 27:01 | 26:20 | 53:21 |  |
| 14. J. Jirasky | Czechoslovakia | 26:26 | 26:20 | 52:46 |  |
| 15. H. Pernica | Czechoslovakia | 23:58 | 19:24 | 43:22 |  |

## STATE OF THE ART

This offering of European models was chosen out of quite a few on hand - with more to come in future issues. Of the four, Martin Shepherd's model and Cotugno's twin boom model were whe entries. It is possible that Germano Masciullo flew models of this design at the what but this three-view was on hand before then. Finally, Popa's model won the 1971 Hadju-Cup contest in Debrecen, Hungary. It has two special features - the antenna on top presumably helped prevent the "down-the-arch kamakazi" which caugnt so many models at the 1966 WCh. Also, note that the one gram model carried only .85 g of very good rubber to make 57:50 two flight total! That is very good time in that site for any model, let alone one gram models.

The CMOS diagrams below were drawn for $0 \%$ margin as usual. Based on information furnished, or on measurements scaled from the drawings, the models were actually flown with these stability margins: Cotugno - $-5 \%$; Shepherd $+4.25 \%$; Masciullo- $-6.8 \%$; and Popa $--10.5 \%$. Popard model should have been fairly touchy, but combined with the antenna, this may well have given superior ceiling touch characteristics in a very difficult site.

A note on the drawings: all the dimensions except on Marty Shepherd's model are in metric units (ditto for the CMOS diagrams), and the blade and airfoil outines on Cotugno's drawing will be slightiy less than full size, as can be noted by checking the scale indicator.
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****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****<br>New Members:

CHARLES RIDDIE, P O Box 3075, San Francisco, CA 94119 DONALD M. WATSON, 4515 Parker, Dearborn Hts., MI 48125

## Honorary Members

WIM H. BEEKMEYER, Roemer Visscherstraat 27, Vlaardingen, Holland

## Merry Christmas:

Many of you have already sent us Christmas cards and good wishes. Thank you for remembering us: I wish it was possible for us to send each of you a card, but there just isn't time enough. So, we wish all of you health and happiness for this season and for the coming year.

## Recent Publications

The Jan. ' 73 Model Airplane News contains a report on the 1972 Indoor World Championships, written by Erv Rodemsky. This is a very interesting report with excellent pictures, and Erv's writing style is crisp and enjoyable. Thanks to Erv for a very good job, and thanks to M.A.N. for being the only magazine which cares.

## What Do You Want?

Several INAV columns appear in every issue, but there are many more which appear on an occasional basis - as the information is available or as particular issues have the needed space to handle a particular topic. About every two years the INAV readers are asked to comment on these topics and to suggest others. So, plesse review the list below, suggest additions or deletions and send in anything you think would be of interest to INAV readers.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS - Readers ask questions which are then answered by the editor or experts willing to expound on that particular topic.

HINTS AND KINKS - Handy hints, with or witnout sketches, shared by the readers. Can deal with any aspect of indoor building and flying.

CHANGE OF PACE - Fun projects like mini-gliders, fly powered models, etc. that are a change from the serious aspects of indoor duration flying.

SPREAD THE WORD - Clubs or individuals share the results and methods of directing public attention to the many benefits of indoor flying.
LOW CEILING FORUM - Readers share their secrets, successful experiments, etc. in solving the special problems of getting maximum duration in low ceiling sites.

THE LAB - Reports of, and speculation about, scientific and semi-scientific measurements and experiments of the problems of attaining the most performance from indoor models and materials.

PRCP FORUM - Theory and practical experiments on props are reported to encourage more prop development.

A LCOK AT YESTERYEAR - Trivia and tidbits relating how it "used to be" during the formative years of Indoor.

NEW MATERIALS - Reports of new and better materials which make possible better indoor models.

DESIGN FOOTNOTES - Discussion of design principles and theory, intended to foster systematic and scientific development of better indoor models.

INDOOR FLYING SCALE - This column has suffered greatly for lack of material. Several advisors have wreatied with the problems of the INAV format in handing Scale info within the space and publication limitations which are present. It is anticipated that this can become a fairly regular column of Scale hints and ideas, to be edited by a volunteer.

## FAI INDOOR REPORT <br> GIAM Actions

The 1972 Plenary meeting of the FAI CIAM took place in Paris, France Nov. 30-Dec. 1, 1972. The following statements summarize actions taken at the meeting which affect indoor activity:

A proposal which would have had entry countries share the expenses of FAI Jury, Judges and timers was defeated. The major effect of this proposal would have been to help indoor WCh host countries with expenses, since the relatively fewer entrants reduces the financial base of indoor WCh's.

A proposal to require identification of indoor models, using indelible colored inks with different colors for each team member, was passed. Presumably this would affect only WCh teams.

A proposal which would have limited future indoor wh meets to sites lower than 100' (approx.) was defeated. This concept was first discussed in 1966 after the beautiful success of the 1966 WCh at Debrecen; however the idea was to "encourage choice of lower ceilings" rather than requiring the lower ceiling. Where the mandatory portion of the proposal originated is not known, but it is small wonder that a mandatory change was defeated. However, discussions at the 1972 WCh's seemed to indicate that the idea of encouraging lower sites was acceptable.

## Whither Goest The Program?

After the last issue went to press, there was indication that perhaps another ballot would not be circulated at this time. In any event, there has been no word from AMA HQ or Erv Rodemsky about what is transpiring. Due to the extreme lateness of the season, AMA HQ will furnish program info to all who send a stamped, self-addressed envelope to HQ. Otherwise, the earliest that program info can be avaliable (other than thru INAV) is late Dec. ' 72 in Competition News (if the program is decided then), or in January club/officer mailings from AMA and the Mar. ${ }^{1} 72$ AAM (out in February).

## CONTEST CALENDAR

FLORIDA - Miami
Indoor conteats jointly sponsored by Miami Indoor Aircraft Model Association and the Dade County Park and Recreation Department on Jan. 14, Feb. 11, Mar. 18, Apr. 15 and May 20, 1973. The site is the Youth Fair Exhibit Building, with $25^{\prime}$ celling and $120^{\prime} \times 235^{\prime}$ floor, located at SW 107 Ave., and Coral Way, Miami. Contact Dr. John Martin, 3327 Darwin St., Miami FL 33133 for details.

MISSOURI - Kansas City Area
Indoor contest on Feb. 18, 1973 at Richard Gebour AFB, Mo. (Grandview, Mo.), 8 am to 5 pm . AMA Cub, HLG, Indoor Scale, Pennyplane, Indoor Stick. Contact Roger Schroeder, 4111 W. 98th St., Shawnee Mission KS 66207.
NEW JERSEY - Union
Indoor flying sessions Jan. 11, Feb. 8 and Mar. 8, 1973 at Iivingston School, Union NJ, 7 pm to 10 pm . Contact Dan Domina, 1229 S. Long Ave., Hillside NJ 07208.

NEW YORK - Locust Valley
LIAMAC Cat. I Record Trials Dec. 30, 1972 and Mar. 31, 1973 at Friends Academy, Locust Valley, NY. Write J. G. Pailet, 30 Emerson Rd., Brookville, Glen Head, NY 11545 for details and a map.

## OREGON - Albany

Indoor conterts Jan. 13 and Feb. 11, 1973 at South Albany High School, 3705 s . Columbus St., Albany, OR. Contact Bob Stalick, 1120 Shady Lane, Albany OR 97321, ph. 928-8101 for details.

## riRGINIA - Hampton

Indoor contert or RT at Hampton School, Hampton, VA on Dec. 30, 1972. Contact Hal Crane, 4002 Buchanan Dr., Hampton VA 23369 for details.



## STATE OF THE ART

Al Rohrbaugh's "High Aspect D" caused a sensation at the ' 72 Nats, and is an interesting study in model development. Of the model, al says, "As reported by Janke, this high A/R 300 has very slow RPM and great potential. Using . $085 \times 19$ pirelli, and considering turns used vs. RPM, it is interesting to epeculate on maximum time. I've flown the model only a few times but I feel that it will fly on good 080 rubber. The we1ght can be reduced, but at the time it was built the span and general size posed structural problems which were new to me. We who were watching the Nats flight (27:28.6; 3rd place) estimated the altitude as between $4^{\prime}$, and $50^{\prime}$. The next flight with turns sufficient to win hit the ilghts and that was it. It's an interesting model, worth the design and building effort."

Curtis Janke later related that everyone was cheering A1 on by urging him to "get it up". However, Wayne Zink, A1's building buddy, said "He can't get it above the lights - it can't get between them!"

The second model featured this month is Mk. II of Ove Pettersson's one Gram FAI. This model holds the Swedish national record for Cat. I. Sweden's activity is slowly growing, and it is hoped that Swedish teams will begin to participate in future European meets.

The CMOS diagrams below are for 0\% margin; Rohrbaugh's 300 was flown at $+26 \%$ margin, and Pettersson's FAI was set up at about $0 \%$.

##  <br>  <br> X-NOSE TO C.G <br> TOP TEN EASY B

|  | Time | Ceiling | Fudge | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dick Hardcastle | 585 | $18^{\prime}$ | 1.394 | 815.5 |
| 2. Clarence Mather | 636 | 22.31 | 1.253 | 796.9 |
| 3. Ted Gonzoph | 626 | $26^{\prime}$ | 1.16 | 726.6 |
| 4. Stan Chilton | 540 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 714.4 |
| 5. Bob Platt | 529 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 699.8 |
| 6. Hal Crane | 492 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 651.0 |
| 7. Bill Langley | 491 | 20.5' | 1.306 | 641.7 |
| 8. Dick Starks | 451 | 20.5' | 1.306 | 599.0 |
| 9. Jim Bennett | 545 | $31^{\prime}$ | 1.063 | 578.3 |
| 10. Gordon Wisniewski | 480.2 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 555.9 |
| TOP TEN CEILING DODGERS |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Stan Chilton | 1115 | 35' | 1.0 | 1115 |
| 2. Tom Vallee | 810 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 1071.6 |
| 3. Hal Crane | 682 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 902.3 |
| 4. Dick Hardeastle | 602 | $23 \cdot$ | 1.234 | 742.9 |
| 5. Hewitt Phillips | 528.2 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 698.8 |
| 6. Howard Haupt | 456 | $22^{\prime}$ | 1.261 | 574.5 |
| 7. Harry Cook | 471 | 26' | 1.16 | 546.4 |
| 8. Bill Langley | 42.1 | $27.5^{1}$ | 1.128 | 474.8 |
| 9. Jim Davidson | 280 | $13{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.64 | 459.2 |
| 10. Gordon Wisniewski | 300 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 396.9 |

## NIMAS CHARTS

Several jears ago, NIMAS Charts of various designs were made avallable in the form of metal plates which are almost indestructible. Three of these chart designs are shown below - Pirelli Parameters, CMOS Design Chart and an RPM calculator. Several of the RPM calculators are on hand now, and the others can be made available if enough people should want them. In addition, the Pirelli Nomogram on page 4 of oct. ' 72 INAV could be made available. If you are interested in these, drop a card and let ue know. The prices are: RPM Chart - $70 \phi$, Pirelli Parameters - \$1.10 and CMOS Chart - \$1.25. Projected price for the Pirelli Nomohram would be about $\$ 1.25$.


## A LOOK AT YESTERYEAR

In "Beginning To Fly - The Book of Model Airplanes" Ray Harlan found rules for the Stout Indoor contest. It is of interest to compare these 1928 rules with modern indoor rules for Indoor Stick:

1. No restrictions on the design of the model except that it shall have a distance between the propeller bearing and the motor hook, fastened to the opposite end of the motor stick, not to exceed fifteen inches.

All models must be hand-launched, and the only motive power be derived from the use of rubber bands.
2. The contest will be for duration. A contestant will be allowed a total of three official flights. He will be accredited with the greatest elapsed time nade in any one of his three filghts.
3. A contestant will be allowed a maximum of three models, and he may use any or all to complete his official three flights.
4. No contestant shall launch his model before receiving the launch-signal from the official starter. Any contestant doing so will be disqualified. All contestants must have their models ready for examination by the officials fifteen minutes before the starting time of the contial

Each contestant will draw a number, giving his place in rotation. He will be allowed two minutes within which to launch his model. Should he fail to launch his model in the time allowed he must withhold that official trial until his next turn in line and a delayed filght will be charged against him.
5. Any filght under fifteen seconds and every fallure to fly in turn shall be considered a delayed flight. Three delayed flights will be considered an official flight.
6. The finish time will be taken when the model strikes or lands on any object preventing further flight.
(Rules 7 thru 10 deal with contest administration.) 11. Minimum number of contestants eight. Maximum number of contestants twenty-five. (This applies only to the finals.)
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****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY*\#**

## New Members:

JOE EMONS, 2201 state, Alton IL 62002 EDWARD J. GUMELL, 5641 Willow Terrace Dr., Bethel Park DAVID HAUGHT, Rt. 2, Box 10, Coeur D'Alene ID 83814 DAVID HAUGHT, Rt. 2, Box 10, Coeur D'A1ene ID 83814 A. F. HOLGERSON, 2724 H1ckory Lawn MI 48063

BOB MEUSER, 4200 Gregory St., Dakland CA 94619
WILLIAM C. YOUNG, 2516 Oakwood Dr., Bakersfield CA 93304 CHARLES WEISE, 33242 Tall Oaks Ct., Farmington MI 48024

Honorary Members
NEREO BEGGIATO, Roma 950, Ateneo Popular de Versailles, Buenos Aires, Argentina

## New Year's Greetings

John Clemens, AMA President, recently sent out large hand-drs.wn New Year greeting cards. We recelved one with the request that it be passed on to NIMAS; so, best wishes to all of you from John Clemens:

## Change of Address

Jerry Skrjanc, owner of Micro-X, requests that the new firm address be made known: Micro-X, P. O. Box 1063, Lorain OH 44055.

## Renewal Reminder

Fully $40 \%$ of the January renewals are already in, and thanks to all of you! It is a tremendous help for members to note the date code ("02" and "03" for February and March respectively) and send in their renewal ahead of time. This date code appears in the upper left-hand corner of the label field on all addressograph printings.

## Recent Publications

Model Airplane News has done it again - this time the subject is Junior C/P Jackpot - the model design flown by Bruce and Barry Pailet to several places in Junior Paper Stick and Cabin at the ' 71 and ' 72 Nsts. It is a simple, rugged, good-flying design especially suitable for Junior competition. The use of different fuselage design with identical wing and tail surfaces minimizes the number of jigs needed to build two model classes. Thanks again to MAN for presenting indoor subjects when other U.S. publishers are cutting back:

Last month's comments about MAN being the only magazine which cares drew fire from Laurie Barr. He supported Aeromodeller, and rightly so. This magazine has given international indoor a good support for years - sorry for the unintentional slur:

## NIMAS Awards

Silver Cat. I Rubber Award - 11:00, Dan Domina

## Follow-Up:

Only one person expressed opinions regarding the content of NIMAS (What Do You Want?, Dec. ' 72 INAV), so in the absence of more opinions things must be OK:

Also, insufficient interest was shown so far to make it worthwhile to make up more of the out-of-stock NIMAS Charts displayed in the Dec. ' 72 issue. Several of the RPM Calculator charts are available for $70 \phi$ each.

## CONTEST CALENDAR

CALIFORNIA - Santa Ana
The next Santa Ana Record Trials will be held on Feb. 18, 1973. Contact Bob Randolph, 25145 Lawton Ave., Loma Linda CA 92354.

## CONNECTICUT -Glastonbury

Indoor sessions at Glastonbury High School, 8 am to 12 Noon, Feb. 11, Mar. 18, Apr. 8, May 20 and June 17, 1973. Contact George Armstead, Jr., 89 Harvest Lane, Glastonbury CT 05073, ph. 203-633-7836.

## FLORIDA - Miami

Indoor contests at the Youth Fair Exhibit Bldg., at SW 107 Ave. and Coral Way, Miami, on Feb. 11, Mar 18,
Apr. 15 and May 20, 1973 . Contact Dr. John Martin, 3327 Darwin st., Miami, FL 33133 for details.

## ILILNOIS - Chicago

Indoor contests Feb. 11, Mar. 4, Mar. 25, Apr. 28-29, and Record Trials in May 1973. Various combinations of events at both Cat. I and Cat. II sites. Contact Pete Sotich, 3851 West 62 nd P1., Chicago IL 60629 for details.

## MASSACHUSETTS - Amherst

Indoor sessions at Univ. of Mass. In Amherst on Jan. 23, Feb. 25, Mar. 4, Apr. 22 and May 13, 1973. Contact Charles Learoyd, 100 Mili valley Ra., Hadley MA 01035.

MASSACHUSETTS - M.I.T.
Indoor sessions at M.I.T. Armory, Vassar St. \& Mass. Ave., Cambridge MA. Sessions on Feb. 17 and Mar. 17, and a contest on Apr. 14, 1973. Sessions 3 pm to 6 pm ; contest 10 am to 6 pm . Contact Ray Harlan, 15 Happy Hollow Rd., Wayland MA 01778, ph. 358-4013.

MISSOURI - Kansas City Area
Indoor contest Feb. 18, 1973 at Richard Gebour AFB, Mo. (Grandview, Mo.), 8 am to 5 pm . AMA Cub, HLG, Indoor Scale, Pennyplane, Indoor Stick. Contact Roger Schroeder, 4111 W. 98th St.. Shawnee Mission KS 66207.
NEW JERSEY - Union
Indoor sessions Feb. 8 and Mar. 8, 1973 at Livingston School, Union, $\mathrm{NJ}, 7 \mathrm{pm}$ to 10 pm . Contact Den Domina, 1229 S. Long Ave., Hillside NJ 07208.
NEW YORK - Locust Valley
LIAMAC Cat. I Record Trials on Mar. 31, 1973, Friends Academy, Locust Valley, New York. Write J, G. Pailet, 30 Emerson Rd., Brookville, Glen Head, NY $i 1545$ for more details and a nlap.

## CREGON - Albany

Indoor contest Feb. 11, 1973 at South Albany High School, $3705 \mathrm{~S}_{\text {. Columbus St., Albany. Contact Bob Sta- }}$ lick, 1120 Shady Lane, Albany OR 97321, ph. 928-8101.

## FAI INDOOR REPORT

## Team Selection Chairman Appointed

Bob Champine, P.O.Box 6213, Newport News VA 23606, has been appointed as Chairman of the 1973 Indoor Team Selection Program. For details of the Program, send a stamped, self-addressed envelope to AMA HQ with your request for info.

## Program Status Report

The FAI Indoor Program has been announced in the Jan. ' 73 Competition News, with essentially the same format as was used to pick the 1972 Team. The matter of central vs. regional flyoff's is not yet decided, with several central aites under investigation before the unpopular two-site regional Finals becomes mandatory upon failure to qualify a central site. It may be remembered that this choice was forced by inept, wording (or especially clever wording, depending upon your viewpoint) of the poll questions which defined this program.

Meanwhile, the CN commentary (aside from program details) contains misleading statements and outright distortions. Contrary to the leadoff statement "A deadiock concerning finals arrangements - - ", tinis particular member of the Committee knows of no deadlock. Further, it was stated that the Committee's alternate recommendation was stated that, the committee sarnation between East and West Coast sites. Rather, the recommendation was that a rotating site location similar to previous Nats practice be adopted, with a long-range plarning committee involved.

In another article "'73 Off To A Slow Start?", CN intimated that the general delay in the FAI Programs is due to careful study to avoid past mistakes blamed on hasty decisions. However, the Indoor section of the program has been troubled for 16 months; requests for early action got the reply "The Indoor Program is not the only thing that is important!" If the whole story becomes known, it will
be found that priority for our problems is so low that time runs out and only hasty decisions are made - too little and too late.

Only the latest of these unfortunete delays was the appointment of Erv Rodemeky two full months after he volunteered; if he had been accepted the day he offered, the appointment would have been months too late to do a proper job. The most flagrant lack of consideration for FAI Team selection problems lies in the utter fellure of the Executive Council to define official policy. 16 months ago, numercus FAI fliers petitioned their respecilve gistrict VP's for redress, along the lines outlined in the sept. ' 71 INAV. The result was a ten-minute discussion in the Feb. ' 72 Council meeting, which was then ghort-circuited by HQ announcement of a Document Which Answers All Problems. Unfortunately (and convenientily) the Document was not then available; it was subsequently published without Council scrutiny or approval. That the Document utterly failed excopt as a history report is obvious to all who read it; the only question is "When will something be done?" As a result of the lack of definite, defined policy, hasty, last-minute decisions regarding the Indoor program were made and became binding on all FAI Programs. Thus the cancer spreads through all the FAI Programs.

## STATE OF THE ART

Larry Renger's "Bummer" is an outgrowth of his "Easy Breeze" which, as a microfilm-covered Easy B, held the Cat. I Senior Stick record for many years - unt 11 the $B$ Sticy class was combined with other Stick classes. The usual CMOS chart (below) is computed for o\% margin.


TOP TEN CEILING DODGERS

1. Stan Chilton
2. Tom Vallee
3. Hal Crane
4. Dick Hardcastle
5. Hewitt Phillips
6. Howard Haupt
7. Harry Cook
8. Bill Langley
9. Jim Davidson
10. Kevin Wehner

| 1115 | $35^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 810 | $20^{\prime}$ |
| 682 | $20^{\prime}$ |
| 602 | $23^{\prime}$ |
| 528.2 | $20^{\prime}$ |
| 456 | $22^{\prime}$ |
| 471 | $26^{\prime}$ |
| 421 | $27.5^{\prime}$ |
| 280 | $131^{\prime}$ |
| 308.8 | $18^{\prime}$ |


| 1.0 | 1115 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.323 | 1071.6 |
| 1.323 | 902.3 |
| 1.234 | 742.9 |
| 1.323 | 698.8 |
| 1.261 | 574.5 |
| 1.16 | 546.4 |
| 1.128 | 474.8 |
| 1.64 | 459.2 |
| 1.394 | 430.4 |

## RECORDS? MAYBE:

LIAMAC Indoor Record Trials, Dec. 30, 1972 Cat. I Friends Academy, Locust Valley, New York Jr. Indoor Cabin - 2:08.4, Richard Whitten* Jr. Indoor Cabin - 3:34.4, Barry Pailet** Jr. Autogyro - 0:02.6, Richard Whitten *Flight made at 1:15 pm; **flight made at $2: 50 \mathrm{pm}$
LANGLEY BRAINBUSTERS Record Triale, Dec. 30, 1972 Cat, I Sr. R.O.G.Stick - 3:59.4, Phil Hainer

## HINTS AND KINKS

B111 Landrum Suggests:
A spark plug gapping tool makes an excellent gage to set up the straishtedge location while stripping spars and ribs. The "L" shaped variety has wires ranging from $.023^{\prime \prime}$ to $.042^{\prime \prime}$; for tapered spars select two gages which match the desired dimensions and visually "measure" how far the straightedge is from the edge of the wood at each end.

Meanwhile, if your straightedge tends to slip while you make the cuts, Bill suggests that a strip of \#400 wet-or-dry sandpaper glued to the bottom of the straightedge will hold it nicely in place with minimum pressure.

## POSSIBLE WORLD RECORD:

The Deo. 30,1972 record trials held at Willis School in Hampton, Va. had an almost world record by Hal Crane, followed by Bob platt's series of flights culminating in a flight of 22:10. The model had an $8^{\prime \prime} \times 33^{\prime \prime}$ wing, weighed .042 oz . and used . 05 oz . of muber . $041^{\prime \prime}$ x .076".

## DESIGN FOOTNOTES

Congtant Margin of Stability
Since CMOS was introduced in the Jan. ' 69 INAV, most stick model 3-viewe in INAV have been accompanied by CMOS balance cherts. Various questions about the method led to the development of an info packet on CMOS which was available upon request. This presentation is further explanation on how to use cMOs to design better models.

CMOS stands for constant margin of stability. The margin of stability of an airplane is a measure of how the model's stability differs from neutral stability. (A model with neutral otability has no tendency to recover from upset or un-natural attitudes.) With positive stability, the model tends to recover from upset, while With negative stability the upset will tend to get worse. By choosing an optimum margin of stability, it is possibie to have a new model almost perfectly trimmed before it leaves the workbench. Cortainly, it should never be necessary to move wing sockets or add ballast as sometimes happens with new modele that must be flown that certain day!

In other words, models of similar design which have the same stability margin will fly almost the same, and after anyone "zeros in on their favorite margin, they can build other designs with a minimum of adjustment problema to cope with.

The NIMAS CMOS Chart was designed by Hank Cole and waa originally published in the Dec. ${ }^{1} 47$ Air Trails. It was designed for A-2 gilders instead of indoor models, so it gives relative stability figures which are smalier than the absolute stability of the indoor model. Even though this difference may amount to perhaps $20 \%$ margin, the CMOS method allows direct comparison and can be used as if the results were correct.

Many people tend to shy away from CMOS because of the computations involved. However, if the balance diagram is furnished (as with INAV 3 -views), it is simple to balance the model using cmos. Assemble the model with prop and rubber motor on the complete fuselage/tall group and find the balance point as usual. Measure from the balance point to the thrust bearing - let's assume the distance is . If Fig. 1 is the balance chart for the model and we wish to use $0 \%$ marain. follow the dotted ine up from $8^{\prime \prime}$ to the $0 \%$ line and across to the $Y$ axis at $8.55^{\prime \prime}$. Thus, the rear post should be located $8.55^{\prime \prime}$ from the thrust bearing. If the stab tilt and wing washin/washout is OK, only incidence and thrust line should need to be set for a good flying model!

Galcuiation of CMOS balance diagrams is simpler than most people realize. Fig. 2 is the top of the CMOS computation form, 11 sting wing and tail specifications. Beginning with span and area, the sverage chord (span/area) and aspect ratio (span/ay. chord) are computed. Fig. 3 is the CMOS Chart (extrapolated to wing aspect ratio $=3$ ). With a wing aspect ratio $=6.25: 1$ and stab aspect ratio $=4.3: 1$ both ines have to be interpolated; the intersection on the Chart 1 s at . 46 ( $C_{f}$ ).

Tail moment arm is usually defined as the distance between $25 \%$ of average chord on the wing to the same point on the stab. As a beginning example, let's assume a wing and atab that are rectangular; the root chord will equal the average chord. Thus for the model with dimensions as defined in Fig. 2, $25 \%$ of wing and stab chords are $1^{\prime \prime}$ and ${ }^{7 \prime \prime}$ respectively. Since the wing and stab do not taper in any fashion, $0^{11}$ is noted as the dimension between average chord and trailing or leading edges. With a tall boom $12^{\prime \prime}$ long, subtract $2.1^{\prime \prime}$ from $12^{\prime \prime}$ to reach the rear hook, then add the distance " 2 " and $3^{\prime \prime}$ to define the tail moment arm.

The CMOS method is a graphical solution which oliminates several computations by defining a straight line. To do this, the aerodynamic conter is calculated for two wing locations; in this example the wing will be $1^{\prime \prime}$ from the rear hook $\left(z=1^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $6^{\prime \prime}$ from the rear hook. The formula for A.C. is shown solved for these two wing locations and values for $X$ (distance from balance point to nose) and $Y$ (distance from rear post to balance point) are plotted on Fig. 1, working from values in the box on Fig. 2.

The computations discussed above were also made with the stipulation of o\% margin - the serodynamic center and center of gravity are coincident. This simplifies the computation considerably. Note that Fis. 1 has three balance lines - +5\%, 0\% and -5\%. Only the $0 \%$ line was calculated in Fig. 2, and the other two lines were established
by moving the o\% inne $05 \times 4$ ( $4^{\mathrm{n}}$ avg; chord) in each direction. Three dotted lines on Fig. i show the offect on wing location that different choices of stability margin ${ }^{1111}$ haves rear wing post locations are $8.8^{\mathrm{n}}, 8.55^{\prime \prime}$ and from the nose as the margin changes from $+5 \%$ to $-5 \%$.

The final factor to consider in CMOS computation is average chord. If the model in question had used a wing with parabolic planform, $25^{\prime \prime}$ span and $5.1^{\prime \prime}$ root chord, the area would still be 100 sq . In. and average chord would be $4^{\prime \prime}$ - same as before. The only change in computation would be that the wing is $1.1^{\prime \prime}$ wider at the root, half in front and half in back. The $O^{\prime \prime}$ dimenaion st the $T$. $E$. would then become $5^{\prime \prime}$, tail moment arm figures would change to 14.45 and 19.45 . The slope of the graph and location of the $0 \%$ line will not change.

The locstion of the end-points of the average chord is obvious on wings symmetrical with respect to the lateral centerline. A shortcut for locating moan chord of wings with odd shapes is shown in Fig. 4. With $\alpha$ span of $25.4^{\prime \prime}$, root chord of $6^{\prime \prime}$ and area of $127^{\circ} \mathrm{sq} .1 \mathrm{n}$., average chord 1 s $5^{n}$. A scale drawing of the wing planform was used, and the $x_{0} E$. dimension checked to be $.25^{\prime \prime}$.

To figure stability margin on an existing model, com. pute the A.C. as before, then measure where the CG is with respect to the CG. Compute the margin according to the formula shown in Fig. 20 Fig. 5 1llustrates this process on two models built to the design illustrated in Fig. 2, except that both models were built with fixed $70 \% \mathrm{CG}$. Model A balanced $6^{\prime \prime}$ from the nose and model B balanced $9^{\prime \prime}$ from the nose. The margin computation shows dramatically how much variation is possible between models of the same design which vary in balance point - the wing posts of model A might have to be moved as much as $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ to make it fly as well as model B:


$$
\text { A.C. }=\frac{32.8}{100} \times 17.9^{\prime} \times .46=2.7
$$

3-2.7 = . $3 ; \Delta \leftarrow$ T.E.
$1-.3=.7 ; C G-A . C$.
Stability Margin $=\frac{.7}{4} \times 100=17.5 \%$


$$
\text { A.C. }=\frac{32.8}{100} \times 14.9 \times .46=2.25 \quad \begin{aligned}
& 3-2.25=.75 ; \triangle \triangle T . E . \\
& 1-.75=.25 ; C G \& \text { A.C. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Stability Margin $=\frac{.25}{l_{\psi}} \times 100=\underline{6.25 \%}$

MODEL SPECS: Wing Span 25 Wing Area 100 Av. Chord 4 Aspect Ratio 6.25:1 $25 \% \mathrm{Av}, \mathrm{Ch} \rightarrow \mathrm{Stan}$ area 32.8 Av . chord 2.8 Aspect ratio $4.3: 1$ $25 \% \mathrm{Av}, \mathrm{Ch} \rightarrow \mathrm{Stan}$ area 32.8 Av . chord 2.8 Aspect ratio $4.3: 1$ $25 \% \mathrm{Av}, \mathrm{Ch} \rightarrow \mathrm{Stan}$ area 32.8 Av . chord 2.8 Aspect ratio $4.3: 1$ $25 \% \mathrm{Av}, \mathrm{Ch} \rightarrow \mathrm{Stan}$ area 32.8 Av . chord 2.8 Aspect ratio $4.3: 1$

$\square$

Tail Moment Arm $=12-2.1+z+3$
A. $\begin{aligned} \text { c. } & =\frac{5 \text { tab Area }}{\text { Wing Area }} \times \text { Tail Moment Arm } \times C_{f} \\ & =\frac{32.8}{100} \times 13.9 \times .46=2.1(z=1) \\ & =\frac{32.8}{100} \times 18.9 \times .46=2.85(z=6)\end{aligned}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{32.8}{100} \times 13.9 \times .46=2.1(z=1) \\
& =\frac{32.8}{100} \times 18.9 \times .46=2.85(z=6)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Editor: Bud Tenny • Box 545• Richardson, Texas • 75080
****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****

## New Members!

Dave beasiey, 6601 Nestle, Reseda, CA 91335
R. H. FORST, Rt. \#1, Box 59B, Minooka IL 60447

Change of Addrese
BILLY H. PETTIT, 1795 Medallion Ct., Mississauga, Ontario Canada

## NIMAS Awards

Silver Cat. I Rubber Award - $10: 36.5$, Bill Langley
Silver Cat. I Rubber Award - 10:06.5, Dick Starks

## Youth Recognition Lacking?

It was recently pointed out that even though Juniors and Seniors turn in exceptional performances with indoor models at the Nats, in FAI trials and in the record columns, it is seldom that they receive recognition for their fine efforts.

Is it possible that NIMAS can and should remedy this oversight with some sort of honorary award? If this idea strikes your fancy as it did mine, please drop a line to give ideas about how such a program should be set up.

## Renewal Reminder

55\% of the February renewals have already come in, and this is a welcome and helpful situation. Incidentally, it was mentioned that these renewal notices have failed to give the amount for renewa. 1 - sorry about that! NIMAS membership (including INAV) is $\$ 3.25 /$ year, while INAV subscription only is $\$ 2.25 /$ year. When to renew? All those whose address was printed by the addresser printer instead being a paper label will find a number (11ke 03) in the upper left corner of the address block. "03" stands for March, and that sub expires in March!

## New Glider Mark:

In his series "The Hand Launch Glider", Richard Miller says (Feb. '62 INAV) "The next ten seconds, the $1: 20$ to 1:30 range, are not going to be easy to come by. To those who have thrown in the vicinity of $1: 15$ the problems are evident; to those who haven't spent a minute-and-a-half looking at your stop watch, this will convince you that a glider has to go mighty high and come down mighty slow to hit 1:30." Further on, Richard says "I wouldn't go so far as to say that the medium aspect ratio glider (5:1 to 7:1) has gone as far as it can go but I do feel that the high apsect ratio machine, once its aerodynamic peculiarities are mastered, is the most likely contender for the 1:30 mark and that its very special advantages should make it especially potent in low and medium ceiling flying."

It took eleven years from Miller's prediction, but the 1:30 IHLG mark has been achieved by Ron W1ttman at the Feb. 18 , 1973 record trials at Santa Ana. Ron's "new generation glider, Super Sweep 22, set a new IHLG record of $1: 30+1: 28.7=2: 58.7$. These gliders represent a truly evolutionary approach, and the result is a solidiy consistent machine. All three of Ron's SS-22's have averaged over 1:20, and he has logged over 50 consecutive flights over $1: 20$. Ron is to be congratulated for his solid contribution to the state of the HLG art!

## 173 Nate

The 1973 Nats will be held Aug. 6-12, at Oshkosh, Wisconsin. No site has been chosen for Indoor at this time, according to the AMA news release, but it is expected that a suitable site will be located within 100 miles of the Oshkosh site。

## For Lack of A Blade

Bob Cowley mourns the passing of the last of his supply of Gillete Blue Blades. Has anyone an ldea where good steel (not stainless) double-edge blades can be purchased? If you have access to a supply, how about reveaing the source?

In the wee hours before the last issue went to press, figure numbers wers omitted from the CMOS discussion. So, on $p$. 4 of the Jan. ' 73 INAV, in column 1 the illustrations are (moving down) 5A, 5B, 2. In column 2: 3, 1, 4.

## Recent Publications

"The Fascinating World of Indoor Models - A Visit with Bill Biggel is featured in the March 73 AAM. This fiece by Tom Vallee gives many detalls of Blil's very successful model dirigible, and includes photos of several of Bill's other indoor model sidelines. A good effort:

The Jan. ' 73 issue of Aeromodeller contains an excellent article by Laurie Barr; an analysis of and report on Pete Andrew's World Championship FAI model. Pete gave the model to Laurie in a gesture of thanks for Laurie's large part in the excellent preparations for the WCh, and this afforded Laurie an excellent chance to analyze the model.
"Chicago Aeronuts", by sid Miller, reports on the more recent activities of one of the best-known model clubs in Indoor history. Althoigh the Aeronuts fly all free flight events, much of the U. S. state of the art can be traced from developments and achievements of Aercnuts in the past 35 years of the club's activity. In addition the story is almost a blueprint of how the Aeroruts solved the problem of lack of indoor sites. This interesting article appears in the Mar. ' 73 Model Airplane News.

Finally, a tribute to a long-time NINAS meaber - Dave Linstrum. His column, "VTO", in MAN, remains an excelient continuing report on indoor and outdoor FF. In the April 173 VIO, Dave departs his normal orbit enough to make a very entertaining review of "Jonathan Livingston Seagull" a rising best-seli.er about a very unusual bird. Don't miss it (the book or the review!)

## FAI INDOOR REPORT

Executive Council Action
The February meeting of the AMA Executive Ccuncil set up a committee of three AMA VP's; Stan Chiltor (Dist.VIII) is chairman of the committee and represents $F F$ and Indocr interests. Glenn Lee (Dist. VI) represents C/L, ard John Spalding (Dist. IV) represents $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{C}$ interests. The group has the responsibility of creating FAI program guideines which are so sorely needed. Let's wish them well.

## Team Selection Program

The exact wording of the 1973 Indoor Team Selection Program can be had. from the Jan. ' 73 Competition News, or by sending a stamped, self-addressed envelope to ANA $H Q$ with your request. In general, the progran provisions are thus:

1. Open to all 1973 AMA members who have or purchase a FAI stamp; any Team Members chosen must be at least 14 years old by the start of the 1974 World Champs.
2. Enter by sending $\$ 1$ to AMA HG, or by paying $\$ 1$ to $C D$ of a Local Qual. Trials. HQ entry is recommended, as it is then possible to qualify at any sanctioned indoor contest.
3. Qualify by scoring 75\% of the top time at a Local Trials, or by scoring 75\% of the winning time of any indoor event for rubber powered models which weigh at least one gram and have a maximum wing spar ro greater than 65 cm . (PennyPlane, Easy B if model weighs 1 g , than other indoor events meeting apan and weight rules
4. Exceptions: Program entrants more than 200 miles from
either AMA contests or Local Trials may by-rass the
Local Qualification; also any winner through fifth place in Stick, Cabin or Paper Stick at the 172 Nats may enter directly at the Semi-Final level.
5. Semi-Finals Trials - entrants must score Sc\% of the winning time or be in the upper $2 / 3$ of the fiacing to advance to the Finals.
6. Finals - Top three winners at the Finals will represent the U. S. at the 1974 Indoor World Championsinip.
7. Fees: Besides the $\$ 1$ registration fee, Local entry fee is $\$ 5$ ( $\$ 2$ for Juniors). Semi-Final fee is $\$ 8$ ( $\$ 2$ for Juniors), and Finals fee is $\$ 10$ for all entrants. For those who by-pass Locals, entry fee is $\frac{\pi}{\$} 18$ ( $\$ 6$ for

Juniors). The extra fee represents money which would have been apent on travel, etc. in the Local Trials. 8. Schedules: Local Trials must be completed by May 27, 1973; registered participants may fly in as many Looal Trials as desired until qualification is accomplished; only one Local entry fee need be paid.

## Finals Site Located

Word has been recelved from Erv Rodemsky that American Airlines: 747 hangar in Tulsa, Oklahoma has been cleared by AA for use 88 the 1973 Team Selection Finals site. The hangar will "swallow" a 747 whole, with room to spare and has no internal supports.

## NIMAS POSTAL MEET

The 8 th Annual NIMAS Postal meet will be open for entry through April 16, 1973. All flights made as part of a sanctioned indoor meet from Jan. 1 through April 16 are eligible, as are flights made in informal sessions between now and Apr. 16, provided these sessions are run in accord with AMs rules.

Events: Easy B, paper covered only, solid motor atick and boom, with unbraced surfaces.

HLG - AMA Rules except two celling classes Class I - 18' to 25'; class II - $25^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$ to 35'.

Indoor Stick - AMA Rules except FAI ceiling measure to compute fudge factor.

PennyPlane - Chicago Aeronuts rules except that ceiling contact permitted and FAI ceiling measure.

Ceiling Dodger - Any class indoor model, flown by AMA Rules except flight must not touch ceiling or obstructions for time to count. In response to a query, this interpretation was made regarding contacts: Models landing on obstructions during the descent phase of flight (chairs, stage, balcony, helicopters, etc,) are not disqualified. The intent of the event is to encourage model development; the principle governing a decision is that obstacle contact must not limit the model's climb in any fashion. For example, a model which drifts into a wall during the descent, then slides to the floor would not be disqualified.

General Rules: Entry fee $15 \not \subset$ per event, stamps preferred. Separate events may be flown at different sessions, but all flights for a given event must be flown on one day. please note ceiling height for each entry, as this wili be used to compute fudge factors (see below) to equalize ceiling heights. Separate classes for Juniors in each event, with awards for high placing Seniors. Separate class for sub--junior (age 12 and under) in HLG. Anyone may enter, send entries to Box 545, Richardson TX 75080.

## Postal Fuage Factors

The following fudge factors will be used for the NIMAS Postal; multiply the flight time by the appropriate factor to obtain postal scores.

| Ceiling <br> (feet) | Class I HLG <br> (Fudge to 25') | Class II HLG <br> (Fudge to 35') | Rubber <br> (Fudge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | 1.39 |  | 1.394 |
| 19 | 1.316 |  | 1.357 |
| 20 | 1.25 |  | 1.323 |
| 21 | 1.19 |  | 1.29 |
| 22 | 1.136 |  | 1.261 |
| 23 | 1.087 | 1.4 | 1.207 |
| 24 | 1.042 | 1.346 | 1.183 |
| 25 | 1.0 | 1.296 | 1.16 |
| 26 |  | 1.207 | 1.139 |
| 27 |  | 1.167 | 1.118 |
| 28 |  | 1.094 | 1.098 |
| 29 |  | 1.061 | 1.08 |
| 30 |  | 1.029 | 1.063 |
| 31 |  |  | 1.046 |
| 32 |  |  | 1.014 |
| 33 |  |  | 1.0 |

STATE OF THE ART
Two of the top models from the 1973 Indoor wCh are featured this month - Pete Andrews' winner and sal cannizzo's fourth place ship. In truth, any of the top several models might have changed places, and both of these models have excellent performance and points to recommend them for others to build.

Pete's "FAI '72", rated by Pete at . 037 oz (it seemed even heavier as he welthed in on the precision balances of the SMAE) and not even close to the maximum span (nearly two cm short of allowable span), was clearly designed and constructed by a master. The subtle sophistication of the design and Pete's intimate knowledge of its performance
gave him an edge that wasn't overcome by some mighty sharp fliers. Besides the information on the drawing, the following comments apply:
Wing - $26^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ paraboilc development, divided $3^{\prime \prime} / 5^{\prime \prime}$ with $25^{\prime \prime}$ projacted span. Wing posts each $3^{\prime \prime}$ long and offset $1^{\prime \prime}$ from wing center. dabane equal angle, $2.5^{\prime \prime}$ above spars. Bracing .001" nichrome. ©G $5.6^{\prime \prime}$ behind above spars. Bracing (shown) $3 \%$ thick $40 \%$. Stab-18" $\times 5.4^{\circ}$ parabolic development, aymmetrical, airfoil $3 \%$ thick © $40 \%$, no bracing.
Rudder - $3^{\prime \prime} \times 4.5^{\prime \prime}$ ellipse.
Motor Stick - $14.5^{\prime \prime}$ long, $5 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ dia., double bearing, $45^{\circ}$ offeet brscing, .001 ${ }^{11}$ tungsten.
Ta11boom - $12^{\prime \prime}$ long, $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ dia. tapered to $1 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ dia. Prop - $20^{\prime \prime} \times 32^{\prime \prime}, 2.25^{\prime \prime} \max$ width, $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ flare.
Motor - ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ loop $.055^{\prime \prime} \times .042^{\prime \prime}$ pirelii, 1950 turns, 36:12.
Special note - The compression ribs were specially constructed to have double thickness in the center and normal thickness at the ends; two templates are needed to cut these ribs:

Sal Cannizzo must be rated as a relative beginner to indoor flying, but also he is an old hand at both rubber powered models and international competition after having been on two U. S. Wakefield teams. "SC-3" is a simple but thoroughly sound design with a clean power pattern rivaling that of "FAI '72". Sal supplies the following extra ing that of FAI model on one of the $34+$ flights, but the design is otherwise the same. The prop on the $50 \%$ model (pattern shown) was $18^{\prime \prime} \times 33^{\prime \prime}$ with max width of $25 / 16^{\prime \prime}$, using. $052^{\prime \prime}$ loop of rubber $18^{\prime \prime}$ long and 2100 turns. My other $34+$ flight used a Bilgri prop ('72 NFFS Sympo report) increased to $20^{\text {" }}$ dia.

Presented below are the CMOS charts of these models; Andrews used approximately $+4 \%$ margin, while sal's trin checked out to $+15 \%$ on the model shown.


CALIFORNIA - Santa Ana
Record Trials at Santa Ana MCAF, Mar. 17-18, 1973. Contact Bob Randolph, 25145 Lawton Ave., Loma Linda CA 92354 for more info.

CANADA - British Columbia
Contests in the $90^{\prime}$ Agrodome in Port Coquitlam will be held on Mar. 4, June 30, Oct. 6 and Nov. 17-18, 1983, with FAI Indoor, PennyPlane, HLG and Scale. Contact Alan Riches, 1568 Celeste Cres., Port Coquitlam, B.C., Canada for more details. (rops)



## FAISC-3

SalCannizzo


CONNECTICUT - Glastonbury
Indoor sessions at Glastonbury High School, 8 am to 12 noon, Mar. 18, Apr. 8, May 20 and June 17, 1973. Contact George Armstead, Jr., 89 Harvest Lane, Glastonbury CT 05073, ph. 203-633-7836.

FLORIDA - Miami
Indoor contests at the Youth Fair Exhibit Bldg., at SW 107 Ave. and Coral Way, M1ami, on Mar. 18, Apr. 15 and May 20, 1973. Contact Dr. John Martin, 3327 Darwin St., Miami FL 33133 for details.

## ILLINOIS - Chicago

Indoor contests Mar. 4, Mar. 25, Apr. 28-29, and Record Trials in May 1973. Various combinations of events at both Cat. I and Cat. II sites. Contact Pete Sotich, 3851 West 62nd Place, Chicago IL 60629, ph. 312-RE5-1353.

MASSACHUSETTS - Amherst
Indoor sessions at Univ. of Mass. in Amherst on Mar. 4, Apr. 22 and May 13, 1973. Contact Charles Learoyd, 100 Mill Valley Rd., Hadley MA 01035 for details.
MASSACHUSETTS - M.I.T.
Indoor sessions at MIT Armory, Vassar St. \& Mass. Ave. Cambridge, Mass. Session on Mar. 17, and a contest on Apr. 14. 1973. Contact Ray Harlan, 15 Happy Hollow Rd., Wayland MA 01778, ph. 358-4013 for details.

MISSOURI - St. Louis
Indoor contests at East St. Louis Armory on Mar. 4, Apr. 8 and June 2, 1973. HLG, Easy B, Paper Stick, Indoor Stick, Scale, Peanut, Tern Aero and Delta Dart. Contact Jin Bennett, 324 Helfenste1n, St. Louis MO 63119, ph. 314-962-5271 for details.

NEW JERSEY - Lakehurst
Sessions at Hangar \#1 Apr. 1, Apr. 15, 1973. Contact C. V Russo, 143 Willow Way, Ciark NJ 07066 for details.

NEW JERSEY - Princetion
Indoor contest at Jadwyn Gym, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N.J., 9 am to 5 pm , May 20, 1973. HLG, Paper Stick, Scale, Paper Glider. Contact John Kukon, 14 Brandon Rd., Trenton NJ 08638 for more info.

## NEW JERSEX - Union

Indoor session Mar. 8, 1973 at Livingston School, Union, N.J., 7 pm to 10 pm . Possibility that FAI Local Qual. will be held Mar. 25, 1973. Contact Dan Domina, 1229 s. Long Ave., Hiliside NJ 07208.
NEW YORK - Locust Valley
LIAMAC Cat. I Record Trials on Mar. 31, 1973, Friends Academy, Locust Valley, New York. Write J. G. Pallet, 30 Enerson Rd., Brookville, Glen Head NY 11545 for more details and a map.

## INTERNATIONAL CONTESTS

Romania has announced an international indoor meet a.t Slanic (the salt mine, site of the ${ }^{1} 70$ WCh), May 11-13, 1973. It is expected that three U. S. fliers, Bud Romak, Erv Rodernsky and Jim Richmond will attend as a team from the U. S.

## NEWS FROM AROUND THE WORLD

ITALY
Recently, an announcement was received from the Aero Club of Rimini, Italy, which apparently indicated that Italy has adopted Fennyplane as an event, with essentialy the same rules as used in the U. S. Due to slow postal delivery, the announcement was received in January, but the first Rimini contest was to be held last November. No word has been received of their results or what site was used.

CALIFORNIA - San Jose
Richard Douglas reports that the San Jose area has been having cat. I contests featuring HLG, paper Stici and Indoor scale. In sites ranging from $21^{\prime}$ to $26^{\prime}$, times have ranged up to 54 sec . in HLG and $10 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~min}$. in Paper.

## CALIFORNIA - Santa Ana

Besides the almost monthly record trials, there have been lively HLG contests in the hangar. A recent Jr. HLG contest sponsorec. by the San Diego Orbiteers had the following results:

| 1. Tony Patchin | age 11 | $1: 34.5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Steve Wittman | age 9 | $1: 31.4$ |
| 3. Geoff Peterson | age 13 | $1: 29.4$ |
| 4. John Magnus | age 13 | $1: 05.0$ |
| 5. Chris Peterson | age 10 | $0: 54.4$ |

In addition, Bob Randolph has continued intensive efforts toward better performance. He has been flying models with anhedral stabs and no rudder; a "D" with 200 sq . in. wint recertly did 40:07.

## BEAM BALANCE COMMENTS

On page 65, Jan ' 73 AAM, I presented a simplified indoor beam balance construction piece. Bill Bigge offers the following comments for refinement:

I gather that the pan hangs from a wire hook which rests in a notch in the beam. This is an unnecessary source of error, even though it is insignificant in most cases. Fig. 1 shows a better pan support; note that the centerline of the pivot is at the same level as the center pivot (for the beain).

It is not appacent from the sketch whether the weights will swing freely from notches in the beam. If they do, the balance will be unstable with a large enough load unless the bottoms of all the notches are at the same $l \in v \in l$ as the main pivot. In other words, both the movable weights and the pain should hang in the same plane as the beam pivot to avoid disturbing the beam sensitivity. Fie, 2 shows a beam arrangement which allows the plvot to be at the top of the right half of the beam and still permit vertical balance (sensitivity) to be set with very iittle extraneous weight. Check stations and the pan cari use a wire "U" with a small notch for clearance (Fiz. 3).


Probably sometining should be said about weighing by replacement, the so-called single-arm principle. It is convenient to use two arms, even two arms that are thoth variable as in the AAM sketch. This definitely should be used in constructing a set of weigrits, and regular use in critical weighing eliminates several scurces of error.

## A LOOK AT YESTERYEAR

In the early 1930's, Philadelphia was an indoor "hot bed" of activity. The activity was alded and encouraged by model airplane plans published in newspapers. Fete Andrews was active at that time, and below is a photostat of his ROG model winich set a Senior record of $6: 36$. The plan appeared in 1932, in an issue of the Philadelphia Evening Builetin.



# NEWS and VIEWS 

Editor: Bud Tenny • Box 545• Richardson, Texas 75080

## ****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****

New Members:

Dr. ROBERT J. GALLAGHER, 319 W. Main St., Monogahela PA 15063 ROGER A. KINGSINGER, 8317 August, Westland MI 48185 MALCOLM LAUBACH, 4021 Lafayette Pl., Culver City CA 90230 JOHN W. LEMON, JR., 16246 Edwards Ave., Southfield MI

48076

## Sponsored Junior Members

STEVE LOVINS, 619 NE 39th Terr., Kansas C1ty MO 64116
Steve has been nominated by Bill Langley, one of the kingpins of the growing and healthy activity in Kansas City. However, Kevin Wehner, a "graduate" sponsored junior, got Steve interested in indoor during 1971, helping him build an Easy B. In the recent Winged Motors Indoor contest, Steve won Open Indoor Stick, AMA Cub, placed 2nd in Jr. HLG and got the Junior high time award. It is easy to have high expectations for Steve's future work:

## Rubber Stripper

For those who inquired about the rubber atripper mentioned in the Aeromodeller report of the ' 72 WCh (Jan.' 72 issue), an improved version is reported to be available。 For info, write Ryszarol Czechowski, KRAKOW, str. Pradnicka 68a/60, Poland.

## Follow-up - Razor Blades

Bob Crowley's plight of no razor blades ylelded the following responses:

Charles Learoyd suggests that Sears Roebuck "Craftsman" (Swedish Chrome Steel) double edge blades are very good. These are found in the tool section, and are sold for scrapers. Unlike most scraper blades, the sample he furnished worked very well in a razor plane.

B1ll Shailor, 13596 Montrose, Detroit MI 48227, will send furnish blades from the source used by the Detroit Balsa Bugs - his cost is $3 \notin / b l a d e$ plus postage. Please limit your order to 10 packages of $5 /$ package, and send $16 \phi$ postage for that quantity.

Ralph Dodsworth, 437 Ave. U South, Saskatoon, Sask., Canada, has ample supply of blades @ 45ф/package plus postage.

## Changing Your Address?

Periodically we receive letters or renewals from someone who has moved. Usually, the new address is called to our attention, but sometimes it is not. It used to be easy to spot changed addresses, since I typed labels for the mailing. Since the thoughtful gift of an addresserprinter, it is much more difficult to remember the current addresses of over 300 members and subscribers! Therefore, it is quite disconcerting to suddenly note an unfamiliar return address and realize the sender has moved without even trying to call the new address to our attention. It is very much appreciated whenever new addresses are highlighted in some fashion:

## This Issue

This combined issue hopefully represents a low point for the year. A special church project - audio system and stage lighting system for a youth choir musical - has absorbed an increasing amount of time over the past few months. This extra load, added to a backlog lasting from before the "72 Indoor WCh, effectively scuttled not only the Mar. ' 73 1ssue and delayed sarlier 1ssues, but also shut down almost all correspondence and all personal recreation activity. As distasteful as this is to me, post cards will likely be used to make some kind of response to many letters stacked up. Even so, it may some time before matters return to normal; increased responsibility coupled with a $9 \frac{1}{2}$ hour work day at Texas Instruments will continue to slow outaide activity. Bear with me, and thanks for your patience!

NLMAS Postal Meet


#### Abstract

The original announced deadine for entry in the 1973 NIMAS Postal meet has come and gone; due to the delay in everything else around here, additional entries will be accepted from contests flown as late as May 6, 1973. Since this will probably preclude publication of results in the May issue, mailing deadines will be relaxed also.


## ${ }^{\prime} 73$ Nats

The last INAV announced the ' 73 Nats site as Oshkosh, Wis., on Aug. 6-12, 1973. There still has been no firm announcement of the indoor site; it is a strong possibility that the Chicago armory used in past years will be used again. For those who are fretting about how to plan for indoor events, there is ilttie likelihood of having a site in that area substantially different from the armory.

## FAI INDOOR REPORT

## Time IB Short:

May 15, 1973 is the deadine to enter this Team Selection Program. By that time you must nave registered and flown in ofther a local indoor contest or a Local Qual. Trials, or shown that you would have had to travel 200 miles or more to qualify, or if you have qualified for a previous Team Selection Program and wish to bypase the Local Qual., or have placed in the top five in Stick, Cabin or Paper at the 172 Nats. In the last three cases, you may apply to Program Administrator Bob Champine, $P O^{\circ}$ Box 6213, Newport News VA 23606 for waiver to enter the Sem1-Finals.

## Semi-Final Listing

An extra effort will be made to get the Nay ' 72 issue out on time (about May 15). All CD's who plan tc hold a Semi-Final Qual Trials should send this info tc Eox 545, Richardson TX 75080 for listing in INAV.

## Local Trials Listing

OKLAHOMA - Tulsa: Apr. 27, 1973, beginning 5:30 fm 玉t tine National Guard Armory. Contact Bob Dunham, P 0 Eox 7151, Tulse OK 74105 for deta11s.
TEXAS - Ft. Worth: Indoor contest opportunity to quailfy on Apr. 29, 1973, at American A1rlines hanzar, GSW Alrport, Ft. Worth, Texas. Contact Bud Terny, Eox 545 Richardson TX 75080, 214-235-4035 for details.

## Qualification Trial Results

LIVINGSTON, NJ LOCAL QUAL. TRIALS, Mar. 2, 1073

1. Dan Domina

9:14
2. Ed Franklin
3. John Triolo
4. C. V. Russo
5. Don Garofalow
6. John Kukon
7. Stan Stanwicis
8. Manny Radoff
9. Ernie Kopecky
10. B111 Landrum

8:54
$8: 54$
$8: 52$
$8: 52$
$8: 24$
8:14
8:11
8:09
7:57
Ten entries; all qualify via $75 \%$ of winning score.
FAI LOCAL QUAL. TRIALS, Hampton Va. Apr. 14-15, 1073

| LOCAL QUAL. TRIALS, Hampton | Va: Apr. | $14-15$, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Hal Crane | $21: 45$ | $22: 08$ | $43: 53$ |
| 2. Bob Platt | $20: 07$ | $10: 43$ | $20: 50$ |
| 3. Tom Vallee | $19: 38$ | $18: 03$ | $37: 41$ |

3. Tom Vallee $19: 38 \quad 18: 03 \quad 37: 41$

Four entries; all qualify via $75 \%$ of winning score.

## TOP TEN CEILING DODGERS

| Name | Time | Ceiling | Fudge | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Stan Chilton | 1115 | 351 | 1.0 | 1115 |
| 2. Tom Vallee | 810 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.223 | $10^{-1.6}$ |
| 3. Hal Crane | 682 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 002.3 |
| 4. Dick Hardcastle | 602 | $23^{\prime}$ | 1.234 | $-4.20$ |
| 5. Hewitt Phillips | 528.2 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | E08. 5 |
| 6. Charles Learoyd | 525 | $25^{\prime}$ | 1.193 | =21. 1 |
| 7. Howard Haupt | 456 | $22^{\prime}$ | 1.251 | $5-4.5$ |
| 8. Harry Cook | 471 | $26^{\prime}$ | 1.16 | 546.4 |
| 9. Bill Langley | 421 | $27.5{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.128 | 474.8 |
| 10. Jim Davidson | 280 | $13^{\prime}$ | 1.64 | 450.2 |

## RECORDS？MAYBE：

CHICAGO AERONUTS INDOOR MEET，Mar．25，1973，Cat．II
Brig．Gen．R．L．Jones Armory，Chicago，Ili．
Jr．Cat．II Helicopter，1：59．2，Mindy Linstrum
Jr．Cat．II Autogyro， $0: 55$ ，Mindy Iinstrum
LIAMAC INDOOR RECORD TRIALS，MAr．31，1973，Cat．I Sr．Cat．I Helicopter， $4: 32.0$ ，Ronnie Stransky
Jr．Cat．I Autogyro， $0: 13.0$ ，Richard Whitten
HAMPTON BRAINBUSTER＇S FAI LOCAL QUALS，Apr．14－15， 1973 Willis School，Hampton，VA， $20^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$ ceiling AMA Cat．I FAI－21：45，Hal Crane
FAI Cat．I FAI－22：08，Hal Crane
An almost－record：The time was right，but there was a mix－up and neither CD had arranged for a sanction：So， Steve Wittman ${ }^{\top}$ s $1: 49.1$ and Ron Wittmen＇s $2: 59.1$ were not eligible for records even though they exceeded existing record times．Also，Bob Randolph sneaked close to the Cat．III stick record with $43: 15$ ．

## CONTEST CALENDAR

CALIFORNIA－Santa Ana
Indoor RT at Santa Ana May 26－27，June 23－24， 1973. Contact Bob Randolph， 25145 Lawton Ave．，Loma Linda CA 92354 for details．

CANADA－British Columbia
Contests in the 90＇Agrodome in Port Coquitlam will be held on June 30，0ct． 6 and Nov．17－18，1973，with Scale， HLG，PennyPlane and FAI Indoor．Contact Alan R1ches， 1568 Celeste Cres．，Port Coquitlam， B 。C．Canada for details．

CONNECTICUT－Glastonbury
Indoor contest at Glastonbury High school， 8 am to 5 pm ，May 13，1973．HLG，Easy B／PennyPlane，AMA Cub， Sleek Streak，Peanut Scale．Indoor sessions May 20，June 17，1973， 8 am to 12 noon．Contact George Armstead， 89 Harvest Lane，Glastonbury CT 05073，ph．203－633－7836．

FLORIDA－Miami
Indoor contest at Youth Fair Exhibit Building，at SW 107 Ave．and Coral Way，M1ami，May 20， 1973 ．Contact Dr．John Martin， 3327 Darwin St．，Miami FL 33133 for more details．

ILlinois－Chicago
Indoor contest Apr．28－29 and Record Trials in May 1973．Contest events：PennyPlane，Paper Stick，Indoor Stici，HLG，Tern Aero，Flying Scale．Pete Sotich， 3851 W．62nd Place，Chicago IL 60629.

MASSACHUSETTS－Amherst
Indoor session at Univ．of Mass．In Amherst on May 13， 1973．Contact Charles Learoyd， $100 \mathrm{M} 111 \mathrm{Valley} \mathrm{Rd.}$, Hadiey MA 01035 for details．
MISSOURI－St．Louis
Indoor contest at East St．Louls Armory on June 2， 1973．HLG，Easy B，Paper Stick，Indoor Stick，Scele， Feanut，Tern Aero and Delta Dart．Contact Jim Bennett， 324 Helfenstein，st．Louis MO 63119，314－962－5271．

NEW JERSEY－Lakehurst
Sessions set for Hangar \＃5 on May 6，May 27，June 10， July 1,19 3．Out－of－town fliers should contact $C$ 。 $V$ ． Russo， 143 Willow Way，Clark NJ 07066 on Friday to be sure military priorities have not pre－empted the facilities．

NEW JERSEY－Princeton
Indoor contest at Jadwyn Gym，Princeton Univ．，Prince－ ton，ivJ， 9 am to 5 pr，May 20，1973．HLG，Paper Stick， Scale，Paper Gliaer．Contact John Kukon， 14 Brandon Rá．， Trenton NJ 08638 for details．

NEW YORK－Hickeville
IIAMAC Indoor Contest Apr．29，1973， 8 am to 5 pm ，at Cartiague Park，Hickaville，L．I．NY．HLG，Easy B，Peanut Scale，Indoor Scale，Indoor Stick．W．Dunwoody， 985 Ft ． Salonga Rd．，Northport，L．I．NY．

TEXAS－Ft．Worth
Indoor contest at American Airlines Hangar，GSW Air－ fort，Ft．Worth，TX．HLG，PennyPlane，Easy B，Peanut Scale．Bud Tenny，Box 545，R1chardson TX 75080，ph． $214-$ 235－4035．

## STATE OF THE ART

Goldilox G－3 is an excellent low ceiling model，as it proved in a series of sessions at the University of Tuisa last summer．Stan Chilton＇s latest bird was quite consis－ tent，as he charted the drift patterns of the John Mabee Gym．Once the drift patterns were mastered it was simple to launch the model with essentially the same power as had been used on countiess flights．In fact，drift patterns and other aspects of hall metrology is the second half of
high Cat．I and Cat．II performance；the first half is the model．In fact，G－3 actually＂flew the pants off＂Stan as he practiced time and again charting the drift．As the day wore on，a minor split in Stan＇s apparel steadily wor－ sened．Finally，during an excursion to the top of an ob－ struction to retrieve the model，stan found himeelf hob－
bled effectively and had to be rescued with loaned pants！
The model itself bears the atamp of Stan＇s fine work－ manship，and features advanced design ideas and fine trim． The prop is non－helical in pitch，with both tip and hub areas washed out according to the info shown on the plan． Other features include Kowalski－type airfoil，high aspect wing and stab，and quite light weight．CMOS static mar－ $g \ln$ was $+5.7 \%$ 。


## MICROFILM THICKNESS

In the Nov．＇ 63 INAV Bill Bigge explained how he man－ aged to measure the thickness of microfilm，then presented the scale of color vs．thickness shown below．The units shown are arbitrary，but can be translated thus： 1.0 on the scale is approximately 8 microinches（．000008＂）．In other words，red violet（the very first true color in the range）is about 8 microinches thick and the blue is twice as thick．Some builders call the straw brown color gold， which is half as thick as Bill＇s designation yellow gold． Incidentally，it is risky to use film thinner than straw brown，since the cloudy clear region covers about a 4：1 range of thickness，all of which is quite likely to be very brittle．Because of the wide range of thickness，it is impossible to determine the properties of clear film is impossible to determine the propert


Pat Percival



## INSTANT NEUTRAL POINT

The Jan. '73 INAV had a review and recap of the CMOS balance method. In the past two or three years, Hal Crane has been developing another system to locate the neutral point - or to put it another way, compute the static margin. It was pointed out in the CMOS article that the basic chart was developed for A-2 towline, and thus does not exactly fit indoor models. However, it does have a provision for different aspect ratio of wing and stab, thus allowing comparison of reasonably diverse designs. Hal's method can be adapted to varlous designs by using a different chart for each subgroup, but the chart shown on page 5 is "peaked" for low aspect ratio designs such as are now common in one gram FAI. PennyPlanes follow this same basic trend, and should also work well on this chart.

The CMOS method requires considerable computation and construction of a graph which is then applicable to all models built to that exact design. Hal's method calls for less computation, but requires several guesses. At this time, several years of experience with CMOS has pinpointed the best range of balance points, but this advantage can be rapidly overcome if people using Instant Neutral Point will give feedback on the results. Hal's own "best guess is to use at least $10 \%$ static margin; that is, the CoG. should be at least $10 \%$ of the average wing chord ahead of the neutral point.

A couple of examples will illustrate the method of using INP. First, let's compute the static margin of a hypothetical model which has been completed and flown, to see how it should have been trimmed. This model will have the following design: constant chord wing and stab with $7^{\prime \prime} \times 25^{11}$ wing and $4^{11} \times 1^{\prime \prime}$ stab. Fuselage and tai1 boom dimensions, plus wing location, will be as shown in Fig. 1. The basic procedure is as follows:

1. Compute average chord of wing ( $C_{W}$, ave) and stab (Ct, ave) Note that the example model has constant chord wing and stab, which is a special case. See the CMOS discussion (Jan.' 73 INAV) for computing average chord of tapered wings.
2. Measure (on existing model) or compute (on model under construction) $I_{t}$ (tail length, or tail moment arm).
3. Divide $I_{t}$ by $C_{w, ~ a v e ~}$.
4. Divide atab area $\left(S_{t}\right)$ by wing area $\left(S_{w}\right)$.
5. Refer to the INP chart (p. 5) and extend the Iine corresponding to the proper $S_{t} / S_{W}$.
6. Move vertically from the computed value $1 t / C_{W}$, ave on the $X$-axis of the chart to the extended Ine, then across to the neurtal point (NP) on the Y-axis.
7. Compare the NP location with the CG location.


Eic. 2

Working with the specified dimensions of our "tested" model, the following figures come out:

## 1. $C_{W, a v e}=7, C_{t}$, ave $=4$.

2. $1 t=17.7^{\prime \prime}$. (From Fig. 1 , note that $1 t$ is defined (as in CMOS method) as the distance from $C / 4$ wing to c/4tail. That 1 s from $25 \%$ of the average chord on the wing to $25 \%$ of the stab average chord. Thus, from Fig. $1 t=9+3.5+5.2=17.7$.

$$
\text { 3. } 1_{t} / C_{w, a v e}=17.7 / 7=2.53
$$

4. $s_{t} / s_{W}=72 / 175=.41$. Refer to $p .5$ and note that the line corresponding to .41 has been extended (step 5). Note that this line is the same for all models built to this same design.
5. Following the light line, NP is shown to be $79.5 \%$ $C_{w}$. In other words NP is $20.5 \%$ or $1.43^{11}$ ahead of the rear wing post.
6. Since the CG is $7-3.5$ or 3.5" ahead of the rear wing post, the static margin is $3.5-1.43=2.07$. Then, $2.07 / 7 \times 100 \%=29.4 \%$ margin. Since Hal recommends about ward; as a result both the flight efficiency and the rafward; as a result both the blight effic

Fig. 2 and the following discussion will illustrate the trial-and-error method for proper wing location. From the example above, we can assume that the wing will have to be moved forward. Therefore, assume a wing location $5^{\prime \prime}$ ahead of the rear hook, or $1.5^{\prime \prime}$ ahead of the original location. Then the new $I^{t}=17.7+1.5=19.2^{\prime \prime}$, and $I_{t} / C_{W}, a v e=19.2 / 7=2.74$. From the graph, NP $=82.4 \%$, and $N P$ is $17.6 \%$ of 7 or $1.23^{\prime \prime}$ ahead of the rear post. The CG is now only $2^{\prime \prime}$ ahead of the rear post (trial location) and the margin would be $2-1.23=.77 .077 / 7 \times 100 \%=$ $11 \%$ margin, well within proper limits.

Why another method to compute static margin? What is it with this guy, anyhow? simply this: it is the personal belief of many top fliers that computation of static margin is one of the major shortcuts to high-level performance. Most certainly it is possible to trim models at other margins and get respectable performance. However, once anyone tries balancing models with some method of static margin rather than by some arbitrary CG location, they usualily continue regardless of the bother of computation. It is a measure of my own conviction that this is $\nabla 1$ tai that I take time to compute CMOS on all models presented. In the future, INP will also be given for all models with low aspect ratio wings.

## A LOOK AT YESTERYEAR

Back in 1936, there used to be a magazine called VCDEL AIRCRAFT BUILDER. In one of these, Louls Garami suggested a gadget which was intended to help control model altitude in low cellings. The device consisted of an S-hook and a wire pin. Two motors, shorter than the usual single motor, are hooked to the S-hook and to the prop and rear hook, so the S-hook is in between the motors. The pin mourits to the motor stick and prevents the S-hook from turning for a while. The sketch below shows (top) both motors wound and the pin engaged in the s-hook. The second sketch shows the rig as the front motor is mostly unwound; the $S$-hook has moved back almost enough to disengage the pin. The intent is for the model to climb on the power of the front motor, then drop down as the second motor rewinds the front motor enough for a second climb (but not as high). He also suggested that the pin location (and relative motor lengths) can be adjusted to tailor the cilmb pattern. Now - has anyone tried this 1dea? If so, how about some comments on the results?


Both Motors Wound


INSTANT NEUTRAL POINT
for INDOOR MODELS


TAIL LENGTH, $\lambda_{t}$ / $C_{w}$, ave $\lambda_{t}$ from $c / 4_{w}$ to $\frac{c / 4_{t}}{}$


## NEWS and VIEWS

Editor: Bud Tenny • Box 545• Richardson, Texas • 75080
****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANTE SOCIETY****
New Members:
STEVEN L. BROWN, 815 West Court st., Janesville WI 53545 BILL CULLEN, 9 Honey Dr., Syoseet NY 11791 ROBERT L. PERKINS, M.D.,' 2285 Pinebrook Rd., Columbus, OH 43220

## Family Memberships

ROBERT L. PERKINS, Jr., 2285 Pinebrook Rd., Columbus OH 43220

## Change of Address

ROBERT HABERSTROH, 1109 W. Harmony Rd., Ft. Collins
CO 80521
${ }^{1} 73$ Nats
It has been confirmed that the Brig. Gen. R. L. Jones Armory, 5200 South Cottage Grove, Chicago, Ill., will be the ' 73 Indoor Nate site. The activity there will be run on a self-sufficient basis - from registration to trophy presentation each day. More details on this are available from jour entry blank which must be sent (postmark) no later than midnight, June 29, 1973. If you have not yet received an entry blank, send a stamped, self-addressed envelope to AMA HQ and request one.

Indoor HLG will be held Sunday, 9 am to 3 pm , with Indoor Scale following, 3 pm to 9 pm. on Monday, Indoor Stick, Paper stick and Indoor Cabin will run 9 am to 9 pm .

As of this writing, no word has been received on when PennyPlane will be held; presumably, it will be held concurrently with Indoor Scale. Peanut Scale will be aponsored by the Detroit Cloudbusters and Navy Scale will be sponsored by the Miami (Florida) Indoor Aircraft Association; both events will be held concurrently with Indoor Scale.

## Nats Reporters Needed:

Wanted: volunteers to report on all Nats indoor activity. This can range from reporting isolated but interesting events to a full report; also pictures of as much of the activity as possible. With your help, it can be a top level report - Just drop me a line and let me know that you can help. I expect to be there, but with duties which will preclude much observation and reporting.

## Recent Dublications

A short but interesting articie, "Indoor Flying", by Paul Wahl (a neighbor of Pete Andrews) appeared in the May ' 73 Science and Mechanics magazine. Even though this was a short article, a mention of NIMAS at the end has brought many, many requests for more information. This ought to tell us something about the importance of indoor activity being reported in the national press!

Full size plans and a humorous account of Ron Wittman's record HLG "Supersweep 22" appears in the April 173 NFFS Free Flight Digest. "Super Editor" Bob Meuser finds time for such goodies besides putting out the whole thing - and the Digest is excellent, in case you haven't seen it.

N1mas Postal Meet
A final reminder - get your entries in for the 1973 NIMAS Postal Meet. Due to the lateness of the Mar/Apr 1ssue, entry was extended to include meets flown as late as May 6, 1973. Please get the entries in by May 25, so it will be possible to tabulate the results for the June ' 73 issue.

## FAI INDOOR REPORT <br> Entry Deadinne

Exception was taken to the announcement last month that interested fliers must enter the Program by May 15, 1973. The date was incorrect (should have been May 27), but it is my interpretation that all filers must have
entered before the end of the time allotted for Local gual Trials. Even though fliers may qualify for entry into the Semi-Finals by exemptions detailed last month, it seems reasonable that one must be entered in the Program before he can petition the Program Director (Bob Champine) for an exception under the Program rules. Either way, the cost is the same and if you enter before May 27, you just gotta be in line:

## Team Selection Triala Schedule

## Semi-Final Trials

SANTA ANA - Tentative date - June 23-24, 1973. Bob Randolph, 25145 Lawton Ave., Loma Linda CA 92354.

DETROIT - Tentative date - June 2, 1973. Paul Crowley, 32604 Tecla Dr., Warren MI 48093 ph. 313-294-0266.
bast coast - Hangar \#5 dates - May 27, June 10, July 1 ; contact C. V. Russo, 143 Willow Way, Clark NJ 07066.

SOUTH CENTRAL - Contact Bud Tenny, Box 545, Richardson TX for info.

## Qualification Trials Results

TULSA LOCAL QUAL TRIALS, Apr. 27, 1973 Cat. II 15th Street Armory, Tulsa Okia. $37^{\prime}$ ceiling.

| A. D. Coe | $20: 28$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R.J. Dunham | $18: 50$ |  |
| Stan Chilton | $16: 48$ |  |
| Robert Dunham II | $15: 59$ |  |
| John English |  | $15: 46$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

CHICAGO AERONUTS INDOOR CONTEST, Apr. 28-29, 1973 Cat. I Meeting Roon, Madison St.Armory, $20^{\prime}$ ceiling. Cat. I Jr. Autogyro - 0:46.2, Mindi Linstrum

## GONTEST CALENDAR

CALIFORNIA - Santa Ana
Indoor RT at Santa Ana May 26-27, June 23-24, 1973. Contact Bob Randolph, 25145 Lawton Ave., Loma Linda CA. 92354 for detail.s.

CANADA - British Columbia
Contests in the $90^{\prime}$ Agrodome in Port Coquitlam will be held on June 30, oct. 6 and Nov. 17-18, 1973, with Scale, HLG, PennyPlane and FAI Indoor. Contact Alan Riches, 1568 Celeste Cres., Port Coquitlam, B. C. Canada for details.

## CONNECTICUT - Glastonbury

Indoor contest at Glastonbury High School, 8 am to 5 pm , Msy 13, 1973 . HLA, Easy B/PennyPlane, AMA Cub, sleek Streak, Peanut Scaie. Indoor sessions May 20, June 17, 1973, 8 am t.o 12 noon. Contact George Armstead, 89 Harvest Lane, Glastonbury CT 05073, ph. 203-633-7836.

FLORIDA - M1ami
Indoor contest at Youth Fair Exhibit Building, at SW 107 Ave. and Coral Way, M1am1, May 20, 1973 . Contact Dr. John Martin, 3327 Darwin St。, Miami FL 33133 for more details.

MICHIGAN - Detroit
Tentative date for state Meet - June 2-3, 1973. Contact Walter Hartung, 14759 Kilbourne, Detroit MI 48213, ph. 313-La7-7620 for details.

MISSOURI - St. Louis
Indoor contest at East St. Louls Armory on June 2, 1973. HLG, Easy B, Paper Stick, Indoor Stick, Scale, feanut, Tern Aero and Delta Dart. Contact jim Bennett, 324 Heifenstein, St. Louls MO 63119, 314-962-5271.
NEW JERSEY - Lak:ehurst
Sessions set for Hangar \#5 on wey- 6 , May 27, June 10 , July 1, 1973. Out-of-town fliers should contact C. V. Russo, 143 W11low Way, Clark NJ 07066 on Friday to be sure military priorities have not pre-empted the facilities.

NEW JERSEY - Princeton
Indoor contest at Jadwyn Gym, Prinoeton Univ., Princeton, NJ, 9 am to $5 \mathrm{pm}, \mathrm{May} 20$, 1973. HLG, Paper Stiok, Soale, Paper Glidor. Contact John Kukon, 14 Brandon Rd. Trenton NJ 08638 for details.

## OKLAHOMA - Tulaa

 Hangar (FAI Finals site) 3800 N. Mingo Rd., Tulsa OK. Contact Bob Dunham, Box 7151, Tulsa OK 74105, ph. 918-747-0720 for details. Assemble in front of American Airines administration building at 10 am for diractions to hangar.
TEXAS - Ft. Worth
Tentative date - June 3, 1973 - American Airiines Hangar, Greater SW Alrport, Ft. Worth, Tex. HLG, PennyPlane, Easy B, plus Peanut scaie pending arrangements for judges. Bud Tenny, Box 545, Richardson TX 75080 ph. 214-235-4035.

## STATE OF THE ART

Bud Romak's models showed very high capability, as he posted 36:04 in the sixth round at the ' 72 Indoor WCh, in air which was beginning to deteriorate. The model shown is quite similar to the design which placed him on the Team, and was developed especially for the ${ }^{\prime} 72$ Champs.

During his model development program, Bud tried wide chord wings twice. Both times he had problems which indicated that a wide chord configuration was not reliable for WCh conditions. As a result, he has retained a slightly tapered wing with a sort of rounded tip. This configuration has worked well, and any apparent lack of reliability at the WCh could be traced to the way the model could outclimb the site. Certainly, Bud's models were hung as many times as any others!

The CMOS diagram below gives the $0 \%$ balance point, and Bud's indicated set-up showed o\% also. On Crane's Instant Neutral Point chart (Mar/Apr '73 INAV), the static margin checked out at $+9.5 \%$ - almost "perfect" according to Hal's suggested margin.


The information presented here is gleaned from past INAV's and from material presented by several fliers since this topic was last discussed.

One gram FAI models are relatively high powered by the standards of normal indoor models - not in ratio of mubber weight to airframe weight - but in brute power. That is, a one gram model will likely have up to 1.5 grams of rubber, and very possibly motorstick lengths rivalling that of a "300". As a result, motorstick stress soars well above that for normal indoor models, particularly in the heat of WCh competition. Even with a required extra weight ( 65 cm models "gained" $50 \%$ in weight, on the average, when the one gram rule came in), it is important to get the maximum strength/weight ratio for the motorstick. After all, wider wings are heavier and so are the stabs. Larger rubber calls for larger props to handle the brute power, and increased flight stresses require stronger tail booms. It all adds up quickly, and not many extra milligrams are left to beef up the motorstick.

One of the more popular types of stick bracing is the double wire bracing, illustrated in A. This illustration, along with $B, C, D$ and $E$, gives details of the motorgtick construction used by Al Rohrbaugh. B shows important details of the bracing post construction; most important is that sharpened posts stick through the motorstick and are glued at both entry and exit points. After glueing the exit points, the ting tips can be cut off and covered with another skin of glue. C illustrates one method of reinforcing the thrust bearing area - a $1 / 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{sq}$. post is stuck through the stick at the critical stress area. In
similar fashion, the rear hook (D) is reinforced also. $E$ shows an important detail about mounting wing posta. First, a wood plug is installed in the bottom of each socket; second, a small hole in the bottom of the motorstick allows glue to be applied to the bottom of the plug to insure that the socket is firmly anchored. After all, a loose wing socket can cause erratic flying by allowing the wing washin/washout to change; only careful examination will reveal this problem before it worsens enough to be obvious.

Illustration $B$ shows that the bracing posts are $45^{\circ}$ apart, which allows them to strengthen the stick in both bending and torsion. It is important that these angles be correct and unfform at each bracing location; $F$ and $G$ are two views of Al's drilling guide which makes it possible to locate the holes accurately. Note especially that the two guide holes are staggered slightly so that the braces will slide past each other.

The usual method of reinforcing the stick at the rear hook and thrust bearing is to use a vertical web inserted inside the stick. Bob Randolph reported Erv Rodemsky's method as shown in $H$ and $I$. Hers the front cap is first installed, then a slot is mede to just fit the web. This slot is alightly harder to make than it is to insert a web, but the major advantage is that the glue seams can be properly made. One method of making the slot is to make a single cut with a razor saw and then carefully ghave away excess wood with a sharp double edge blade. Note that the grain of the web is always vertical, no matter how the web is installed.

The question sometime arises, "What is the best way to get a straight motorstick?" J shows a method for holding the seam closed while it is being glued. The rolled and dried stick is placed between two straight blocks which are then brought together just enough to close the seam. It is necessary that these blocks be over $1 / 2$ as high as the stick diameter; it is convenient that they be thinner than the stick diameter. After the seam is held closedjust touching - carefully apply glue to the seam and avoid using excess glue. Prior to this time, however, careful work will help produce a straight stick. Choose wood that is uniform in thickness and grain pattern, with grain running straight along the sheet. Roil and bake the tube in the usual fashion, then remove it from the form. At this point, if the rolied tube is not straight, do it over. It is too much to ask of a glue joint that it $\frac{d o}{\text { do more than }}$ hold the seam closed; if the stick is stressed by being forced straight from a crooked state it will eventually pull crooked.

Illustration $K$ shows a motorstick by Jim Richmond. Note particularly the stiok reinforcement and the variation of plug in the wing socket. Also, the sotich-type thrust bearing has been modified by making a spiral hook which holds the rear of the prop shaft in line. The hook is engaged with the prop shaft by a twisting motion as the shaft is moved back.

## CONTEST RESULTS

ILLINOIS MODEL AERO CLUB INDOOR CONTEST, Apr. 1, 1973
Brig. Gen. Jones Armory, Chicago, Ill. $90^{\prime}$ Cat. II
Jr. HLG
Steve Robbins
Jeff Tillou
Jr. PennyPlane
ilm Stone
Tim Noonan
Mindy Linstrum
Sr. PennyPlane
Steve Oravecz
Keith Gordey
Eric Miller
Open PennyPlane
Dennis Jaecks
Rol Anderson
Hank DeKat
Bob Hayes
Chuck Markos
Ken Kraemer
Bob Elman
Dave Linstrum
TIm Banaszak
*Senior age contestants
Peanut Scole
Charlie Sotich
Chuck Markos Ted Dock Ed Fort
Mark Kummerow*
Jim Pulley
Jim Gerz
Phil Cox
Jim Harris
Otto Curth

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 77.9 \\
& 54.8 \\
& 5: 54.8 \\
& 5: 52.0 \\
& 3: 07.0 \\
& \\
& 6: 34.0 \\
& 5: 55.0 \\
& 5: 16.4 \\
& \\
& 9: 51.0 \\
& 9: 44.1 \\
& 8: 45.0 \\
& 8: 07.7 \\
& 6: 51.0 \\
& 5: 33.8 \\
& 3: 55.4 \\
& 2: 59.5 \\
& 0: 16.0
\end{aligned}
$$

3:07.0 Don Wright John Loribi Bob Watson
Tom Neumann
6:34.0 Tom Neumann
$5: 55.0$ Dick Swenson
Jr. Paper Stick 9:51.0 Steve Robbins $4: 19.5$
$0: 18.2$

## Open HLG

Bob Hayes* $\quad 119.9$
Keith Gordey* Mark Kummerow* Chuck Markos 115.5
115.2 115.2 113.7
112.7 112.7 107.3 106.8
105.8 103.8
103.7 103.7
103.8 100.8

| Open Paper Stick |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| J1m Richmond | $16: 49.2$ |
| Dennis Jaecks | $16: 44.0$ |
| A. D. Coe | $14: 07.2$ |
| Charlie Sotich | $11: 30.2$ |
| Howard Haupt | $10: 04.6$ |
| Jeff Annis | $9: 41.0$ |
| Clarence Mills | $8: 42.0$ |
| Steve Oravecz | $7: 28.0$ |
| Ke1th Gordey | $7: 05.5$ |
| Rol Anderson | $5: 01.0$ |

Volksplane
Piper J3
Piper Vagabond S. E. 5 Bucker Jungmeister Waterman "Gosling" pietenpol camper Pietenpol Camper Hello Super Courier Heath Midwing
240.4 Points
144.0
117.0
117.0
113.5
96.2
96.2
86.6
86.6
86.0
86.0
73.8
3.8
72.1



## BALLOON STEERING - ANOTHER LOOK

Balloon steering was covered in May ' 63 and June ' 65 INAV's. These two articles can be briefly summarized in the following remarks. The basics are simple, and only require practice - the higher the model the more practice becomes important. The mest important single thing is to decide when to steer; do not delay in getting the balloon up while you decide. If you safely can do so, put the balloon up if you even suspect you will need it; then you can agonize to your heart's content and you are ready for the decision when it comes. If possible, the balloon should be much higher than the model, and the string must be pretty snug. A loose balloon will only wreck the model or catch the prop, since you can't move it as fast as you need to.

In "Three methods were discussed before, as illustrated the basic method outlined by Bruce Paton in 1963. The outboard wing is ellowed to contact the string, and the model rotates $180^{\circ}$ and you release it. Fig. 1 shows the action; the model winds up just over one flight circle upstream of it's original orbit. Models in critical trim or those with extreme offset in the wing may either stall off the line or simply slide down the string.

This behavior can be overcome in some cases by using " $B$ " or " $C$ ". In " $B^{\prime \prime}$, the model is contacted on the imboard wing and allowed to pivot as before. Once again, models with critical trim may spin off the line if you do not use
a delicate touch. In "C", the model is contacted just behind the wing and is slowiy pushed into a new orbit as in Fig. 2. You must move slowly in order to prevent a stall, but the model generally loses less altitude than with other methods.
"An excellent method developed by Bob Champine is show in "D" and Fig. 3. Incredible as it may seem, Bob passes the string through the prop arc (takes practice and timing to get it right!) and gets the string next to the inboard side of the motor stick. Now, with very careful moves, he "leads" the model where he wants it. It is easy to make one of two mistakes here. - either stall the model by a sudden move and catch the prop, or snag the wing or prop as you release the model in the new orbit.

Two comments about rules are in order. First, AMA filghts may not be siteered; the time stops when you first touch the model. Second, FAI now permits three steers of fifteen seconds each per filight, and this is a lot of time which relieves the pressure somewhat. Although no clear ruling has ever been made, it is the opinion of most $U$.S. officials that you must break loose at least momentarily at the end of the fifteen second interval. It is to your advantage to do thia anyway; if you can't complete a steer in 15 seconds your are either out of position or too tense to do it in unlimited time. Break away, take a couple of deep breaths and try on the next circle!



# NEWS and VIEWS Editor: Bud Tenny•Box 545•Richardson, Texas•75080 

****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****<br>New Members:

BILL CULLEN, 9 Honey Dr., Syosset NY 11791 WALTER E. ESTEN, BOX 35F, Lake Shore Dr., Chepachet RI 02814 EDWARD LOCKHART, 11611 Oak Creek Dr., Lakeside CA 92040 W. T. TURNER, 3027 Rutgers, Long Beach CA 90808 LOU YOUNG, 1190 Littleoak Dr., San Jose CA 95129

## Renewal Reminder

Those of you who find "07" in the upper left-hand corner of the mailing label for this issue are due to renew your membership as of the Juiy issue. It is very helpful and timesaving for me if renewal is made in advance. A few renewal checks have been accompanied by a note that the required amount was not known; $\$ 3.25$ is the current cost of NIMAS membership + INAV.

## ' 73 Nats

All indoor events of the 1973 Nats will be flown at the Brig. Gen. R. L. Jones Armory, 5200 S. Cottage Grove, Chicago, Ill. Indoor HLG will be held from 9 am to 3 pm on Sunday, Aug. 5, 1973, and Indoor Scale will follow until 9 pm. Peanut Scale and Navy Scale will share flying time and space with AMA Scale, while PennyPlane will be held 3 pm to 7 pm at one end of the site. Indoor Stick, Indoor Cabin and Paper Stick will be flown 9 am to 9 pm on Monday, Aug. 6, 1973.

The Armory will be a completely self-contained operation, from registration through trophy presentation each day at the end of flying. For more details on this and other Nats matters, re-read your entry blank and get it in the mail before June 29, 1973. If you haven't received an entry blank, it is just barely possible to air mail your request and return via a stamped, self-addressed envelope!

## NIMAS Awards

Silver Cat. I HLG Award - 0:29.6, Dan Domina
Gold Cat. I HLG Award - 0:31.2, Dan Domina
Silver Cat. II HLG Award - 0:50.4, Don Chancey
Gold Cat. II HLG Award - 0:55.4, Don Chancey

## Nats Reporters Needed

Last year, a number of INAV readers presented a very good report of Indoor Nats activity. Therefore, space is already allocated (would you belleve three pages of text and two pages of photos) in the Aug. ' 73 INAV for more of the same. Please drop a Ine to Box 545, Richardson TX 75080, telling of your intent to report; then follow this with text and/or photos as soon as possible after the Nats has finished. Hints, anecdotes, activity - anything except the officiai results - whatever you think might be of interest, should be sent. If I get a lot, some of it may be shortened or held for another 1ssue. If I don't get very much, there may be a smaller issue:

## RECORDS? MAYBE

Santa Ana Record Trials, May 26, 1973, Cat. III Santa Ana MCAF, Santa Ana Cal.
Open Indoor Stick - 44:50.2, Bob Randolph
North Central Semi-Finals, June 2, 1973, Cat. II AMA State Fair Coliseum, Detroit, $65^{\prime}$ ceil. Cat. III FAI FAI Cat. III FAI - 25:20, J1m Richmond AMA Cat. II FAI - 25:20, Jim Richmond

## FAI INDOOR REPORT

Who Qualified?
The usual sources of info open to INAV have seemingly dried up, and very little solid info is available at this time. However, program entry is apparently down by $10 \%$ from 1971, and 25\% of the entrants are first-time entrants or have not participated for several years. This is the
largest turn-over in participation in the history of the Indoor program, which should be of concern to those who will plan future programs.

## Plan Ahead

It is high time that a new program poll be taken, to deal with the program which will pick the team for the 176 WCh . The time is now, of course, since one question needed is whether tine next program will be two years long like all the other team selection programs. This isaue almost passed in 1971, and very likely will pass on the next asking. Several who voted against a two-year program did not understand the implications of a spread-out program, and several outdoor fliers have noted that indoor activity peaks (with a one-year program) at the same time as outdoor program preparation. This effectively prevents as outdoor program preparation. Their participation in both prograins, which hurts the indoor participation. Another comment from non-participants seems valid: according to present rules, a flier must go all the way through a program before he is allowed to have a voice in the formation of a new program (that is, ballots are sent only to participants of the previous program; not even to the previous administrator). It only seems fair that ballots could be made avallable to those who register in advance for the up-coming program if they wish to participate in program planning.

## Semi-Final Results

North Central Semi-Final - June 2, 1973, Detroit, Michigan State Fair Coliseum, 65' ceiling. 9 entered, 7 qual.

1. Jim Richmond
2. Dick Kowalski
3. Ed Stoll
4. Bill Hulbert
5. Bucky Servaites
6. Ron Plotzke
7. Al Rohrbaugh (Senior)
8. Bill Shailor
9. Tom Sova (Semior)

| $25: 20$ | $24: 35$ | $40: 55$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $23: 15$ | $21: 40$ | $44: 55$ |
| $21: 46$ | $22: 14$ | $44: 00$ |
| $21: 47$ | $21: 51$ | $43: 38$ |
| $21: 23$ | $21: 55$ | $43: 18$ |
| $20: 21$ | $20: 27$ | $40: 48$ |
| $-12: 00$ | $-21: 31$ | $-40: 31$ |
| $20: 23$ | $18: 54$ | $-39: 17$ |
| $20: 31$ | $14: 35$ | $35: 06$ |

$80 \%$ of $49: 55=39: 55$ needed to qualify.

## Team Selection Trials Schedule

SANTA ANA - June $23,-24$, 1973. Bob Randolph, 25145 Lawton Ave., Loma Linda CA 92354, ph. 714-796-9706.
EAST COAST - July 1, 1973 , C. V. Russo, 143 W111cw Way, Clark NJ 07066: 201-382-0871. (Hangar \#5)
SOUTH CENTRAL - June 30-Jul.1, 1973, American Airlines hangar, Tulsa, OK. Bob Dunham, Box 7151, Tulsa OK 74105, ph. 918-.747-0720. Contestants who do not receive instruction sheet regarding entry should contact Dunham or Bud Tenny, Box 545, R1chardson IX 75080 ph. 214-235-4035, after June 22, 1973.

## CONTEST CALENDAR

CALIFORNIA - Santa Ana
Indoor RT at Santa Ana May-é2T, June 23-24, 1073. Contact Bob Randolph, 25145 Lawton Ave., Loma Linda CA 92354 for details.

CANADA - British Columbia
Contests in the $90^{\prime}$ Agrodome in Port Coquitlam will be held on June 30, Det. 6 and Nov. 17-18, 1973, with Scale, HLG, PennyPlane and FAI Indoor. Contact Alan Riches, 1568 Celeste Cres., Port Coquitiam, B. C. Canada for details.

NEW JERSEY - Lakehurst
Sport flying and Record Trials Hangar \#6, July 2, 1973 unless military schedule interrupts flying. Call C.V. Russo, 201-382-0871, on previous Friday to check about site avallability.

## 73 NIMAS POSTAL

| Name | Time | Coiling | Fudge | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Jr. Indoor Stick |  |  |  |  |
| Steve Loving | 502.8 | $22.5^{\prime}$ | 1.248 | 627.5 |
| Robin Stocking | 41.5 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 54.9 |

Jr. Class II HLG

| Steve Lovins | 20.0 | $27.5^{\prime}$ | 1.273 | 25.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr. Easy B |  |  |  |  |
| Kevin Wehner | 254.3 | $20.5^{\prime}$ | 1.312 | 333.6 |
| Sr. PennyPlane |  |  |  |  |
| Bruce Matthews | 316.2 | 22.21 | 1.256 | 397.1 |
| Kevin Wehner | 322.0 | 27.5' | 1.229 | 395.7 |
| Sr. Class I HLG |  |  |  |  |
| Bruce Matthews | 51.2 | $22.0^{\prime}$ | 1.136 | 58.2 |
| Open Class I HLG |  |  |  |  |
| Michael Thompson | 53.1 | $20^{1}$ | 1.25 | 66.4 |
| Open Indoor Stick |  |  |  |  |
| Howard Haupt | 1074.8 | $75^{\prime}$ | . 683 | 734.1 |
| Open PennyPlane |  |  |  |  |
| Alan Riches | 410.4 | 20.2 ' | 1.314 | 539.3 |
| Clarence Mather | 377.0 | 22.31 | 1.253 | 472.4 |
| Howard Haupt | 355.0 | $22.5{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.248 | 443.0 |
| Bud Tenny | 421.3 | $58^{\prime}$ | . 777 | 327.4 |
| Ted Katsanis | 236.0 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 312.2 |
| Open Easy B |  |  |  |  |
| Hal Crane | 553.0 | 20.1' | 1.318 | 728.8 |
| Clarence Mather | 531.0 | 22.31 | 1.253 | 715.5 |
| Fudo Takagi | 445.0 | $22.3{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.253 | 557.6 |
| Alan Riches | 422.2 | 20.21 | 1.314 | 554.8 |
| Bob Platt | 393.0 | $20.1{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.318 | 518.0 |
| Michael Thompson | 347.0 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 459.1 |
| Ted Katsanis | 338.0 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 447.2 |

CONTEST RESULTS
Second Annual Indoor Contest, Apr. 15, 1973, Cat. II Univ. of Cincinnati Fieldhouse, Cincinnati OH 65' ceil.

| Indoor HLG | PennyPlane |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bucky Servaites | Tom Sova* |  |
| Rudy Kluiber | Marty Richardson |  |
| Phil Sulliven | Hank DeKat |  |
| Chuck Markos | Rol Anderson |  |
| Mark Kummerow* | 1:29 Joe Sova |  |
| Paper Stick |  |  |
| Chuck Marios | 15:43 |  |
| Bucky Servaites | 13:19 |  |
| Tom Sova* | 12:56 |  |
| Joe Sova | 11:43 |  |
| Rol Anderson | 11:00 |  |
| AMA Scale |  |  |
| Bucky Servaites | 1911 Cessna | 174 points |
| Chuck Markos | Westiand Widgeon | 164 points |
| Mark Kummerow* |  | 161 points |
| Jim Boir | Impanema | 131 points |
| Ken Johnson | Fairchild | 125 points |
| Peanut Scale |  |  |
| Bucky Servaites | Dayton WrightOrd Hume | 5:54 |
| Lou Willis |  | 5:39 |
| Ken Johnson | Gee Bee Sportater | 3:58 |
| Jim Miller |  | 3:36 |
| Chuck Markos | Piper J3 | 2:55 |

*Senior age contestants

## HINTS AND KINKS

Curtis Janke suggests that a stressed cabane will be stiffer for a given weight than the conventional type. To make a stressed cabans, glue the top at a greater than normal angle (see sketch below), then pull the ends to the proper distance apart. After installation, a short piece of dacron or wire across the cabane half-way up will prevent the cabane from bowing out further under load.


## MODEL CONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUES

## Model Assembly J1g

One of the more important ateps in building a model is assembling the motorstick to the tail boom and then mounting the tail surfaces to the boom. The fixture shown beLow makes such assembly easy, safe, and accurste. As an added bonus, all the fixtures pack away in a small space for fleld repairs. In fact, one box $171 / 2 \times 111 / 2 \times 3$ holds the fixtures shown, plus a built-up boom fixture and a prop spar matching fixture (silthery-Dee, July ' 71 MAN), a spring scale and several prop covering frames (June '70 INAV), and finally, a sticix bracing fixture and a simple prop pitch gage. A complete field repair kit!

To use the fixtures, locate a flat surface about $18^{\prime \prime}$ long and perhaps $10^{\prime \prime}$ wide minimum. Tape down the fuselage support stand and tape or pin the motorstick solidly in it. Set the tail boom stand behind the stick, raised to the approximate height. Sl1p the tail boom and stick together, then position the tail boom stand so the boom is in exactly the planned position relative to the stick. Glue the boom to the stick and allow to dry thoroughly. Arrange the universal supports on either side of the boom, then place the stab acrose the boom and oupports. Arrange supports to the exact height (allow for stab tilt) needed. If the stab is to have washin/washout, this can, be get into the supports also. Glue the stab into place. If the stab is to be braced, add the bracing post(s) and the bracing before removing the model from the 118.

Depending upon whether the rudder is behind the stab or in front, the fin can also be assembled on the j1g. If the rudder is trailing or underslung, it may be best to attach the rudder before mounting the boom to the stick. With a rudder mounting in front of the atab, mount the boom to the stick, then rotate this assembly $90^{\circ}$ so the rudder will be horizontal. Use one or both the universal stands to support the rudder while attaching it to the boom, then rotate the assembly upright and attach the stab as before.

Finally, for field repairs or major changes (buch as changing the angle between boom and stick) mount the model in the fuselage support stand and use the other fixtures as necessary to support and steady the various parts while repairs/changes are made.

## STATE OF THE ART

Free Flight News is an excellent FF newsletter pubifshed monthly by Ian Kaynes, 11 Parkaide Rd., Sunningdale ASCOT, Berks, England SL5ONL. Thanks to Ian's husting crew of reporters, FFN had an excellent report on the '73 WCh and then follow-up three-views of many of the top models. Two of these three-views are reproduced on page 3, and the prop/rubber combo used by Jiraski is shown on page 4. It is now $11: 30 \mathrm{pm}$, and the CMOS/INP info hasn't been computed; this info will be reported in the July ' 73 issue so this thing can get printed tomorrow!

## INDOOR ELSEWHERE

ITALY - Rome
Coppa Urbe VIII (may also have been Italian Nats) was held in the $33.5 \mathrm{~m}\left(109^{\prime}\right)$ Palazzo Dello Sport (site of ${ }^{1} 68$ World Champs), with FAI and PennyPlane. The contest was held Mar. 19, 1973; the reaults below may be incomplete.

FAI (one gram)

| A. Frioli | Rimini | $30: 13$ | $29: 40$ | $59: 53$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P1. Migani | Rimini | $26: 51$ | $25: 17$ | $52: 08$ |
| F. Migani | Rimini | $26: 36$ | $24: 47$ | $51: 23$ |
| G. Magciullo | Rome | $23: 43$ | $26: 15$ | $49: 58$ |
| C. Cotugno | Rome | $24: 05$ | $24: 38$ | $48: 43$ |
| I. Federici | Rome | $21: 31$ | $21: 02$ | $42: 33$ |
| Martini | Rome | $15: 23$ | $24: 36$ | $39: 59$ |
| Saba | Rome | $16: 19$ | $22: 32$ | $38: 51$ |

PennyPlane ( 3.2 gram)

$$
\text { Pl. Migani Rimini } 7: 37
$$

ARGENTINA - Bu*nos Alres
The Argentine Indoor Nats were held Apr. 20, 1973 in a site with about 10 m ceiling. Barilari's $15: 32$ is both an Argentine national record and a record for South America as well. The results:

| Alberto Barilari | $14: 49$ | $15: 32$ | $30: 21$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nereo Beggiato | $14: 50$ | $14: 52$ | $29: 42$ |
| Eduardo Grippo | $13: 48$ | $14: 10$ | $27: 58$ |
| Miguel Leone | $10: 03$ | $14: 10$ | $24: 13$ |
| Domingo Sassono | $12: 05$ | $10: 55$ | $23: 00$ |
| Marcos Molo | $5: 37$ | $2: 54$ | $8: 31$ |





Jiraski 's prapeller / rubber combinations.


## NEWS and VIEWS

****MATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPIANR SOCITTYY***

## Now Kombers 1

NORMAN JACKY, 674 sterling Dr., Fond du Lac WI 54935 FRANK PITRONIO, Presbyterian Rd., Albion NY 14411 ROBFRT RODEN, 7738 N 32 Drive, Phoenix Az 85021

## Honorary Members

GIOVANNI FEDERICI, Via F. Tacchinardi 6/8, 01168 Roma, FRRNANDO MIGANI, V1a N. Tommaseo 66, $47037 \underset{\text { Rimind (FO) }}{\text { Itaiy }}$ Italy

## Change of Address

BILL HAUGHT, 3205 Nottingham Lane, Modesto CA 95350

## Ernie Xopecky

We lost a good friend when Trnie Kopeoky died on July 3, 1973. He finally succumbed to heart trouble which had plagued him repeatediy in the last few jears. He was always a friend, helpful when he could be, and a hard, fair competitor. We will miss him grestiy.

## New Materialal

Ray Harlan, 15 Happy Hollow Rd. Wayland MA 01778, has located a cource of "0 rings - 9/64" OD, 3/64" ID, with $3 / 64^{\prime \prime}$ cross section. The cost 1 s 15申 each, and their weight is.0u138 oz. Ray vill furnish these at $15 \phi$ each in lots of one a?

## Jy.gs and Fixtures

Indoor modelers are probably more prolific users of special j1gs and fixtures than any other kind of modeler. Many of you have furnished these ideas in the past, and these devices will be featured in a future series. All who have unusual and helpful $j 1 g s$ and fixtures are asked to share them for this series.

## Airfoils

As I geared up for the team selection program, it was necessary to make aro templates of large radius. Four curves were drawn, arranged in pairs ( $25^{\prime \prime} / 22^{\prime \prime}$ and $20^{\prime \prime} / 17^{\prime \prime}$ ) to make Andrews-type double-curved ribs. These curves will be copied on request (send stamped, self-addressed envelope with your request) at no charge. The \% thickness of these curves according to wing chord is shown below:

| Chord | $25^{\prime \prime}$ | $\underline{22}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\underline{20}^{n}$ | $17^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6^{\prime \prime}$ | $3 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| $7^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| $8^{\prime \prime}$ | $4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

## Speoial Tools?

Stan Chilton suggests that Brookstone Co, Dept. C, 12 Brookstone Bldg., Peterborough NH 03458, is a very good source of special tools for model builders. Their catalog is 63 pages of very diversified and unusual high quality tools. Send for a catalog!

## FAI INDOOR REPORT

## Finals Site Confirmed

The South Central Semi-Finsls showed that the Amerioan Airlines Maintenance Hangar at Tulsa International Airport (holds a DC-10, a 747 and two smailer airplanes at the same time) is quite satisfactory for the Toam Selection Finals. AKA HQ has announced that AKA President John Clemens has approved the site choice on the previously announced dates of Aug. 17-19, 1973. Aug. 17 (Friday) is for test flying, while three official rounds will be held on each of the two remaining days.

## Editor: Bud Tenny • Box $545 \cdot$ Richardson. Texas 75080

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 2．Clarence Mather } & 531.0 & 22.3^{\prime} & 1.253 & 715.5 \\ \text { 3．Fudo Takag1 } & 445.0 & 22.3^{\prime} & 1.253 & 557.6 \\ \text { 4．Alan Riches } & 422.2 & 20.2^{\prime} & 1.314 & 554.8 \\ \text { 5．Bob Platt } & 393.0 & 20.1^{\prime} & 1.318 & 518.0 \\ \text { 6．Michael Thompson } & 347.0 & 20^{\prime} & 1.323 & 459.1 \\ \text { 7．Ted Katsanis } & 338.0 & 20^{\prime} & 1.323 & 447.2 \\ \text { 8．Kevin Wehner } & 254.3 & 20.5^{\prime} & 1.312 & 333.6 \\ \text { 9．＊} & & & \\ \text { 10．＊} & & & \end{array}$ carried only until the next postal meet，when the Easy B times from the postal meet are then declared as the new Top Ten．So，＂bump＂into the list if you can！

## INDOOR ELSEWHERE

ROMANIA－Slanic
＂Indoor ${ }^{\prime} 73^{\prime \prime}$ ，an international indoor meet attended by iliers from 5 countries，war held in the salt mine（aite of＇ 70 WCh）．Conditions were excellent，due primarily to the fact thet heaters used during the＇70 wCh were not used this time．With 24 flights over 30 minutes and 7 over 35，performances rivalled those of the＇ 72 wCh at cardington．

| 1．Aurel Popa | Romania I | 36：16 | 39：16 | 75：32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2．E．Holtier | Romania II | 37：01 | 37：21 | 74：22 |
| 3．Karol Rybecky | Czech． | 37：05 | 35：32 | 72：37 |
| 4．A．Morama | Romania I | 36：07 | 33：38 | 69：45 |
| 5．Andras Ree | Hungary | 33：01 | 34：07 | 67：08 |
| 6．Otto Hints | Romania II | 33：31 | 32：49 | 66：20 |
| 7．Antal Egri | Hungary | 32：52 | 33：08 | 66：00 |
| 8．Jiri Kalina | Czech． | 33：04 | 32：55 | 65：59 |
| 9．N．Bezman | Romania I | 33：25 | 32：24 | 65：49 |
| 10．John Blount | Ergland | 33：02 | 30：38 | 63：40 |
| 11．Res Parham | England | 29：02 | 34：00 | 63：02 |
| 12．R．Czechowsky | Foland | 31：35 | 31：08 | 62：43 |
| 13．S．Botos | Romania II | 28：59 | 30：39 | 59：38 |
| 14．Laurie Barr | England | 29：24 | 26：33 | 55：57 |
| 15．A．Valenta | Czech． | 26：53 | 27：04 | 53：57 |
| 16．Gy．Buzadi | Hungary | 25：49 | 27：04 | 52：53 |
| 17．Stefan Bombol | Poland | 24：13 | 24：05 | 48：18 |
| 18．S．Kujawa | Poland | 23：57 | 23：24 | 47：21 |
| 19．Zoltan Ocsody | Hungary | 20：00 | 01：05 | 21：05 |
| Team Standings |  |  |  |  |
| 1．Romania I |  |  | 211：06 |  |
| 2．Romania II |  |  | 200：20 |  |
| 3．Czechoslovakia |  |  | 192：33 |  |
| 4．Hungary |  |  | 186：01 |  |
| 5．England |  |  | 182：39 |  |
| 6．Poland |  |  | 158：22 |  |

POLAND－Wroclaw
An international indoor meet was held in Wroclaw on June $8-10$ ，1973，witn the following resulta：

| 1．Jiri Kalina | Czech． | 27：35 | 27：52 | 55：27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2．Edward Ciapala | Poland | 28：12 | 26：45 | 54：58 |
| 3．R．Chekowaki | Poland | 27：49 | 26：45 | 54：01 |
| 4．S．Kujawe | Poland | 24：47 | 28：14 | 53：01 |
| 5．Andras Ree | Hungary | 25：39 | 27：14 | 52：53 |
| 6．V．Nikorada | Romania | 25：30 | 26：27 | 51：57 |
| 7．G．Buzadi | Hungary | 23：43 | 28：10 | 51：53 |
| 8．N．Bezman | Romania | 28：12 | 22：30 | 50：42 |
| 9．Karol Rybecky | Czech． | 23：41 | 26：38 | 50：19 |
| 10．Stefan Bombol | Poland | 25：17 | 23：15 | 48：32 |
| 11．A．Valenta | Czech． | 20：46 | 22：20 | 43：06 |
| 12．Zoltan Ocsody | Hungary | 18：10 | 27：14 | 42：57 |
| 13．D．Frateanu | Romania | 17：43 | 14：04 | 31：47 |
| 14．Z．Szymanski | Poland | 13：52 | 12：03 | 25：55 |
| 15．P．Frackiewicz | Poland | 6：17 | 13：59 | 20：16 |
| Team Standings |  |  |  |  |
| 1．F ：nd I |  |  | 155：34 |  |
| 2．Czerhoslovakia |  |  | 153：52 |  |
| 3．Hundery |  |  | 142：43 |  |
| 4．Romania |  |  | 134：26 |  |
| 5．Poland II |  |  | 101：03 |  |

## STATE OF THE ART

Jim Richmond＇s resounding come－back at Detroit（fíve minute margin over 2nd place）made it seem like old times． Those who observed $h$ ： 73 design（Detroit Tiger）noted that the model looker the same as in earlier years．Jim wing chord and have finaliy achieved flight characteris－ tics similar to those of my old（＇67－170）designs．The symmetrical wing construction and the simplified stab bracing worked out oK．This method of getting wing offset works fine and doesr＇t produce long，unsupported spars on the left side as traditional methods do．These heavier， more powerful planes need the extra atrength on the left， torque－loaded side of the wing．I think the motorstiok $1 s$ a bit longer than necessary．It＇s hard on the ulcers hooking up a motor stretched out that far！The i7咅等 prop was a bit on the gmail side，but worked out OK．It was the same type I always use．

Jim＇s balance point gave him $+17 \%$ margin（CMOS）．The INP（Mar／Apr 73 INAV）came out $+22.6 \%$ ，which may be some－ what misleading since the model is higher aspect ratio
than Hal designed the INP chart for．
Unfinished Business
Last month＇s models（Kalina and Jiraski）were set up as follows：Kalina－CMOS $=+1.8 \%$ ，INP $=+20 \%$ ；J1raski－ CMOS $=+6.3 \%$, INP $=+23 \%$ ．Copies of the CMOS charts are available on request．


LIAMAC ANNUAL INDOOR MEET，Apr．29，1973，Cat．II
Cantiague Park，Hickeville，L．I．NY $50^{\prime}$ ceiling

| Jr．－Sr．HLG |  | Open HLG |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adam Minissian | 74.8 | Jack Minissian | 84.6 |
| Ron Stransky | 73.0 | Bob Nichols | 84.2 |
| Bruce Pailet | 70.8 | George Rivers | 82.2 |
| Barry Pailet | 69.2 | Al Vollmer | 79.8 |
| Joe Nuszer，Jr． | 65.2 | John Kaufman | 78.4 |
| Jro－Sr。Easy B |  | Open Easy B |  |
| Dan Aggers | 8：02．4 | Pete Andrews | 10：18．4 |
| Chris Clemens | 7：49．2 | Frank Haynes | 8：55．0 |
| Jerry Haynes | 7：01．0 | Bob Clemens ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8：36．6 |
| Larry DeCarlo | 7：00．0 | John Kukon | 8：22．2 |
| Adam Minissian | 6：32．2 | Carrol Al － | 8：20．0 |
| Indoor Stick |  | Indoor Scale |  |
| Larry Decarlo | 11：29．2 | Dog uerofalow | 120.0 ptz |
| Dan Domina | 10：55．6 | Jc‘s Muszer | 114.4 |
| John Kukon | 10：35．0 | Chet Bukowski | 110.8 |
| Pete Andrews | 10：15．8 | Bob Hatschek | 108.8 |
| Al Vollmer | 9：38．6 | Bob Bender | 99.5 |
| Jr．－Sr．Peanut Scale |  | Open Feanut Scale |  |
| Bruce Pailet | 57.4 pt | Dan Domina | 92.5 pts ． |
| Chris Clemens | 52.8 | Don Garofalow | 67.0 |
| Jerry Haynes | 51.6 | Ed Franklin | 66.0 |
| Barry Pailet | 39.6 | Frank Haynes | 61.6 |
| Ron Stransky | 39.5 | Bob Clemens | 58.9 |

Jr．－Sr．High Point Champion－Bruce Pailet
Open High Point Champion－Dan Domina
Meet Champion－Dan Domina

## HINTS AND KINKS

Curtis Janke reports some shc：－t－cuts used by Jim Rich－ mond：

To stabilize the slightly curved tips on his wide－wing FAI design，Jim bowed the end rib outward slightly，then ran a tension brace across the ends to hold the tip bowed．

To cure warps due to film ghrinkage，Jim passes a lit cigarette under the extra－tight film while warping the surface back into shape．The smoke has a sort of relaxing， effect on the film，which lasts fust about 30 minutes．It isn＇t clear why this works，but Curtis suggests that the smoke fumes force themselves into the pores of the film and expand it temporarily．

Jim uses saliva to attach his condenser paper；the moisture causes the spars to expand span－wise so that the paper and spars expand and contract together．

Jim usea tapered，rectangular prop spars．There are two major gains to this；the spars are stiffer for a given weight and are easier to cut and match．

## A LOOK AT YESTERYEAR

Have you ever wondered why sheets of indoor wood are only about $1-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ wide？There may be other reasons for this particular size in modern times，but Frank zeic re－ lates that $1 t$ all started because $1-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ wide sheets made exactly the right size motorstich blenk for models flown by Carl Goldberg（and kitted by Frank）．


This aisousaion is continued from the May' 73 INAV, which showed two-wire bracing and internal details of the motorsticks uned by Al Rohrbaugh.

Fig. 1 shows a very common bracing scheme - the angled monowire. This is the easiest motorstick brace to make and "live with", in that it does not disturb the wing bracing and is mostly out of the way of handiling the model without snagging the wire.

Fig. 2 shows an experimental scheme which combines the removable monowire with side brace wires. The top wire, a removable monowire, must be anchored at one and and removable at the other. If the wire is anchored at the rear hook, the prop muat be removed to remove the wing, since the free ond loops over the thrust bearing. With a rear hook like that shown, the prop can be assembled to the model before the wing is attached. Also, wing repair and adjustments are possible without removing the prop. Those side wires shown in Fig. 2 are a worthwhile addition, proFided one can remember not to "twang" them with a wound motor on the model.

Of the four schemes discussed sa far, only the angled monowire does not permit soparate dow thrust and side thrust adjustments. From a personal standpoint, I feel that side thrust is essential in most models to help puil the nose around in the turn during the burst. Again, from a personal standpoint, I prefer either the removable monowire or the three-wire system shown in Fig. 2. As a rule, downthrust (permanent adjustment in the bearing anglé) is not oritical; temporary downthrust caused by
slackening the uppor monowire slightly may be very ueeful in oontrolling stalilng during the burst. Because the tro-wire bracing (May 73 INAV) is oritical on exact balance in tension between the tro wires, it is usuaily not feasible to adjust wire tension on the field.

A very interesting bracing system, designed by Erv Rodemsky, is shown in Fig. 3. His wing and fuselage are braced together as a unit, with the motoretick brace wires also lending torsional resiatance to the wing so that no cabane is nesded. As a result, very wide wing models or models with extra-long motorsticks can be braced without a lot of extra weight. Note three characteristics of this bracing scheme: First, the brace wires must unhook at $A$ and B. Second, although Erv uses all compression ribs at all other stations in the wing (for reliability), tension ribs are used at the two center locations (C). Finally, note that flight loads on the wing are absorbed in two short posts which are offset toward the inboard wing so as to provide added support for the longer inboard panel.

Erv cites one major disadvantage with this system without a cabane steadying the posta, it is a bit scary to handle the wing when it is not plugged into the mount block or the fuselage! However, the wire braces are not critioal on tension; in fact, he nomally installs them so there is no visible slack. When the motor is hooked up, the whole model locks into a rigid structure. As for variable downthrust, this could be provided by instaling the wires slightly slack and then tensioning them with a sliding loop shown as a dotted line (E).


FIG. 3

## The Voice of N.I.M.A.S. AUG•1973 INDOOR



## NEWS and VIEWS <br> Indoor St1gk <br> Junior <br> Paper Stick <br> Junior

1. Jimmy Clem 2. John Magnus
2. W11l1am Schlarb
3. Robert Perkins
4. Mindi Linstrum
5. Bruce Pailet

18:21.2 1. Jimmy Clem<br>17:24.0 2. Bruce Pailet<br>8:41.6 3. Barry Pailet<br>7:55.4 4. Robert Perkins<br>6:44.4<br>5. William Schlarb<br>7. Mindi Linstrum<br>8. John Magnus

## Editor: Bud Tenny• Box 545 • Richardson, Texas•75080 <br> Indoor Cabin Indoor HLG Junior

| Senior |  | Senior |  | Senior |  | Senior |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. B111 Shailor | 21:10.0 | 1. Paul Shailor | 17:08.0 | 1. Tom Sova | 15:42.2 | 1. Robert Hayes | 123.7 |
| 2. Tom Sova | 21:00.5 | 2. B111 Shailor | 16:07.0 |  |  | 2. Charles Welse | 122.2 |
| 3. Richard Doig | 18:35.9 | 3. Richard Doig | 15:52.5 |  |  | 3. Paul Shatlor | 114.4 |
| 4. Walter Lounsbery | 15:28.4 | 4. Tom Sova | 11:49.0 |  |  | 4. Brian Pardue | 111.5 |
| 5. Keith Gordey | 12:04.8 | 5. Steve Oravecz | 10:00.2 |  |  | 5. John Loribecki | 104.0 |
| 6. Scott Wieniewski | 9:35.6 | 6. Walter Lounsbery | $9: 53.0$ |  |  | 6. Peter Lewis | 102.2 |
|  |  | 7. Scott Wisniewski | $8: 21.1$ |  |  | 7. Keith Gordey | 98.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8. Mark Kummerow | 97.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 9. Richard Doig | 96.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10. Walter Lounsbery | 90.8 |
| Open |  | Open |  | Open |  | Open |  |
| 1. Al Rohrbaugh | 29:04.6 | 1. Dennis Jaecks | 20:14.2 | 1. Bob Randolph | 23:19.5. | 1. Bucky Servaites | 132.1 |
| 2. Charlie Sotich | 25:40.2 | 2. Al Rohrbaugh | 19:15.2 | 2. Bucky Servaites | 23:15.0 | 2. Robert Watson | 128.6 |
| 3. Dennis Jeecks | $24: 43.6$ | 3. Bob Randolph | 18:32.3 | 3. Larry Cailliau | 20:48.2 | 3. Rudy Kluiber | 122.0 |
| 4. Bob Randolph | 23:50.4 | 4. Charlie Sotich | 17:45.6 | 4. Al Rohrbaugh | 18:30.8 | 4. Phillip Sullivan | 120.6 |
| 5. Howard Haupt | 22:51.0 | 5. Rolland Anderson | 17:00.4 | 5. Dennis Jaecks | 16:22.0 | 5. George Lewis | 116.2 |
| 6. Dick Hardcastle | 20:07.4 | 6. Cuxtis Janke | 16:56.0 | 6. Wayne Zink | 16:16.0 | 6. Larry Cailliau | 115.8 |
| 7. Gilbert Graunke | 19:09.2 | 7. Chuck Markos | 16:53.2 |  |  | 7. Denny Dock | 115.0 |
| 8. Wayne Zink | 14:12.5 | 8. Ed Stoll | 16:44.3 |  |  | 8. Dick Swenson | 106:6 |
| 9. Otto Curth | 13:21.2 | 9. Larry Cailliau | 15:16.2 |  |  | 9. Chuck Markob | 106.4 |
| 10. Jeff Annia | 13:02.5 | 10. Wayne zink | 13:56.0 |  |  | 10. Dan Belieff | 98.4 |


| Indoor Scale |  | PennyPlane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Junior |  | Junior |
| 1. Rebecca Stark | 99.50 | 1. Dan Brown |
| 2. Barry Pailet | 99.33 | 2. Bob Perkins |
| 3. Bruce Pailet | 94.67 | 3. Mindi Iinstrum |
| 4. Tim Noonan | 67.67 | 4. Tim Stone |
| 5. Laurie Starix | 64.0 | 5. Ed Kozak |
| Senior |  | 6. Tim Noonan |
| 1. Mark Kummerow |  | 8. Carl Linstrum |
| 2. Scott Wisniewski | 83.67 |  |
| 3. Jeffrey N1x | 58.83 | Senior |
| 4. Bruce Bina | 50.0 | 1. Kelth Gordey |
| 5. Jon Rogers | 49.0 | 2. Steve Oravecz |
| 6. Michael Joerms | 45.0 | 3. Walter Lounsbery |
| 7. Alan Stone | 20.0 | 4. Tom Sova |
| Open |  | 5. Mark Kummerow <br> 6. Rich Jaros |
| 1. Keith Ward | 158.50 | Open |
| 2. Frederick Stark | 153.0 |  |
| 3. William Naylor | 143.33 | 1. Dennis Jaecks |
| 4. Ron Martlet | 141.67 | 2. Diok Hardcastle |
| 5. Chuck Markos | 138.33 | 3. Gordon Wisnlewski |
| 6. Bucky Servaites | 136.0 | 4. Bob Randolph |
| 7. Hal Warner | 128.17 | 5. Steve Brown |
| 8. John Martin | 126.67 | 6. Larry Cailliau |
| 9. Don Garofalow | 123.17 | 7. Joe Sova |
| 10. Edward Fort | 119.25 | 8. Bud Tenny |

${ }^{\prime} 73$ Nata

[^0]$12: 22.0$
$11: 06.6$
$9: 58.6$
$9: 53.6$
$8: 49.2$
$7: 57.0$
$5: 44.0$
$1: 04.1$

1. John Magnus
2. Tim Patterson
3. Barry Pailet
4. Bruce Pailet
5. Jimmy Clem
6. Chris Clemens

| $11: 15.4$ | 1. William Schlarb | 104.0 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $6: 34.5$ | 2. James Bayley | 87.7 |
| $5: 44.3$ | 3. Barry Pailet | 84.1 |
| $5: 10.8$ | 4. Brues Pailet | 83.9 |
| $4: 48.6$ | 5. John Magnus | 83.0 |
| $4: 28.6$ | 6. Douglas Marsh | 82.3 |
|  | 7. Robert Perkins | 73.0 |
|  | 8. Tim Patterson | 71.2 |
|  | 9. Daniel Sargent | 53.0 |
|  | 10. James Loribeaki | 44.2 |

$15: 42.2$
23.7
114.4
111.5
102.2
98.7
97.8
90.8
04.0 87.7 84.1
83.9 83.0 82.3 73.0
71.2
53.0 .2

20:14.2 19:15.2 8:32.3 17:00.4 16:56.0 16:53.2 $16: 44.3$ 13:56.0
132.1
128.6
122.0
120.6
116.2
115.8
115.0
106.6
106.4
98.4





normal entry in Indoor events with many not flying -- the ghost-like and majestic flight by Rohrbaugh's high aspect ratio model -- recalls the beauty of flight of 90 cm FAI models, but more slow, steady flight -- fierce rivalry between Jimmy Clem and John Magnus -- also between Bruce and Barry Pailet -- Paul Shailor's win in Paper Stick with the model his brother encouraged him to build -- dogged persistance of Jim Richmond as he repeatedly repaired pieces of models retrieved from the lights -- the quiet competence and firm command of Bob Champine (indoor director) --Thanks to Bob and many helpers for a good meet!

## THE SCALE REPORT

By Dr。John Martin
Despite the absence of many of last year's scale flyers, indoor scale entries were up $20 \%$ from '72, and the quality of models was up also. 73 scale models were entered - 36 in AMA scale, 7 in Navy Scale and 30 in Peanut Soale. I feel that the 1973 Nats had the best collection of indoor scale models ever, and that, due to the challenging rules (with the exception of Peanut), the event will continue to grow and improve the breed.

AMA Scale - With the exception of Ron Martelet's microlite covered Pilatus Porter (which won 1 st for him three years ago), all of the entries were very realistic. The realism even extended to having the scale number of ribs, longerons, stringers and rigging wires. This was the second year of the new indoor scale rules and most scale modelers are enjoying the challenge of building a realistic model light enough to fly well. All of the top placed models managed flights over a minute despite the fact they were dripping with details and "hoavy" constructiono Back again are the standbys of the $1930^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ - the Cubs, Monocoupes, and other cabin monoplanes. Although the judging was severe, as befits a national contest, there were some very high appearance point totals. This was particularly true of Bob Meuser's magnificent Blackburn monoplane. The soale presentation alone looked like an encyclopedia with 8交 $\times 11$ glossy photos and close-up detail photos. It scored a Nats record of 92.5 points but never made an of ficial flight. The scale turnbuckles on the left wing rigging were too tight and crumpled the wing beyond repair on one hard landing.

The winner - Keith Ward, and second place Tom Stark, flew very similar planes. $3 / 4^{\text {i }}$ scale, built like the factory, and weighing about one ounce. Both were capable of ROG flights of well over a minute. It was a joy to see this field of realistic aircraft take off, circle and land like their counterparts.

Navy Scale - Only seven entries appeared in this event which commerates 25 years of Navy sponsorship of the Nats. The Miami Indoor Club will sponsor the event again next year, as they did this year, with the hope that the entry will grow. Any AMA Scale model of any nation's navy wili qualify. More advance publicity may increase this entry; the many biplanes in bright service colors make it a very interesting event. The results:

Best Junior: Mark Kummerow, Jap Jungman Biplane
Open: 1. Lloyd Wood British Navy Stinson 114.5 2. Ed Fort Curtis Falcon Bide 112.17

Peanut Scale - Easily the fastest growing indoor sport since Monopoly, Peanut scale has a very disturbing trend not envisioned by the authors of the current provisional rules. Peanut has become the realm of the indoor stout Cabin competitors. The winners, wispy, see-through aircraft, are not what the Megow and Comet $10 \phi$ kit crowd had in mind, in my opinion. Soap bubbles capable of three or four minute filights are winning contests and looking not at all like scale airplanes. Minus points for appearance are easily overcome by endurance points. The ' 72 Peanut winners are not indoor scale builders, but three of the best indoor endurance builders in the country.

This event is sponsored by the Detroit Cloudbusters, who buy the trophies out of their club treasury. These fellows deserve much more recognition than they get, since they also do a.ll the scale judging of indoor models. They drove down from Oshkosh after rising at 5 am, stayed all day, and left for the free flight area at Oshkosh at 10 pm . These judges will remain unsung heros until I get their names from George Lewis, their leader. Whoever they are, we thank them profusely!

This year's winning Peanut Pilot was Indoor Cabin record holder Bob Randolph, flying a $13^{\prime \prime}$ Nesmith Cougar. His total of 751 more than doubled the 359 point total made by Clarence Mather last year with a similar plane. Second was Bucky Servaites, flying an interesting Dayton-Wright racer with retract gear up. Third place was Dick Hardcastle with the Hannan Pilatua Porter design. The Cloudbuster Best Craftsmanship Trophy was won by Henry Frautschy,

Senior, whose Bleriot 12 got maximum scale points (20). The Bill Hannan Best Antiaue award went to Jim Gery for a beautiful 1927 Pietenpol. It garnered maximum appearande points and still was able to make flights of 59,58 and 55 seconds. In my opinion, Gery's model was the best of 30 entries because it looked so well and flew almost a minute each flight.

If rules which encourage building models of the type flown by Jim Gery can be devised, more Peanut fliers will be happy. Bob Clemens submitted a rule change this year which had many improvements; it was rejected, I believe, because too much documentation was required for a "fun". event.

Poanut Analysis - Randolph's Nesmith Cougar made a threeflight total of 751 seconds, all hand launched. Appearance points - minus 15. Modified Mather plans, miorolite covering. Tail surfaces - outline only, no internal structure. Power - $15^{\text {M }}$ loop of .028 pirelil, 2000 turns. Prop - $7^{\text {II }}$ dia. bent sheet, $45^{\circ}$ pitch at tips. Weight 1.25 grams:

The Dayton-Wright RB-1 by Bucky Servaites was condenser paper covered from Henry Struck plans. Oval fuselage seation with no landing gear; scored zero appearance points. Total of 498 points with $7^{\prime \prime}$ dia. hand-carved prop - "The only way", according to Bucky. Structure was $1 / 32^{h}$ sq. $4 \#$ balsa; power was $14^{\pi}$ loop of .050 pirelli with 1600 turns. Weight - 3 grams.

Dick Hardaastle's Pilatus Porter was from Hannan plans and scored minus 6 appearance points. Covering was dyed condenser paper with details added in india ink and rub-on letters from art store. A tiny three-blade prop looked like an electric fan; sheet balsa blades would clear scale length landing gear to permit ROG flights. A $16^{1 \prime}$ loop of .038 pirelli with 2080 max turns gave a 291 sec. total; one flight climbed to the $90^{\prime}$ ceiling to delight everyone.

## THE PICTURE STORY

Photo credits: (1) Dave Linstrum; (2) Bob Clemens

## Page 2, Row 1

Left: Close-up of cross-section bulge of Rohrbaugh's
cabin model. (2)
Center: Jimmy Clem with paper-covered cabin model. (1)
Right: Chris Clemens launches "Easy Cabin" - Easy $B$ wing and tail on cabin fuselage. (2)

Page 2, Row 2
Left: Jim Richmond, as he appeared most all day. The lights and drift were brutal! (1)
Center: Bob Champine (1), Indoor Director, greets
George Lewis, Indoor Scale Director.(1)
Right: George Lewis confers with a scale judge.(1)

## Page 2, Row 3

Left: Bill Shailor, Senior Indoor stick winner.(1)
Center: Dick Hardcastle with tandem PennyPlane.(1)
Right: HQ central of PennyPlane event.(1)
Page 2, Row 4
Three HLG fliers, (l to r) - Mark Kummerow, Robert Hayes, Walter Lounsbery. (all 1)

Right: Unidentified PennyPlane flier.(1)
Page 3, Row 1
Left: Bob Meuser's excellent Blackburn Monoplane. (2)
Center: Bucky Servaites' 1920 Dayton-Wright racer. (2)
Right: Scale winner - Keith Ward's J-3 Piper Gub. (2)
Page 3. Row 2
Left: Wayne Zink checks motor for launch.(1)
Center: Dennis Jaecks with the PennyPlane winner.(1)
Right: Tom Sova winds his PennyPlane.(1)

Page 3，Rov 3
Left：Mindi Linstrum and her cabin model．（1）
Left Center：Karl Linstrum with Dave＇s Super Dart．（1）
Right Center：Your editor，moustache，TennyPenny．（1）
Right：Unidentified PennyPlane entrant．（1）
Page 3，Rov 4
Left：Bill Bigge inspects Paper Stiak model．（2）
Center：Bob Randolph steers V－tail D stick．（2）
Right：Ed stoll prepares Paper Stick model．（2）
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## Naw Members：

GRORGE B．ARMSTEAD，Jr．， 89 Harvest Lane，Glastonbury， CT 06037
HANK L．DEKAT， 5656 Flanders Rd．，Toledo OH 43623 J．R．GRANT， 4797 Parkinson Blvd．，Plerrefonds， Quebec，Ont．Canada STEVE ORAVECZ， 4839 Janet，Sylvania OH 43560 WILIIS TRAPHAGEN， 61 Olde Stage Rd．，Chelmsford MA 01824

## Family Memberships

JOHN TRAPHAGEN， 61 Olde Stage Rd．，Chelmsford MA 01824
Honorary Members
MARBER $\triangle$ MARTINEZ，Pernas 2490 Apt．102，Montevideo Uruguay
BORIS ZOUBAKIN， 65 Wecker Rd．，Mt．Gravatt，Brisbane
Queensland，Australia

## Change of Address

BOB COWLEY， 3465 W．159th St．，Cleveland OH 44111
MARTIN SHEPHERD， 344 Berkhamsted Rd．，Chesham，
Buckinghamshire，England
This Is
What＇s here is here－there was no more．Several reports were promised，but the scale report and two sets of photographs arrived．There is no doubt of the talent available in NIMAS－presumably everyone got caught in a time crunch similar to the one here！

## The Next Issue

The sept：＇ 73 issue will follow this one in short order，now that a late Nats，followed by FAI Finals and my annual responsibility for a Class AAA labor Day meet are past．Howevers＊＊HRLP＊＊！Very few photos of the Finals are available here，but there were several cameras in use at the Finals．Does anyone care to share？How about a deadine of 10 days after you receive this issue？Send black and white photos to Box 545，Richardson TX 75080」

## The Team

The Finals winners were：Cailliau－ $30: 35+28: 34$ ； Stoll－30：06＋28：37；Servaites－ $30: 28+27: 54$ ． 23 entrants participated，and conditions were good enough for 19 flights to exceed 27 minutes．Complete results in the sspt．＇73 issue．

## Thanks To Amerioan Airlines

Those pliers who wish to thank American Airlines for their excellent cooperation during the south central semi and the Finals should address these letters to：

Mr．Dick Tyler，Public Relations
American Airlines
3800 North Mingo Rd．
Tulsa，Oklahoma
In should be noted that this is，to my knowledge，the very first example of major U．S．industrial assistance to， and acknowledgement of，formal model aviation in the U．S． In a very real sense we can claim to be a part of modeling history．

## FAI Benefit Contests

In the Dec．＇ 63 INAV，then Team selection Chairman clarence Mather conceived the idea of＂team benefit＂con－ tests or events．The 1dea was to hold special events at regular indoor meets（or special meets）；prizes were to be nominal in value so that entry fees could be donated to the Indoor Inboard Travel Fund．This fund defrays team travel expenses between home and the point of debarkation as the team members assemble to go to the wh．such an effort to boost the travel fund would only indirectly benefit the Team effort at this time，since the current fund balance at this time is probably sufficient to send two teams．Rather，perhaps we could boost the fund to a level such that sone degree of travel expense reimburse－ ment could be made to indoor Finalists traveling a long distance to Finals．Can INAV readers please share their views（postcard to INAV）on the issue of travel help？

## FAI Challenges

Bill Shailor，one of a fine crop of younger fliers now breaking into FAI，asks for INAV reader reactions to this proposal：＂since indoor activity is almost nil，par－ ticularly in the FAI class，how about having an FAI meet of some magnitude to spur interest？It would have to be held in a large building to handle the models and to avoid overcrowding．We could even institute team competition with three－man teams，along with individual competition． I feel this would spark interest in FAI and create more activity．This can also raise the level of U．S．compe－ tition and give us better teams．＂

Ed．comment：Bill＇s idea parallels and supplements thoughts I＇ve had．Perhaps this movement could begin with team and individual challenges on the local level－like inter－and intra－state chalienges．For example，Jim Clem， Jimmy Clem and Bud Tenny challenge fliers in the Texas－ Oklahoma area to face－to－face FAI competition at a time and place to be mutually decided．Who is game？

TOP TEN CEILING DODGERS

| Name | Time | Ceiling | Fudge | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1．Stan Chilton | 1115 | 35＇ | 1.0 | 1115 |
| 2．Tom Vallee | 810 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 1071.6 |
| 3．Robert Dunham III\＃＊ | 1454 | $89^{\prime}$ | ． 627 | 911.7 |
| 4．Hal Crane | 682 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 902.3 |
| 5．Bob Dunham＊＊ | 1357 | 891 | ． 627 | 850.8 |
| 6．Bud Tenny | 1275＊ | 89＇＊ | ．627 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 799．4＊ |
| 7．Dick Hardoastla | 602 | $23^{\prime}$ | 1.234 | 742.9 |
| 8．Hewitt Phillipa | 528.2 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 698.8 |
| 9．Howard Haupt | 456 | $22^{\prime}$ | 1．261 | 574.5 |
| 10．Steve Lovens | 433.2 | 20．5＊＊ | 1．307＊ | 566．2＊ |

＊Entry corrected jrom last listing。＊＊New listing
TOP TEN EASY B

| Name | Time | Colling | Fudge | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1．Hal Crane | 553.1 | $20.1^{1}$ | 1.318 | 728.8 |
| 2．Olarence Matherr | 531.0 | $22.3{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.253 | 715.5 |
| 3．Fudo Takagi | 445.0 | 22．3＇ | 1.253 | 557.6 |
| 4．Alan Riches | 422.2 | $20.2{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.314 | 554.8 |
| 5．Kevin Wehner | 414.3 | 20．5 ${ }^{\text {＊}}$ | 1．307＊ | 566．2＊ |
| 6．Bob Platt | 393.0 | $20.1{ }^{1}$ | 1.318 | 518.0 |
| 7．M1chael Thompson | 347.0 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 459.1 |
| 8．Ted Katsanis | 338.0 | $20^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 447.2 |
| 9．Bob Leishman | 297.0 | $18^{\prime}$ | 1.394 | 414.0 |
| 10．Open |  |  |  |  |
| ＊Entry corrected fro | last 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ing. **N } \\ & \text { NDAR } \end{aligned}$ | listin |  |

CONNECTICUT－Glastonbury
The Glastonbury Modelers are holding indoor sessions with their club meetings on Oct．4，Nov．8，Dec．6， 1973 from 7 pm to $9: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ at the Glastonbury High Gym．Contest at same site Nov．18， 19738 am to 5 pm ．Contact George Armstead， 89 Harvast Lane，Glastonbury CT 06033.

FLORIDA－Miami
Arrangements under wey to begin indoor sessions in Miami。Contact Dr．John Martin， 3227 Darwin St．，Miami FL 33133 for detalls．

NEW JERSEY－Union
Indoor sessions at Livingston School on Midiand Ave．， Union NJ， 7 pm to 10 pm，Oct．11，Nov． 8 ，Dec．13，1973． Contact Dan Domina， 1229 S．Long Ave．，Hillside NJ 07205.

## The Voice of N.I.I....S. SEP. 1973

## INDOOR



NEWS and VIEWS
Editor: Bud Tenny • Box 545• Richardson, Texas • 75080

| 1. Larry Cailifau | 25:49 | 28:34 | 30:35 | 20:15 | 26:00 | 29:32 | 59:09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Ed stoll | 19:23 | 27:27 | 30:06 | 22:40 | 26:22 | 28:31 | 58:43 |
| 3. Bucky Servaltes | 15:27 | 27:54 | 30:28 | 26:12 | 8:25 | 16:48 | 58:2? |
| 4. Dick Kowalski | 25:32 | 27:14 | 6:45 | 25:22 | 28:48 | 28:54 | 57:42 |
| 5. Clarence Mather | 23:08 | 25:38 | 23:36 | 27:06 | 25:41 | 30:09 | 57:15 |
| 6. Paui Tryon | 17:50 | 23:00 | 28:39 | 19:41 | 27:37 | 8:53 | $58: 16$ |
| 7. Bud Romak | 21:18 | 20:02 | 24:40 | 27:51 | 2B:21 | 4:50 | 5 F :12 |
| 8. Jin Rjchmond | 26:01 | 27:46 | 5:23 | 27:20 | 5:33 | 26:24 | 55:12 |
| Q. Hal Crane | 19:40 | 21:17 | 25:42 | 23:56 | 26:49 | 7:04 | 53:31 |
| 10. Stan Cnilton | 20:48 | 24:18 | 28:56 | 23:49 | 21:45 | 22:20 | 53:14 |
| 1. Erv Rodemsizy | 24:09 | 27:11 | 14:27 | 24:47 | 25:58 | 6:59 | 53:09 |
| 12. Al Rohrbaugh | 22:10 | 6:32 | 27:12 | 15:09 | 25:51 | 5:23 | 53:03 |
| 13. Paul Alien | 25:41 | 6:40 | 7:10 | 25:17 | 5:01 | 26:16 | 51:57 |
| 4. Bob Duriham | 21:24 | 25:10 | 25:05 | 19:25 | 18:54 | 22:37 | 50:15 |
| 15. B111 Hulbert | 20:24 | 25:49 | 13:32 | 22:10 | 9:45 | 24:01 | 49:50 |
| 6. Joe B11gri | 20:35 | 15:08 | 22:10 | 21:19 | 25:32 | 2:55 | 47:42 |
| 17. Bob Gibbs | 24:30 | 0:24 | 21:12 | 22:38 | 0:21 | 17:01 | 47:08 |
| 8. Jim Clem | 21:52 | 22:21 | 21:28 | 15:44 | 22:07 | 24:22 | 46:43 |
| 19. Ron Plotzke | 22:42 | 23:25 | 3:24 | 21:54 | 4:40 | - | 46:07 |
| 20. Bob Randolph | 0:07 | 20:51 | 0:07 | 5:07 | 25:13 | 4:05 | 46:04 |
| 2. Bud Tenny | 19:24 | 21:34 | 22:03 | 17:13 | 23:06 | 22:13 | 45:18 |
| 22. Ted Gonzoph | 21:27 | 22:56 | 5:56 | 0:51 | - | - | 44:23 |
| 23. R. J. Dunham II | 17:12 | 16:27 | 0:10 | 18:35 | 0:14 | 24:14 | 42:49 |

## THE TEAM FINALS

The 1973 Indoor Team Finals began with a meeting held by Mr. Dick Tyler of Anerican Airlines. Nr. Tyler welcoined the entrants and rieet officials, then explained the safety precautions and otier matters regarding our use of a working hangar. It was arparent that Anerican Airlines had aade thorough preparations and plans for our arrival, and tnat American employees would have to forego comfortabie working conditions in the hangar during our stay. We owe auch to triese people for allowing us to fly there。

The Friday evening practice session gave everyone a basic feel for the hangar even though no one really pushed hard on their practice flights. It was apparent that the worisetands would interfere if drift got really bad, but trese stards had bright lights at ground level wilch made rodel handling, adjustment and repair very easy. It is aifficult to realize the total enclosed space of this hancar until one notices that it will hold one 747, one DC-10 (nose-to-nose, lengthwise), with plenty of roorn to bring in a 707 at rignt angles, then two $737^{\prime}$ s in the corners - all with the doors closed!

The major contest area was quite large, with two small areas at the ends of the hangar where test flying was permitted during the contest rounds. Even during the time witted during the contest rounds. Even during the time to the DC-10's sall gection for test flying of a limited sort.

Early morning conditions, before the air got really wara, were not buoyant enough to help the models any. By the 11 am starting time for Rounds 1 and 4, the air had warmed soine, but inost of the longer flights came in \#3, \#5 and \#6. It has been said that "if you were ready in \#3, it wes hard to do betiter in any other round"; perhaps tris impression comes from the fact that the Round 3 stan-
dings were alnost idertical to Round 6. However, a stiầ of the rebults shows that several fliers made sherificant gains in \#5 and \#6, ec it's hard to downgrade tie iast, two rounds each day.

In general, after the uir cct mari, tiee ancar si: :e also got warm enough to cause a circuisitory patterr icross the hangar. At the हirder level, motels moved esst to near the wall, then back acrose the workstards asiar. to land in tive liunch area. A few filjuts anded retr the east wall or on stands, but more molels made it tc jie fioor.

Rafterbanging was the order of the day, for those wi: did well. This was the orly aspect of tre seet which ieft an odd feeling - luck in ceilins contacts played some part in the fingl outcore. However, orly those who reeily lit hard got into trouble, and those who were able to set ur a controlled climb pattern had the edge.

Those who chose tife hanzar originally and one worry - wat would happen if an airplane had to be brougit in during the contest? Their worst fears were realizec us American officials announced it would be necessary to bring in a DC-10 for engine change at the end of Found 4. Careful coordination of riodels and the airline worzers resulted in a ten-minute dcor opening and alizit loss $0^{\circ}$ fiying area. Within 20 minutes, test flying was safe, a:d official flying resumed within an hour. So, from the score sheet, it appears as if only minor chenges in cor:ditions resulted, and the building is so airticint that it settled down quickly. Besides, wiat other Finals can boast of guided tours of one of the nost modern iifilners in the world?

In sumary, it was a geod meet, with conditions ind
flying space quite sluilar to many places where a World Champs might be held in Europe. The aspect of needing a controlled climb is particularly pertinent for all sites on the European continent except Cardington and the salt inine. It is interesting to note that the tiues did not exceed top one gram times in the Debrecen site ("66 WCh site) which is just $10^{\prime}$ higher and essentially not suitable for any ceiling contact. As will be reported in a future Issue, Edward Ciapala logged a $33: 44$ in this difficult aite which is only 99' high in the center of an arch. In comparison, the Tulsa 1 ite $1 \mathrm{~s} 89^{\prime}$ to the botton of the girders, with girder spacing very suitable for rejeated contacts at a slow clinb rate。
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## New Members!

JERRY BARNETTE, 4 Jefferson St., Fredericksburg VA 22401 TOM IOERGER, 41 Atlantic St., Winthrop MA 02152 WILLIAv C. OSBORNE, 2815 Filimore St., Davenport IA 52804

## Symposium Report

The 1973 NFFS Symposium Report is available for $\$ 4.50$ (wembers of AMA and NFFS), or for $\$ 5.50$ to others. Send a check payable to NFFS to NFFS Plans \& Publications, $P O$ Box 322, Dallas OR 97338. Include 50\% postage and hendling for 4 th class mail.

## FAI Challenges

In the Aug. '73 INAV, Bud Tenny, Jim Clem and Jimmy Clem issued a challenge to any teams in the Texas-0klahoma area for competition in FAI Indoor. In response, Stan Chilton oifered to team up with Bob and Bobby Dunham to conpete witin any Texas teams. The battle of the century - Tenny + Clem ${ }^{2}$ vs. Chilton + Dunham ${ }^{2}$ !

## Team Challenge Meet

Bob Dunnan has offered to host a Team Challenge Meet, wit: Frizes of $\$ 100$, 50 and $\$ 25$. Entry fee to be $\$ 15$ per tean, witi a ininimuk entry of six teams. If anyone is interested, droy Bob a line at P O Box 7151, Tulsa OK 74105.

## Jigs And Fixtures

This is a reminder - as soon as it is possible to work us tne necessary sketches, a series of articles will begin or $j 18 s$ and fixtures. Anyone who wishes to contribute any ideas to the series should send sketches and description to Box 545, Richardison TX 75080.

## PennyPlane Special

A future issue will be devoted to PennyPlanes, with three-vi ws, hints and all helpful information it is possible to obtain. PennyPlane Champs, Arisel Send us your winning techniques and plans!

## Harlan Scale

We have on hand an article by Ray Harlan telling how to design and build an indoor scale; it will be printed as soon as room is available. Meanwhile, Ray is making and selling indoor scales in two models; . 05 oz full scale and 1.4 grams full scale. The ounce scale has a minor scale division of 0002 oz , while the metric scale has minor division of .005 g . The scales are set to about - $1^{\prime \prime}$ deflection for . 0001 oz unbalance and have a magnetic damper to make the beam settle quickly. Price for one scale is $\$ 20$, and an extra beam (from companion model) is M12 extra. Contact Ray at 15 Happy Hollow Rd., Wayland

## Exeoutive Council Action

The 1973 Nats meeting of the AMA Executive Council finaily produced a result which may eventually result in proper guidelines being established with regard to FAI Team Selection programa. Frank Ehling, Technical Director of AMA, was appointed as "czar" of FAI programs. It is not clear exactiy what this entails, and Frank has requested immediate clarification from the Council.

Background - The 1971 Indoor Team Selection Program was marred by disagreements and misunderstandings which led AMA $H Q$ to rather ineptiy intervene, citing authority which has never been substantiated or documented. Eventualiy matters reached crisis proportions and AMA presidential Intervention was necessary to salvage the program. The Sept. ' 71 INAV requested clarification of a number of matters crucially important to FAI programs in general. In addition, AMA members from Districts VIII, IX and $X$ requested Executive Council action on a similar liat of concerns. The Feb. 'T2 Exceutive Council meeting considered the matter briefly and tabled it after mention was made of a dociment "at the printers" which answered all
the questions. This document was subsequently published without Executive Council review, and totally failed to address any of the questions. Further Council action was nil until the Feb. 73 Council meeting, when a special committee was appointed to study the problem. This committee failed to report at the Nats Council meeting, and only the insistence of Murry Frank (Dist. VIII) kept the matter open until Enling's appointinent was made.

## What Follown PennyPlane?

Several CD's who have munaged to build up indoor activity with PennyPlane, Easy B and HLG have abked what event would be good to allow indoor beginners to advance froin Pennyplane. Just a thought - but how about no-weight limit Pennyplane? The basic idea would be to keep all the existing PennyPlane rules except one penny ( 3 erams) rainimum welght. For those Pennyplane purists who pieft be horrified at eliminating the weight requirement altorether, keep the weight at one gram miniroum. Tnus, FAI one gram scales can be used for processing.

Remember, the question is: "What kind of ioodel will allow Pennypiane fliers to learn more abcut indocr?" So, by using lighter versions of their same model designs, the fliers learn to build and hande lighter models. They car then go directly to AMA and FAI everts. CAUTION!! it will be important to separate novices and experierced filers by holding separate events. This is true in ary competitive event which is supposed to aid beginners.

## Photo Correction

Of the photos (Aug。' 73 INAV) credited to Bob Clemens, only the scale shots and the one of Chris Clemens were taken by Bob. The resi were taken by Chris - then developed and printed by Bob. Thanks to both of you:

## Nats Scale Officials

Bob Clemens sent the names of the scale judges for the Nats Scale, Peanut and Navy Scale events. The judges were Bob Mosher, Chuck Schobloher and Fred Wursche. George Lewis was Scale Director, Ralph Kuenz was Ass't Director, while Scott Matteson, Pete Lewis, Andy MicIsaac, Jack Russ, David Gloff and Chuck Weise. The Indoor Scale evert is a complicated, detailed event which requires a hign degree ci dedication and perseverance. This same crew has done an outstanding job each year, and are responsible for tre high degree of success Indoor Scale has enjoyed.

## THE PICTURE STORY

All the photos shown were taken by Dick Ganslen except as noted below. It is expected that several color sildes will be avallable for those who would like to borrow thez, but the service of making black-and-white photos from color slides was not available except at expensive custoz prices.

Row 1
Left - Ron Plotake with the only V-dinedral design at Finals.
Center - Dick Kowalski retrieves model after flight.
Right - Bob Randolph prepares a blplane - note the
narrow gap between wings.
Row $\frac{2}{\mathrm{Le}}$
Left - Erv Rodemsky checks torque before flight.
Center - Jim Richmond with narrow-chord FAI (photo taken at Nats). (Servaites photo)
Right - Al Rohrbaugh (front) and Joe Bilgri rest and watch the action.

Row 3
Left - Larry Cailliau retrieves winning model.
Center - Gunter Maibaum photo (see "A Lcok it Yesteryear" elsewhere in issue).

Right - Rear view of Richmond's angled wing post feature (three-view of narrow chord nodel Jul. '73 INAV).

## A LOOK AT YESTERYEAR

The Apr. ' 69 INAV mentioned Alphonse Penaud as being probably the first indoor flier. Gunter Maibaum built a replica of Penaud's model, using the same size, construction and weight, but with slightly lower rubber weight. He flew the model at the German Nats, held in Westfallenhalle at Dortmund. The center photo on the photo page shows the model in flight. It consistently flies for over 2 minutes on pirelif, which shows how much better pirelli is than the rubber available to Penaud. Gunter says that the model is difficult to fly under full power, and that the experience has given him great respect for Penaud's accomplishments without pirelii and without modern indoor flying knowledge.


CALIFORNIA - Santa Ans
Indoor flying sessions for regular indoor events at Santa Ana MCAF on Oct. 20-21, Nov. 24-25 and Dec. 22-23; Indoor Scale on Nov. 4, 1973. Contact Bob Randolph, 25145 Lawton Ave.. Loma Linda CA 92354.

CONNECTICUT ~ Glastonbury
The Glastonbury Modelers are holding indoor sessions with their club meetings on Nov. 8 and Dce. 6, 1973 from 7 pm to $9: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ at the Glastonbury High Gym. Contest at the same 81 te Nov. $18,1973,8 \mathrm{am}$ to 5 pm . Contact George Armstead, $8:$ Harvest Lane, Glastonbury CT 06033.

## FLORIDA .. Miami

Indoor sesgions to begin again in Mismi. Contact Dr. John Martin, 3227 Darwin St., M1ami FL 33133 for 1nfo。

VANSACHUSETTS - M.I.T.
Indoor sessions at DuPont Gymnasium, Vassar St. and Nass. Ave., Cambridge MA (use Vassar St. Entrance), on Oct. 13, Nov. . , Dec. 1, 1973 and Jan. 12, Feb. 9, Mar. 9, and Apr. $0,1974,3 \mathrm{pm}$ to 6 pm . Indoor contest May 4 , 1974, 10 an to 7 pm ; Indoor Stick, PennyPlane, HLG, Delta Dart, Indcor Scale and Peanut Scale. Ray Harlan, 15 Happy Hollow Rd., Wayland MA 01778, ph. 617-358-4013.

NEW JERSEY - Union
Indoor sessions at Livingston School on Midand Ave., Unjon NJ, 7 pia to 10 pm , Oct. 11 , Nov. 8, Dec. 13, 1973, ind Jan. 10, Feb. 14, mar. 14, Apr. 4 and May 9, 1974. Sponsored by Union Model Airplane Club; contact Dan Domina it 1229 S. Long Ave., Hillside $N J 07205$.

CONTEST RESULTS
CHICAGO AERONUTS INDOOR CONTEST, Apr 28•29, 1973 Cat. II Madison St. Armory, Chicago, Iii. 55'coiling
$\frac{\text { Jr. Pennyplane }}{\text { Bili Black }}$ Mindi Linstrum
Jenny Linstrum

Sr. PernyPlane
Keith Gordey
Mark Kummerow
Eric Miller
Steve Oravecz
Paper Stick
Dennis Jaecks
Charlie Sotich
Rol Anderson
Jeff Annis
Dick Hardcastle
Chuck Markos
R1chard Doig
Keith Gordey*
Clarence M111s
Steve Oravecz*
$\frac{\text { Jr. Paper Stick }}{\text { Bili Black }}$

Jr. HLG
James Loribiecki
Jim McCarthy

Indoor Scale
Keith Ward
Chuck Niarkos
Maris Kummerow
Charlie Sotich
B111 Naylor
Ed Fort
Charlie Sotich
Phil Cox
Howard Haupt
B111 Gough

| Open PennyPlane |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:56.2 | Dennis Jaecks | 10:53.5 |
| 4:05.6 | Rol Anderson | 10:18.5 |
| 3:41.0 | Charlie Sotich | 10:15.8 |
|  | Dick Hardeastle | 9:45.8 |
|  | Steve Brown | 9:39.1 |
|  | Hank DeKat | 9:15.2 |
| 8:37.8 | Bob Hayes* | 8:37.8 |
| 7:56.3 | Chuck Markos | 8:35.0 |
| 7:55.4 | Dave Linstrum | 8:17.4 |
| $6: 38.5$ | Bob Elman | 5:19.6 |
|  | Indoor Stick |  |
| 16:17.4 | Charlie Sotich | 23:55.9 |
| 14:50.6 | Dennis Jaecks | 21:49.8 |
| 14:30.0 | G11 Graunke | 18:27.0 |
| 14:09.2 | Howard Haupt | 17:54.8 |
| 13:17.2 | Dick Hardeastle | 15:13.6 |
| 12:30.5 | Jeff Annis | 14:59.1 |
| 12:11.0 | Clarence Mills | 13:02.0 |
| 11:31.0 | Mindi Lingtrum** | 7:52.8 |
| 8:41.0 | Dave Linstrum | 4:20.0 |
| 8:07.0 | Bob Hayes* | 3:08.0 |
| $3: 56.5$ | Open HLG |  |
|  | Bob Watson | 115.6 |
|  | Ke1th Gordey* | 109.3 |
|  | Dick Swenson | 107.2 |
|  | Chuck Markos | 106.4 |
| 48.4 | Tom Neumann | 105.2 |
| 16.5 | Richard Doig | 102.2 |
|  | Bob Hayes** | 98.3 |
|  | John Loribiecki | 97.2 |
|  | Chris Matsuno | 88.0 |
|  | Dan Neumann | 68.5 |


| Piper Cub | 174.4 points |
| :--- | :--- |
| Westland Widgeon | 168.0 |
| 1911 Cessna | 160.0 |
| Volksplane | 132.0 |
| Pletenpol Aircamper | 130.7 |
| Vought VE-7 | 116.4 |
| Pilatus Porter | 116.0 |
| Pilatus Turboporter | 84.8 |
| Pilatus Porter | 74.0 |
| Nesmith Cougar | 68.0 |

ENGLAND
The second trials for the English Indoor Team was held at Cardington on Aug. 19, 1973. The team was selected on the basis of the best two-flisht total from elther meeting, with the final selection being Laurie Barr, Reg Perham and John Blount. The firat trial resulta:

| 1. Laurie Barr | 33:25 | 28:57 | 30:57 | 64:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Reg Parham | 18:18 | 25:47 | 27:11 | 52:52 |
| 3. Marty Shepherd | 25:33 | 25:17 | $21: 00$ | 50:50 |
| 4. P. Masterman | 24:58* | 21:45 |  | 46:03 |
| 5. B. Hadland | 23:01* | 15:34 | 16:15 | 39:16 |
| 6. To Taylor | 22:11* | 13:15 |  | 35:26 |
| Second Trials |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Laurle Barr | 34:19 | 34:50 |  | 69:09 |
| 2. John Blount | 29:34 | 29:41 |  | $53: 15$ |
| 3. Reg Parham | 31:29 | 27:44 | 26:30 | 59:13 |
| 4. Marty Shepherd | 28:38 | 20:30 | 29:46 | 50:24 |
| 5. P. Masterman | 18:45 | 23:23 | 22:46 | $46: 21$ |
| 6. To Taylor | 16:48 | 18:31 | 23:56 | 46:07 |
| 7. B. Hadland | (did not | attend | triaib) | 39:16 |

## GERMANY

The German Nats were neld in Weatfalienrislle ir Dortmund, after two postponements due to list-rainute rental of the hall. The ceiling is 24 meters nigh, with lamps hanging down and ventilator holes near the top. There were 31 entries, with results (top three) shown below.

Class P1 ( 35 cm paper covered)

| 1. Gunter Malbaum | $23: 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. K. Nottelmann | $21: 2$ |
| 3. W. Schaak | $19: 5$ |

Class P2 ( 65 cm paper covered)

1. A. Schwarz Sr.

21:23
2. P. Verbeek
3. A. Schwarz Jr.

Class M1 ( 35 cm microfilm)

1. H. Tiemann
2. W. Jordan

Class M2 ( 65 cm microfilm)

1. Kurt Vogler
2. W. Lueke
3. H. Lanoner
$32: 07$

- H. Lan\&ner $\quad 31: 20$

HINTS AND KINKS
Kopecky Covering Frame
The sketch below amply covers the construction of this useful gadget by Ernie Kopecky. In use, the frame is covered by placing it directly on the storage hoop; the film can be caused to adhere to the frame either by water or $t y$ rubber cement (rubber cement is probably preferable). It is then placed over the surface to be covered. The wing or stab has previously been wet down to the board in typical stab has previously been wet down to the board in typica. Bilgri covering style. As the covering frame is iowered
over the wing, the light balsa end strips permit the $: \therefore \mathrm{I}$ to conform to the rib shape; thus giving a smooth covering job with little extra effort. Thanks to Jim Milis for drawing up this sketch.


## Kopecky Covering Frame

(The above has been reprinted from an early INAV.)

# NEWS and VIEWS 

# Editọr: Bud Tenny • Box 545• Richardson, Texas : 75080 

****NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLAANE SOCIETY****

## New Members:

HENRX H. FALES Jr., c/O Albert' Cabins, Rt.\#\#7, Lanesboro, ha 01237 BILL GAISER, 4235 BM Agate Ln., Portiand OR 97201 T. L. MoLean, 11754 Florinda Dr., San Diego CA 92127 DICK STAMK, 6613 E. 134 th $8 t .$, Grandview MO 64030 STANLEY W. WESOLOWSKI, 205 Yeatherby Dr., Westwood MA 02090

## Missed Any Is sues?

In recent monthe, seversl people have writton to say they have missed one or more issues. Apparently the Post Office is having nore problems than unual, because the miseing issues are neither delivered nor returned as "undeliverable", which used to be the case. If you have not received any particular issue within six weeks of when it should have arrived, or within a week of when a nearby friend receives his, please drop a line immediately. A couple of people have written after missing three or more consecutive issues, and by then it becomes nipmand-tuck as to whether a particular issue is still in print. It is preferable to me to have to deal with a few queriee about an issue that hasn't been printed than to have to tell someone an issue is out of print because they waited too long to say they missed it:

It may seem unusual that recent issues are out of print before eariler ones, but this is a problem of any growing organization. In mid-1969, 300 copies of each issue were being printed to cover an average circulation of 280 copies por month, As soon as the circulation went up, it becane necessary to increase printing to 400 copies per month and each month had a surplus of about 100 or so available as back issues. Now, we re near the point of neoding an increase to 500 copies per month, and the files will soon be bulging with back issues agein.

## MIMAS Awayds

Silver Cat. II HLG Avard - 0:53.2, Richard Doig

## Kem Yateriala!

Chet Wrzos sent some amall wire hooks used by fishermen for quick-change mounting of fishing lures, and suggested that they would be useful as " 0 " rings on rubber motors. Although this type of hook is too heary for FAI models, it is 1deal for PennyPlane and strong onough to hold a fully wound $P / P$ motor. The particular product chet sont was "No-Knot Fas-Snap", by Nature Faker Lures, Windsor MO 65360.

## Ner Bannan Catalos

B111 Hannan has announced the latest version of his "Plans \& Things" catalog ( 254 postage and handiing), and some neat little Peanut Scale mylar press-on decale. The decals are strixing, and the catalog is the most interesting collection of Peanut scale and fun/sport goodies that just has to be seen to be belleved:

## Renewal Reminder

Those whose newsletter was addressed with the addresser printer will note number in the upper left-hand part or the address. If it $1: s^{\text {" }} 11^{\prime \prime}$, your subseription expires with the November 1 save. similarly, " $12^{\text {" }}$ applies to the With the November 1saue similarly, "12" applies to the check and renew ahead of time if possible - it saves much time here in extra paperworik!

## Future Issues - A Reminder

= Future issues will present information on PennyPlanes and jiga and fixtures - provided that those who have info will share it!

## RTCORDS? MAYBE:

Chicago Aeronuts Indoor Contest - Hov. 4, 1973 Cat. II Brig. Gon. R. L. Jones Armory, Chicago 90' celling Cat. II Jr. HLa - 129.9, Bob Hayes, Jr.

## FAI: IHDOOR RFPORT

U.S. Indoor MCh?

AkA has completed the proper arrangements with LakeHuret NAS to permit an offer to host the 1974 Indoor WCh at Lakehurst, sonetive in July or August, 1974. The Indoor event would be combined with a scale WCh, running concurrently. The reason for combining the events is to make posibie the offer of a charter filght from Europe, as was done for the 1971 RC WOh, held at Doyleatown, Pa. The decision will be made at the upcoming CIAM meeting in Paris. Lt the mame meeting, it is expected that poland will also offer to heist the 1974 Indoor VCh, and some mumore have it that Fiomania will again offer the sait mine for the event. It may be possible that the decision will be known in time for the Nov. " 73 IMAV.

## FAI Progman Czar

The Sept. '73 INAV reported that Frank Fhling has been appointed as FAI program "czar", and noted that mhling bad requested clarification of his status from the Executive Council.

No official word of the Council's vote has been revealed, even to individual Council members, but Ehing is proceeding to design an Indoor Program for the Council's approval. This action circumvents all established guldeilnes, and causes the viability of ali other guidelines to be open to question. However, the guldelines were created by the Executive Council and can thereby be set aside by the council. One must hope that the guidelines which were the rosult of years of experience will be replaoed with enough now guidelines to prevent mase confusion.

## CONTEST CALKNDAR

CALIFORNIA - Benta Ank
Indoor sessions at Banta Ana MCAF on Nov. 24-25 and Dec. 22-23, 1973. Contact Bob Randolph, 25145 Lawton Ave., Loma Linda CA 92354.
CONNECTICUT - Glas tonbury
The Glastonbury Modelers are holding indoor seseions With their club meetings Dec. 6, Jan. 30 , Feb. 26, Mar. 12, Apr. 2, May 7 and June 4, 7 pm to $9: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. Also on Sundays 8 am to noon, Jan. 20, Feb. 17, Mar. 17, Apr. 21 and Kay 12, 1974. Sessions at Glastonbury High Gym. Contact George Arastead, 89 Harvest Lane, Glastonbury CT.
massachuserts - M.I.T.
Indoor sessions at Dupont Gymnasium, Vassar st. and Mans. Ave., Cambridge, Mass. (use Vassar st. entrance). Dec. 1, 1973, 3 pm to 6 pm. Jan. 12, Feb. 9, Mar. 9, Apr. 6, 1974, 6 pm to 9 pm . Indoor contest May 4, 1974, 10 am to 7 pm, Indoor stick, HLA, Indoor Scale, Peanut Scale, Penayplane and Delta Dart. Contact Ray Harlan, 15 Happy Hollow Rd., Wayland MA 01778.

## NEW JERSEY - Union

Indoor sessions at Livingston School on Midand Avo., Union NJ, 7 pm to 10 pm , Dec. 13,1973 and Jan. 10 , Feb. 14, Mar. 14, Apr. 4 and May 9, 1974. Sponsored by Union MAC; contaot Dan Domina, 1229 S. Long Ave., Hilleide NJ 07025.

## orrgan - albany

Indoor contest Jan. 20, 1974, 10 am to 3:30 pm; HLG, Fasy $B$, PennyPlane, Indoor'scale, Indoor Stick. Paper stick. Feb. 9, 7 pa tso 10 pa, Indoor Fun-fly. Feb. 10, Indoor Soale meet 10 am to $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ All ovents at south Albany High School GyM, 3705 s . Columbus Ave; Albany, 81te has 42' celling tio obstructions with $75^{\prime}$ ' $\times 105^{\prime}$ floor area. Bob stalick, 1120 Shady Lane, Albany OR 97321.

## TEXAS - Dallas/Pt. Worth

Indoor contest Dec. 2, 1973 at American Airlines Hangar. Greater 8W Airport, Fi. Worth. HLG, PennyPlane, Easy B, Peanut scale, Towline Glider, ipm to 6 pm. Contact Don Chancey, Gil Bedford, Richardson Ex 75080.

## DESIGNING SENSITIVE BEAM BALANCIS

by Ray Harlan
This note presents the design of a beam balance which is sensitive to . 0001 oz and accomodates up to .050 oz The significantdesign theory is included to permit modification to suit each builder's taste. Although the balance was made with the help of a mililing machine, all the parts caN be made by hand if care is taken.

## Theory

The position of the fulcrum with respect to the center of gravity of the beam determines how far the beam will tip if a smail weight is put on the hook (i.e. the sensitivity). For indoor models, one should be able to see clearly a change of .0001 oz . To find the relationship between the fulcrum height and the sensitivity, the beam can be simplified as in Fig. 1. It is convenient not to make both legs equal so as to imit the overall length to something reasonable while retaining a long scale. The short leg is weighted to balance the beam with nothing on the hook. Finding $L_{1}$ and $L_{2} 18$ easy if the beam has constant crosssection. In this case $L_{2}$ is half the right leg length. If the density ( $D, o z / i n$.) of the beam material is known together with the weight ( $\mathrm{Wb}, \mathrm{oz} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{required} \mathrm{to}$ balance the beam, $L_{1}$ is approximately:

$$
L_{1}=\frac{2 D L_{2}^{2}}{W_{b}+D L_{4}} \text { inelres }
$$

This equation is derived from equating the moments about the fulcrum. This sensitivity can be found in the same manner by assuming a small weight to be put on the hookand solving for the beam deflection. The result of a small weight, w, is that the right end of the beam will rise an amount, $z$, which is approximately,

$$
z=\frac{w L_{3}}{y D\left(1+L_{2} / L_{1}\right)}
$$

As an example, let $L_{1}=6^{\prime \prime}, \dot{L}_{2}=61 / 4^{\prime \prime}, L_{3}=6^{\prime \prime}$. For a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 1 / 8^{\text {f }}$ aluminum beam, $D=.1 \mathrm{oz} /$ in. If $w=.0001 \mathrm{oz}$, and a movement of $1^{11}$ for $z$ is desired, the equation can be rearranged and solved for y, which becomes . 0294 in . Thus, we must be very careful to know where the center of gravity of the beam is, and to place the fulcrm close to it. If $z$ is doubled, the sensitivity is halved.

## Fulcrum

A sharp fulcrum is necessary and a razor blade is satiafactory if rigidly held in place on the beam. Figo 2 shows an aluminum block which holds the blade with screws. If made by hand, two $1 / 4 \times 3 / 8 \times 3 / 4$ aluminum blociss can be substituted, eliminating the need to saw a slot. Also thin wedges can be used to secure the blade. The blade pivots on 1/8" aluminum edges (see Fig. 6).

## Damper

A very useful feature is a magnetic damper. This limits the swing of the beam to one or two overshoots and is particularly handy when drafts are present. The damper uses two ceramic magnets about $3 / 4^{\prime \prime} x 1^{\prime \prime}$, often found on typing correction tape (Touch and Go) boxes. They are epoxied to angle brackets as shown in Fig. 3 and mounted $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ apart on the baseboard. Make sure they are oriented to attract each other as strongly as possible. The damper arm is thin (.020" or less) aluminum epoxied to the beam.

The damper does not affect the accuracy or sensitivity of the beam. The damping forces are proportional to the spead of the damper arm only. one caution, however; keep all steel silde wire screws well away from the magnets. It may be better to use brass for these.

## Sliding Weight And Scale

By putting the weight on a slide wire it won't get lost. Fig. 4 illustrates the design. The heavier the weight, the longer you can make $L_{3}$, for a given $L_{2}$ and scale capacity. Mine is .024 oz . which, with the figures used under Theory yields a capacity of . 050 oz. Fig. 4 shows the weight and slide wire. Carefully position the left slot head screw so the alide weight is pointing to zero when touching this screw.

The brass weight can be made to approximate length and then taken to the nearest university for finishing with a file. Most biology labs have accurate balances (e.g. Metier) with sensitivities in tenths of milligrams. (.001 $\mathrm{oz}=.02835$ gram.)

If a milling machine is available, the scale can be engraved by attaching the beam with double-faced tape
(against stop pegs) and securing a tool bit in the spindie. Lines for thousands of an ounce can be ruled . $2^{\text {H }}$ long (.01 to .015 deep), while those for .001 oz incremonta can be made $1^{11}$ long. Spacing of .050" is convonient in this setup. If done by hand, $1 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ may be more convenient. The ines can be filled with China marker and the excess wiped off.

## Hook

The hook can be .016 wire in a .020 hole and can have the shape shown in Fig. 5. The baseboard shouldn't project far beyond the fulcrum toward the hook.

## Zero Adjust

A $2-56 \times 1^{\prime \prime}$ or $4-40 \times 1^{\prime \prime}$ sorew can be secured in the balance weight (Fig. 6). Two nuts on this screw can be moved to provide fine balance adjustment when the slide weight is at zero.

## Use

Place the baseboard so that the fulcrum projects from the edge of a table a few inches. A thin table is best, as it permits the wing of a complete model hung from the nose to swing under it freely. If the table does interfere, make a long hook extension to lower the model several inches. Be sure to subtract the weight of the extension.

The accuracy of the balance depends only on the care with which $L_{3}$ and the acale are laid out and the accuracy of the slide weight. Greater sensitivities than .0001 oz can be achieved, but the beam may be unstable for large deflections.

## Mote: All 1llustration for semio on p. 4.

## STATE OF THE ART

The model of the month is overdue in two respects it was ready for printing three iseues ago and kept getting crowded out. Also, as soon as Emile Kopecky heard of Bob Randolph's $44: 50.2$ flight which eclipsed Ernie's $43: 42$ set at the 1963 Toan Finals in Banta Ana, he said, "Send Bob wy congratulations: I've held the record too long!"

Bob hat been sneaking up on the record for over a year, with several different models. Of the record hop, he ronarke, "This version of Top cat had thick section Wing to hoid the climb down. Evidentiy the thick wing also reduced the average RPM from the usual 50-52 down to 42.6. I was well centered for most of the flight and grazed the boans $12-15$ times, the last time at 16 minutes. It went off with 2240 turne and landed with 336.4

Bob's trin setting checked out at $+11 \%$ on the CMO8 charts, even with the forward wing position. The graph below hows both +11 and $0 \%$.


The bottom part of p. 4 is a reprint from 1968 , showing Bob Putman's method of repairing a wing which iost film in a bad place - next to the cabane. He prepared the patoh by using aaliva to stick dacron monorilament across the hoop. After this dried, he trimaed the film loose on the outside of the pateh to give room for the wing tip and cabene (not shown) to drop past the patch. Once the film is ready and the bracing is removed from the top of the wing, the patch job in just ilke corering - lay the wing dow, attach the film and trim it looae.



****NATIOYAL INDOOR MODFL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****<br>Ner Members:

TIM LAVENDER, 109 Villa, KcMinnville TN 37110 GYORGY G. POLCZ, K.D., 109 Riverside Are., Muncie IN 47303 FREDERICX WUNSCHE, 3395 South Blvd. E, Auburn Hts. MI

48057

## This Issue

It has been a fond hope and expectation around here that the INAV publishing schedule would eventually catch up to the schedule that used to be. With a 50 -hour vork waek and an occasional 11 or 12 hour day, not much progrens has been made. In addition, correspondence is 'way behind. Hormally, correspondence is the life-blood of INAV - our mutual interaction is what has traditionaliy resulted in the broad variety of matorial available. That is, I note a comment which indicates you have something we would all like to know, then I ask for $1 t$.

Happily, many of you bave sent material w/o prompting and others have responded to the pleas in recent issues for Pennyplane and Jigs a Fixtures info. So, in this interim (we hope) interval. please continue. If possibie, camera-ready drawings are preferred, but all info will eventually be used. It just takes time to draw it all up - which detracte from tine for correspondence.

So, the Nov. ' 73 issue is a late Christmas present, and Korry Christmas to jou all!

## Aeromodeller Annual - '73/'74

The new Aeromodeller Annual is out - as usual, it is easily clansirieble a a collector's item. Topics range from FAI Indoor and Easy B thru all the most popular outdoor clasees and cover some sport models and the latest developments in electric powered models. Ron Koulton's editing is cleariy up to his usuel high standards, and he is to be thanked for his efforts. Contact Model if Allied Publications Ltd., $13 / 35$ Bridge St., Hemel Heapstead, Herts, England for prices and ordering info.

## '74 Hats

The latest word is that the 1974 Nats will be held at Chennault AFB, Lake Charles, Le., early in August. Vory good outdoor facilities and housing accomodations are sald to be available. Indoor arrangements include two poseible low cat. II ( $50^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ ) sites and also the Goodyear blimp hangar in Hoution, Texas, 130 miles avay, More detalls will be given at they are available and flmed up.

## Financial Report

1973 NIMAS income reached an all-time high, due to donations, the $25 \phi /$ Jear dues increase (now $\$ 3.25 /$ year) which began in Jan. 172 , and another banner year of memberihip growth. At the same time, expenses increased in proportion to growth, with an added boost due to an increase in printing costs. To lessen the suepense, income amounted to $\$ 1044.79$ for the year, with expensea totalling $\$ 934.61$ - a surplue of $\$ 110.09$. Looming in the immediate future is a postel increase of $2 \phi$ per domestic mailing, and the imnediate need to increane the number of copies printed of each issue. The increase in number of copies needed is due to the expanding membership as outiined in the oct. ' 73 InAV. The income/outgo brears down thus:

Printing costs
IMAV poitage
Correrpondence postage
office Supply, ilsc.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
347.57 \\
347.01 \\
88.68 \\
151.35 \\
\hline 934.61
\end{array}
$$

The newsletter circulation increased fron an average of 330 in 1972 to 345 in 1973 , for a $4.5 \%$ increase. The incoming mail amounted to 1374 pieces, and outgoing correspondence amounted to 1412 pieces, with much of that to service new memberships and inquiries.

While pondering the expected increase in expenses, a projection based on the normal $5 \%$ growth and the $25 \%$ incrome in domestic postage costs yielded a projected income of $\$ 1177$ and expenses of 1116 as follows:
Printing costs
INAV postage
Correspondence poatage
Orfice Supply, misc.
398.00
478.00
90.00
150.00
1116.00

This projection shows a projected $\$ 61$ surplus for next year, barring another increase in printing costs. It has been a fond hope that the NIMAS decal could be re-issued, especially since many prosent member have never seen the deeal and othors would like to get more. The original 1saue of the decal was easentiaily a gift, so perhaps care in planning can give ai plan of some free decals and some sold for a price which will permit the cost to be mostly amortized so new decale could be printed without financial erunch.

## SPECIAL JNTERNATIONAL ISBUE

Once again, the Norember issue is dedicated to all the indoor fliers a round the world whose activity complements the U.S. activity and ofton drives us to greater performance just to catch up! It is especially good that this 1ssue also announces the 1974 WCh at Lakehurst - and we can look forward to meieting many of our friends from other lands - in friendship and competition.

## FAI IMDOOR REPORT

## '74 WCh In U. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ :

Word from the CIAM meeting in Paris is that the U.S. bid to hold the 1974 Indoor World Championships at Lakehurst NAS was accepted. More details are expected later, but the presently planned dates are July 1-7, 1974. The key to the acceptance was the offer to host RC Pylon contest during the same time frame, which onabled plans to run a charter flight from Europe at rates which could be reasonable.

## FAI 74/75-A Massive Uphearal

In the past ten weeks, the AkA Executive Council has acted in almost total secrecy, considering ideas put forth by FAI Czar Frank Ehling. These 1deas, if accepted by the Council, would force regional Finals upon both FF and Indoor programs and would eliminate all formal FAI Indoor competition except the Finale. The program questionaire, which formerly gave participants in previous programs sone opportunity to express their views, apparentiy will be totaily oliminated. qualification for the Finals is proposed to be only via AHA contests, (including FAI stick to be added to the Nats) but entrants in other AMA contests can use Easy B, Pennyplane, Indoor Scale, Peanut scale and fome Indoor Stick and Paper Stick model: to qualify. If the council approves the basic proposal of regional finals with ataggered entry to allow entry in all three, Ehing vould then make the following proposals as quoted from Ehling meno of Nov. 8, 1973:

1. Select three $C D^{\prime}$ s from each interest who would basically be from the western, central and eastern areas who would be the area finals $C D^{\prime} s$ for a particular interest.
2. They would agree upon uniform qualifying performances (times, pointe, scores or places) to become eligible to fly at anyone of the three finals sites. Their requirements would come from past program requirenents, contestant inputs and any inputs I could contribute from past knowledge of what worked best.
3. They would agree on which area would start the finals $s 0$ the finals could be best attended and with approximately 30 days between dates to further increase attendance from those who could travel.
4.5,6-details of program entry and HQ paperwork.

In fairnese to the Executive Council, it should be noted that the October and November issues of the olub mailings and Competition News made no mention of the Council' deliberations on this matter, and that the Council normaily does not control the contents of these mailings. In fact, one Council member noted that HQ had not even commuilcated to him the results of initial voting which (implied in Ehiling memos, but not revealed to date)
apparently gave Ehling essentially carte blanche power to handle FAI programs as he sees fit. In the most recent mailing, the negative vote of Dist. VIII was not circulated, but five responses which were either partiy or wholeheartediy in agreement with basic Ehiling proposals were circulated.

In summary, and speaking from the ilmited viewpoint of an Assoc. VP who has been consulted by his VP, this affair has many aspects of both managed news and secret meetings which contrasts starkly with the free and open member communication we have enjoyed in the past fev years. Your VP has recently received a ballot which asks for the Council's selection of single site, regional site, or "pick Team at Nats" for each of the five FAI interest groups. Based on the five (out of 14 possible:) responses circulated, regional sites for $F F$ and Indoor would be approved. It is ilkely that individual members will not be able to express an opinion except to his District VP. If you wish to do so, find your Vp in the list below:

I-Cliff Piper, Highland Ave.. Atkinson NH 03811 II - Josh Titus, 146 Garden Ave., Paramus NJ 07652 III - Ron Morgan, School For Vet Children, Scotland PA 17254
IV - John Spalding, 5803 Ellerbie St., Lanham MD 20801 V - Jim Perdue, Kingston Circle, RFD \#4, Athens TN 37303 VI - Glenn Lee, 102 W. Mandrake, Batavia IL 60510 VII - Jack Josaitis, 23663 Lawrence, Dearborn MI 48128 VIII - Murray Frank, 2933 Blankenship, Wichita Fails TX 76308
IX - Stan Chilton, 140iA S. Hydraulic St., Wichita KS
X - Alex Chisolm, 1100 West Shaw, fresno CA 93705 XI - Bob Stalick, 1120 Shady In., Albany OR 97321

## CONTEST CALENDAR

CONNECTICUT - Glastonbury
The Glastonbury Modelers are holding indoor sessions with their club meetings Jan. 30, Feb. 26, Mar. 12, Apr. 2, May 7 and June 4, 1974, 7 pm to $9: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. Also on Sundays, 8 am to noon, Jan. 20, Feb, 17, Mar. 17, Apr. 21 and Kay 12, 1974. Sessions at Glastonbury High Gym. Contact George Armstead, 89 Harvest Lane, Glastonbury CT 06037.

## FLORIDA - Miami

The Miami Indoor Aircraft Model Association will have a Fly For Fun at the Youth Falr Jan. 4 and contest \#4 at the Goodyear Blimp Base, Opa Locka Alrport Jan. 20, 1974. Contact Dr. John Martin, 3227 Darwin St., Miami FL 33133.
Massachusetts - M.I.T.
Indoor sessions at Dupont Gymnasium, Vassar St. and Mass. Ave., Cambridge, Mass. (use Vassar St. entrance). Jan. 30, Feb. 26, Mar. 12, Apr. 6, 1974, 6 pm to 9 pm . Indoor contest May 4, 1974, 10 am to 7 pm ; Indoor Stick, HLO, Indoor Scale, Peanut Scale, PennyPlane and Delta Dart. Contact Ray Harlan, 15 Happy Hollow Rd., Wayland MA 01778.

MISSOURI - Kansas City Area
Two contests are planned in the KC area this winter, With Indoor scale and beginner events tentatively planned for February. Easy $B$ and Open Stick will probabiy follow in wirch. Special awards for the best constructed scale moc'pl and the highest "no touch" Indoor Stick time. Contact Roger Schroeder, 4111 w. 98 th St., Shawnee Mission KS 66207.

## NEW JERSEY - Union

Indoor seesions at Livingston School on Midiand Ave.. Union NJ, 7 pm to 10 pm , Jan. 10 , Feb. 14, Mar. 14, Apr. 4 and May 9,1974 . Sponsored by Union MAC; contact Dan Domina, 1229 S. Long Ave., Hillside NJ O7025.

NEW YORK - Long Island
Cat. I Record Trials at Boy's Gym of Friends Academy, Locust Valley, L.I., NY, Dec. 29, 1973 and Mar. 23, 1974, 11 am to 5 pm . Gym shoes required. Site is approx. $60^{\prime} x$ 72', with shallow peaked roof, max height approx. 33'. Contact J. G. Pailet, 30 Emerson Rd., Brookville, Gien Head NY 11545.

PENNSYLVANIA - Philedelphia Area
Indoor contests in Bridesburg Rec Center, Richmond \& Ash St. Philadelphia. Jan. 20, Mar. 17, HLG, Indoor Scale, "B $B^{\text {M }}$ Stick; Feb. 17, HLG, Peanut Scale, "B" Stick. Contact Charles Stiles, IRC Co., Div. TRW, 6th Flr. R\&D, 401 N. Broad St., Philadelphia PA 19108.

## OREGON - Albany

Indoor contest Jan. 20, 1974, 10 am to $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$; HLG, Easy B, Pennyplane, Indoor' Scale, Indoor Stick, Paper Stick. Feb. 9, 7 pis to 10 pm , Indoor Fun-fly. Feb. 10 , Indoor Scale meet 10 am to $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. All events at South Albany High School Gym, 3705 s . Columbus Ave;, Albany. Site has $42^{\prime}$ ceiling to obstructions with $75^{\prime}$ ' $\times 105^{\prime}$ floor area. Bob Stalick, 1120 Shady Lane, Albany or 97321.

## RECORDS? MAYBE:

Thermal Thumbers Record Trials, Nov. 24-25, 1973 Cat. III Santa Ana MCAB, Santa Ana, CAlif.
Junior Indoor Cabin - 12:29, John Magnus
Junior A ROG - 3:04.8, Ken Bauer
Junior HLG - $1: 58.2$. Ken Bauer

## STATE OF THE ART

This month, there are four models - two top FAI models from Romania and Czechoslovakia, a 35 cm model from Great Britain and an"almost" Pennyplane from sweden.

Karol Rybecky's 1972 FAI placed 2nd at the ' 72 WCh, then went on to garner its high time at the 1973 contest at slanic (the salt mine). The model was developed from his previous (pre-one gram) models, and is a bit smaller than the current practice in Europe, with Slanic conditions in mind. Karol is pleased with the model's performance - 2nd at the WCh and 3rd at slanic with $1 \frac{1}{2}$ minutes more time than ist at the WCh - and feels the basic design 1s good for less experienced fliers.

Aurel Popa is a young man with the touch for indoor that is rapidiy making him a mastor. He has lately led the pack in Romania, and with the model shown he won the ' 73 slanic meet with a handy 70 second margin.

Marty Shepherd's 35 cm model now holds the British record for that class, and is somewhat representative of a trend toward smailer models as an alternative class in Europe. At least three other countries now riy smaller models, with Hungary the latest to begin. Marty gave no indication of restrictions on the English 35 cm class, but the Hungarian class is 45 cm , one gram, 15 cm max Wing chord and 20 cm motor stick length (hook to hook). Poland and Czechoslovaika are trying similar specs except for a 50 cm span.

Finally, the "almost" PennyPlane: In Sweden, the 25 ore coin is similar in size to the U.S. penny, but with 2.3 g veight instead of the 3 g weight of the penny. In recent months, the 25 ore class models have increased in wing area - paralleling PennyPlane development in the U.S.

All plans except Marty's are in metric, as are the CMOS charts below. As usual, the dashed Iines represent the designer's trim location in contrast with 0\% margin. INP (Bee Kar/Apr ' 73 INAV) for Rybecky $=35 \%$ margin; for Popa, INP $=32 \%$.
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# NEWS and VIEWS 

## Editor: Bud Tenny • Box 545• Richardson, Texas • 75080

## ***NATIONAL INDOOR MODEL AIRPLANE SOCIETY****

## Now Members:

L. T. MYTINGER, 25 Renfrex Ave., Middletown RI 02840 MIKE REGAF, 18145 Leathemwood Hay, Irvine CA 92664

## Thank You:

Once again, we received many Christmas carde from many landa. We appreciate these, and the measages of good will. It has become impossible to return these Whahes, except in this way. So, we hope that all of you had happy and bountiful holldays, and we wish you the very best for 1974.

## Now Materials

Dave Linstrum and Charile Sotich are "pushing" a new material called Fomecore. It is available from artist supply stores, and consiats of light plastic foam with light card stock on both sides. It comes in thicknesses from $3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ to $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$, in $4^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ sheets for prices ranging up to about $\$ 7$ per sheet. The thinner versions can be creased and then folded 1ike corregated cardboard, making it poselble to bend and glue model boxes (Elmers Glue or aliphat1c resin works best) just as with cardboard - but lighter and prottier. Thore will be some tricks to using the material, and it is hoped that an article will soon be avallable to explain the material.

## HLO Info Anyone?

INAV has a lot of good HLA fliers in the "audience". but it is seldom that any HiG material comes this way. So, how about 1t?

## Indoor Postal Contest

The Midwinter Iceburg Junior Contest will be held during January and February, 1974. It is for fliers thru age 15, and details are available from Richard mitten, PO Box 176, Wall street station, New York NY 10005.

## Fllati Quallty

Ever since the original manufacturers of the rubber strip we still call pirelli sold out to another fira and the product became Filati, there hat been concern over what the quality of the new product would be. The worst fears of all us "rorry-wartan seemed to be proven true as the first shipments of Filati seemed to be of shoelace quality. Happily, the manufacturer was eager to work to upgrade their quailty, and a shipment received by Ian Kajnes (editor of FREE FLIGHT NEWs) seemed to have bettor characteristics. The best of all worlds would be for us to find a manufncturer willing to work with us to control their quality toward our needs. Because of the very low total usage of rubber atrip by all modeling, it is not hopeful. Maybe we can be lucky!

As long-time readers if INAV know, the matter of rubber quality, and how to ast for it, has been a concern of mine. Since Filati ha adicated enough interest to try to meet our needs, we may be able to "close the loop" by telling them which batches meet our needs besi. If their production records are good enough to indentify processing differences between batches, the result could be custom rubber production to our standards.

Wakefield fliers, especially those with torque-controlled trim which adjusts for differences in rubber hardness, are unanimous in their desire for rubber with the highest possible energy storage capability. Indoor fliern tend to prefer either hard or soft rubber, again with the highest possible energy storage. For all but the highest celilings, rubber of the proper hardness can be more userul than softer rubber with higher energy. The real problem of giving feedback to Filati on rubber quality will be to quickly determine the suitability of any particular batoh, or perhaps to route specific batches to either indoor or outdoor fliers, depending upon batch characteristics. If we leam enough about rubber to make the measurements, $\frac{11}{1 t}$ will be possible to get the best usage from the rubber we can get. Once again, we should pool all our knowledge on rubber testing:

The Nov. 73 IzAV reported on the apparently secret AMA Exscutive Council diacussions of program proposels by FAI Cam Frank Ehling. the December Club Mailing - \#3 since beginning of the discussions - still had no mention of this mattar. The December Competition Rews has a preifiminary report (page 8) revealing some Council thinking.

A Sept. 20 Ehling meno asked whether programe should be designed to yield the best team or whother good teams should be sought via programe designed to encourage participation by more modelers. More specifically, the question was (1) pick teams with grase roots participation or (2) Without grass roots participation. Faroring (1) were III, IV,V, VI, VII, X AMD XI. Favoring (2) were I, IX. Dist, VíI' responded without commitment and Dist. II did not respond. Dist. XI alio stated that he felt the two concepts were not mutually exclusive.

An Ehling memo on Oct. 16 asked for permassion to deviate from established guidelines in establishing now FAI programs. Nine districts granted this permiseion to deviate from guidelines in forming programs to be approved by the Gouncil, VII said guidelines should be followed and Dist. II did not respond.

At this point, the report mentions the Council mailing reported in the first part of the NOV. '73 IIAV commente, but obviously could not report on any results. For those Who wish to contact their VP's on this matter, the listing of ANA VP's in the NOV. ' 73 IMAV should be corrected per these election results:

V-Jin HcNeill, 617 S. EOth Ave., Birmingham AL 35205 XI - Homer Simith, 1417 NW 191部 St., Seattie WA 98177

## An Fiditorial

However dark the picture may seem to many of us, it is heartening to remember that matters mentioned above represent the first fal decisions in years which conform to By-Law requirements for such decisions. From a personal standpoint, I do not like starting ossentially from zero when we had a working setup. I do not like having no say whatsoover in the program makeup. Finaliy, if I iived in Dist. II (New York \& New Jersey), I would be pretty upset that I had not been represented in these decisions. Also, on the brighter side, it is possible that the matter Will be revieved, aince miy personal graperine reports that some members of the Council are belking on responding to the December Ehling memo requesting the council to designate single site, regional finais or Nats selection for each of the five FAI interest groups.

It is still inexcusabile, to my thinking, that HQ has neglected to mention anytining of this whole matter until what might have been the final ballot was already in the hands of the council. I feel certain that the council had no intent of conducting its business in secret; however, I cannot belleve the council was unamare of the lack of membership comunication.

## COATEST CALENDAR

CONNECTICUT - Glastonbury
The Glastonbury Modelers are holding indoor sessions With their club meetings Jan. 30 , Fob. 26, Mar. 12, Apr. 2, May 7 and June 4, 1974. 7 pm to 9:30 pm. Also on Sundays, 8 am to noon, Jan. 20, Feb. 17, Mar. 17, Apr. 21 and May 12, 1974. Sessions at Glastonbury High Gym. Contact George Armstead, 89 Harvest Lane, Glastonbury CT 06037.

## FLORIDA - Miami

The Miami Indoor Aircraft Model Association will have
 the Goodyear Blimp Base, Opa Locka Alrport Jan. 20, 1974. Contact Dr. John Martin, 3227 Darwin St., Miami FL 33133.

MASBACHUSETTS - M.I.T.
Indoor seseions at Dupont Gymnasium, Vassar St. and Mass. Ave., Cambridge, Mass. (use Vasaar st. ontrance). Jan. 30 , Feb. 26 , Mar. 12 , Apr. 6, 1974, 6 pm to 9 pm . Indoor contest May 4, 1974, 10 am to 7 pm ; Indoor stick, HLO, Indoor Scale, Peanut Scale, PennyPlane and Delta Dart. Contact Ray Harlan, 15 Happy Hollow Rd., Wayland

MISSOURI - Kansas City Area
Two contests are planned in the KC area this winter, with Indoor Scale and beginner events tentatively planned for Fobruary. Easy B and Open Stick will probably follow in March. special awards for the best constructed scale model and the highest "no touch" Indoor Stick time. Contact Roger Schroeder, 4111 K. 98 th St., Shawnee Miseion IS 66207 .

## NEW JERSIY - Union

Indoor seseions at Livingston school on Mldand Ave., Union NJ, 7 pa to 10 pm, Jan. 10 , Feb. 14, Mar. $14, \mathrm{Apr} \cdot 4$ and May 9, 1974. Sponsored by Union MAC; contact Dan Domina, 1229 S. Long Are., Hillside NJ 07025.

NEW YORK - Long Island
Cat. I Record Trials at Boy's Gym of Friends Academy, Locust Valley, L.I., NY, Dece 29, 1973 and Mar. 23, 1974, 11 am to 5 pm . Gym shoes required. site 1 s approx. $60^{\prime} \mathrm{x}$ 72', with shallow peaked roof, max helght approx. $33^{\prime}$. Contact J. G. Pailet, 30 Emerson Rd., Brookvilie, Gien Head NY 11545

## PLNNSYLVANIA - Philadelphia Area

Indoor contesta in Bridesburg Rec Center, Richmond \& Ash St. Philadelphia. Jan. 20, Kar. 17, HLG, Indoor Scale, "B" Stick; Feb. 17, HLG, Peanut Scale, "B" Stick. Contact Charles Stilea, IRC Co., DIV. TRW, 6th Flr. R\&D, 401 N. Broad St., Philadelphia PA 19108.

OREGON - Albany
Indoor contest Jan. 20, 1974, 10 am to $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$; HLG, Fasy B, PennyPlane, Indoor Scale, Indoor Stick, Paper Stick. Feb. 9, 7 pm to 10 pm , Indoor Fun-fly. Feb. 10 Indoor Scale meet 10 am to $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. All events at South Albany High School Gym, 3705 s. Columbus Ave., Albany. Site has $42^{\prime}$ ceiling to obstructions with $75^{\prime} \times 105^{\prime}$ floor area. Bob Stalick, 1120 shady Lane, Albany OR 97321.

## TOP TEN EASY B

Name

1. Hal Crane
2. Clarence Mather
3. Kevin Wehner
4. Fudo Takagi
5. Alan Richea
6. Bill Langley
7. Bob Platt

8: H1, inhal Troappoon
9. Ted Katsanis
10. Bob Leishman

| Tlme | Ceiling | Fudge | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 553.1 | $20.1^{\prime \prime}$ | 1.318 | 728.8 |
| 531.0 | $22.3^{\prime}$ | 1.253 | 715.5 |
| $431.4 *$ | $20.5^{\prime}$ | 1.307 | $563.8 *$ |
| 445.0 | $22.3^{\prime}$ | 1.253 | 557.6 |
| 422.2 | $20.2^{\prime}$ | 1.314 | 554.8 |
| 418.0 | $20.5^{\prime}$ | 1.307 | 546.3 |
| 393.0 | $20.1^{\prime}$ | 1.318 | 518.0 |
| 347.0 | $20.0^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 459.1 |
| 338.0 | $20.0^{\prime}$ | 1.323 | 447.2 |
| 297.0 | $18.0^{\prime}$ | 1.394 | 414.0 |

## STATE OF THE ART

Larry Cailliau's "Los Angeles Leopard" won all the marbles at the Tulsa Team Finsis, While the Cabin verision (just add more dinedral to the wing to lose 5 sq . in. of projected areal) placed third at the ' 73 Nats. Larry's description of the model: This 1s the 12th FAI design I've tried. After going thru a wide variety of models, I came back to a basic design and tried to refine it. I wanted a FAI ship which was simple and easy to build and repair, with no cost of flight efficiency. This ship is the compromise I came up with. It flies as well as any I've tried and is by far the easiest to build. It has less ribs, one-piece compression ribs, primary wing bracing and unbraced stab. I also was looking for a way to aroid long unsupported spars but chose to eliminate excess offaet and make the inboard tip a ilttle longer. The nodol was originaliy designed for high ceilings, but ifinalif found a prop/rubber combination that would hang it up In Santa Ana and still give 30 minutes from about $90^{\prime}$. My cabin model is identical to the FAI except for a $10^{\prime \prime}$ boom and $17^{\text {H }}$ prop.

The CMOS chart below is for the FAI model, but will vork for the cabin model. The only effect will be to give about $+3 \%$ margin for the cabin model. Larry'e CMOS trim was $+5.2 \%$, and INP margin was $+22 \%$.


## INDQOR RLSENHERE

ARGENTINA - Buenos Aires
The Argentine Indoor Nats were held Apr. 20, 1973.

| 1. Alberto Barilari | $14: 49$ | $15: 32 *$ | $30: 21$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Nereo Beggiatto | $14: 50$ | $14: 52$ | $29: 42$ |
| 3. Rduardo Grippo | $13: 48$ | $14: 10$ | $27: 58$ |
| 4. M1guel Leone | $10: 03$ | $14: 10$ | $24: 13$ |
| 5. Domingo Sasione | $12: 05$ | $10: 55$ | $23: 00$ |
| 6. Marcos Molo | $5: 37$ | $2: 54$ | $8: 31$ |

HUNGARY - Dobrecen
The Fourth Annual Hadju-Cup Contest was held Aug. 1719, at Kosauth University in Debrecen. 24 entries from five countries, including a 3 -man team from Bulgaria, flew in good site conditions. The site is an almost perfect 30 meter cube, with a silghtly curved celling of stained glass which virtually assures that ceiling scrubbing will result in hangup on the welis.

1. E. Ciapal
2. A. Popa
3. E. Chlubny
4. A. Ree
5. A Pospichal
6. G. Buzady
7. J. Hrdilcka
8. O. Hints
9. S. Xujaua
10. A. Egrí

Poland Romania Czech. Hungary Czech. Hungary Czech. Romania Poland Poland
Hungary
$33: 34 *$
$29: 15$
$30: 00$
$30: 14$
$28: 53$
$28: 37$
$28: 00$
$26: 39$
$26: 57$
$25: 34$
*Ciapala's flight is an absolute Ilight for the site, as well as a new Poliah national record.

One sad note Irom Hungary - Geza Varszegi, past menber of Hungarian teame to $3 \mathrm{WCh}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, and well known to many fliers in Europe, died at age 70. He will be missed by many people all over the world who knew him.

POLAND - Wroclaw
The Polish Indoor Nats were held in Wroclaw Oct. 7 , 1973.

| 1. E. Clapala | Slaski | $22: 49$ | $27: 35$ | $50: 34$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. R. Czechowski Krakow | $22: 53$ | $26: 02$ | $48: 55$ |  |
| 3. S. Kujawa | Poznan | $24: 10$ | $24: 35$ | $48: 45$ |
| 4. S. Bombol | Wrociaw $20: 44$ | $24: 05$ | $44: 49$ |  |
| 5. J. Kapusniak | Bydgoszoz $21: 30$ | $22: 57$ | $44: 27$ |  |
| 6. B. Zurad | Wroclaw 21:43 | $20: 49$ | $42: 34$ |  |
| 7. S. Sierko | Bydgoszcz $18: 17$ | $19: 17$ | $37: 34$ |  |

CZECHOBLOVAKIA - Brno
Tho Czech tean for 1974 was chosen from the results of three meets held in $Z$ Hail in Brno. The Team will consist of Karol Rybecky, Jiri Kalina and Eduard Chlubny, with J. Jirasky as alternate.

National meet, June 30,1973

| 1. K. Rybecky |  | 31:58 | 31:15 | 63:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. J. Kalina |  | 29:17 | 28:43 | 58:00 |
| 3. E. Chlubny |  | 26:09 | 26:37 | 52:46 |
| 4. Pospichal |  | $21: 46$ | 25:31 | 47817 |
| 5. Koutny |  | 27:42 | 19:26 | 47:08 |
| Internationsl Meet. July 14-15s 1973 |  |  |  |  |
| 1. E. Clapala | Poland | 32:42 | 30:54 | 63:16 |
| 2. K. Rybecky | Czech | 31:28 | 29:14 | $60: 42$ |
| 3. Sora | Romania | 27:32 | 28:14 | 55:46 |
| 4. A. Popa | Romania | 26:55 | 28:41 | 55:36 |
| 5.J. Kalina | Czech | 29:57 | 24:42 | 54:39 |
| 6. E. Chlubny | Czech | 26:40 | 27:54 | 54:34 |
| 7. Koutny | Czech | 27:42 | 25:50 | 53832 |
| 8. Donia | Romania | 26:10 | 27:03 | 53813 |
| 9. Ceechowski | Poland | 26:28 | 25:26 | $51: 34$ |
| 10. Pospichel | Crech | 22:36 | 24:28 | 47:04 |
| 11. Holtier | Romania | 24:44 | 22:07 | 46:51 |

Mational Meet. Nov. 10-11, 1973 (poor conditions)

| 1. J. Jirasky | $25: 53$ | $32: 30$ | $58: 23$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. R. Cerny | $21: 25$ | $29: 15$ | $50: 40$ |
| 3. Hrdilcka | $22: 06$ | $22: 55$ | $45: 01$ |
| 4. Sediar | $25: 16$ | $18: 43$ | $43: 59$ |
| 5. Kalina | $26: 46$ | $16: 34$ | $43: 20$ |

CONTEST RESULTS
GANADA - Port Coquitlam, B.C.
Fall Indoor Meet, Oct. 21. 1973. PNE Agrodome 90'
FAI stick
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. Ph11 Walden } & 15: 13.6 \\ \text { 2. Bruce Matthews } & 12: 49.2 \\ \text { 3. Walt Windberg } & 12: 45.6\end{array}$
PennyPlane

1. Pete Frattinger 6:10.6
2. Bruce Matthews $5: 25.0$
3. Dave Van Dieren 2:54.4


| 1. Nalt Windberg | 174.8 | 1. Rick Lim | 93.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Dennia MoLelian 120.6 | 2. Bruce Matthews | 89.2 |  |
| 3. Dave Van Dieren 106.65 | 3. Phil Walden | 86.6 |  |

ILLINOIS - Ch1cago
Chicago Aeronuts Indoor Contest - Nov. 4. 1973
Brig. Gen. R. L. Jones Armory, $90^{\circ}$ celling

## Junior HLO



## Open HLO

| 1. Chuck Markos | 127.5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Bob Natson | 118.5 |
| 3. Dick Swenson | 104.5 |
| 4. John Jensen | 102.7 |
| 5. Phil Sullivan | 102.1 |
| Senior Penniplane |  |
| 1. John Loribecki | $5: 52.0$ |
| 2. Kelth Gordey | $5: 14.7$ |
| 3. Kurt Burg | $3: 27.0$ |

## Junior Paper Stick

Senior HLG

| 1. Bob Hayes, Jr. | 129.9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Keith Gordey | 95.2 |
| 3. Kurt Burg | 56.6 |
| 4. Tom Grabera | 46.1 |
| Junior PennyPlane |  |
| 1. Dan Brown | 8:33.5 |
| 2. T1m Noonan | 6:41.0 |
| 3. Mindi Linstrum | 5:12.0 |
| 4. Jim Loribecki | 4:25.0 |
| 5. B1ll Black | 4:25.0 |
| Open PennyPlane |  |
| 1. Hank DeKat | 9:02.5 |
| 2. Bob Hayes, Sr. | 8:57.0 |
| 3. Steve Brown | 8:34.0 |
| 4. Howard Haupt | 7:21.0 |
| 5. Jim Harte | 6:54.8 | Senior Paper Stick


| 1. B111 Black | $5: 35.1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Jim Loribecki | $5: 05.0$ |

3. Carl Linatrum $1: 51.8$
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { 2. Rruce Matthews } & 93.8 \\ \text { 3. Phil Walden } & 86.2 \\ \text { 3. } & 8\end{array}$

## Open Paper Stick

 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 2. Charlie Sotich } & 15: 51.0 & \text { 4. Howard Haupt } \\ \text { 14: } & \text { 11:09.0 } & \text { George Bucic,sr. } 8: 40.6\end{array}$
## A $10: 1$ INDOOR WINDER

by Dennis Jaecks
Study the drewings carefully to become familiar with the design and construction, then study these notes for further hints.

1. Select the parts to be used. Gears are available with either $5-40$ thread or $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ ID posilock; both work well.

Pinions are all 3/32" ID press ift (drill out 5-40 threads).
2. Tape the brass plate sides tightly together to drill holes for the corner spacers. After drilling, bolt the sides together temporarily.
3. Drill the hole for the output gear shaft ( $3 / 32^{n}$ dia.) location is not critical.
4. Make up a $3 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ musice wire centerpunch. silde punch thru $1 / 8$ " brass tubing and gear " $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{H}}$. Line up spacing with pinion "D"; punch \& drili hole.
5. Temporarily mount pinion "C". locate \& drill hole for gear " $A$ ".
6. Locate \& drill hole for gear "A".
7. Separate brass plates and check gear epacing by temporarily installing gears and shafts.
8. Oarefully check to get the proper side, then drill $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ hole for the counter shaft.
9. Make up 4 brass plllars . $6^{\prime \prime}$ long $\times 1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ ID.
10. Assemble winder and check alignment.
11. Remove two case screws from the counter and drill the frame to clear $2 / 56$ bolts. Tap the counter $2 / 56$ to proper spacing and mount counter.
12. Cut $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ OD brasa tubing spacers to hold the gears in proper alignment; install on shafts.
13. Make crank with wood or teflon handle; solder washers to hold handie in place.
14. Check all parts for fit and alignment, then solder parts together using acid core solder. Clean parte thoroughly and 011.
15. A thrust washer on the output ehaft is optionsi; if used, install it now.
16. Add $1 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ music wire hook; bispe to sult and polish whole hook thoroughly.

The winder plus counter is a must for serious fiying. This design has two minor drawbacks; the counter must be zeroed by cranking, and you must remember when the number of turns exceeds 1000 or 2000 .



[^0]:    Disjointed memories of the Indoor Nats: Several "super Sweep 22"-looking HLG's that, as a rule, didn't "tune in" in time -- better filer cooperation with the test fly/official flying periods --a couple of wellmeaning kids "retrieving ${ }^{\text {HLG}}$ g from bleacher area by grabbing models in flight -- deadly serious mein of the leaders as they ran out of flights but not determination -- the sad shrug or pleased glow as the glider rolls out perfectly or not quite -- the "just one more launch" air after official flying ceased -- quiet applause for trophy winners as official results announced and trophies passed out on-site for the first time.

